Mr. DALZELL. Mr. Speaker, a parliamentary inquiry. Was not this same resolution reported from the Committee on Ways

and Means and laid upon the table?

Mr. RANDELL of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I claim the floor.

The SPEAKER. Well, the gentleman can not have the floor until the point of order is disposed of.

Mr. RANDELL of Texas. Mr. Speaker

The SPEAKER. The Chair is ready to rule. It has been frequently held that a preamble to a resolution of inquiry that makes an alleged statement of fact destroys the privilege, although the balance of the resolution might be privileged. That has been frequently held by many Speakers and was ruled by the present Speaker at the last session of Congress on a resolution presented by the gentleman from New York [Mr. Cock-Clearly this is not privileged, and the Chair sustains the point of order.

Mr. RANDELL of Texas. Mr. Speaker, may I be heard?
The SPEAKER. After all, it is in the discretion of the
Chair, and it is so well established by repeated decisions from various Speakers, including the present Speaker, that at the hour of ten minutes past 12 o'clock the Chair does not desire to hear anything touching the point of order.

Mr. PAYNE. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now take a recess until 9.30 o'clock this morning.
Mr. RANDELL of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I respectfully appeal

from the decision of the Chair.

The SPEAKER. Pending the appeal, the Chair entertains the privileged motion, namely, that the House do now take a recess until to-day at 9.30 o'clock.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly (at 12 o'clock and 12 minutes a. m., Monday,
March 4, 1907) the House took a recess until 9.30 o'clock a. m.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS.

Under clause 2 of Rule XXIV, the following executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

A letter from the chief justice of the Court of Claims, requesting the return to the court of the papers submitting to Congress the findings in the case of Irving McCoy against the United States—to the Committee on War Claims, and ordered to be printed.

PUBLIC BILLS, RESOLUTIONS, AND MEMORIALS INTRODUCED.

Under clause 3 of Rule XXII, bill and memorials of the following titles were introduced and severally referred as follows: By Mr. BRICK: A bill (H. R. 25890) to amend the laws of the United States relating to the registration of trade-marks—to the

Committee on Patents.

By Mr. GRONNA: Memorial from the legislature of North Dakota, praying Congress to pass a law giving to each respective State of the Union the right to ditch into, alter, modify, or destroy, if need be, part or the whole of any meandered lakes or navigable streams—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, memorial from the legislature of North Dakota, recommending a constitutional amendment to prohibit polygamy and to secure uniform divorce laws—to the Committee on the Judi-

By the SPEAKER: Memorial from the legislature of North Dakota, recommending a constitutional amendment to prohibit polygamy and to secure uniform divorce laws—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

PRIVATE BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS INTRODUCED.

Under clause 1 of Rule XXII, private bills of the following

titles were introduced and severally referred as follows:
By Mr. WILLIAMS: A bill (H. R. 25888) for the relief of

Samuel Phillips—to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. CLAYTON: A bill (H. R. 25891) to relieve George W. Black and J. R. Wilson from a certain judgment in favor of the United States, and to relieve George W. Black, J. R. Wilson, and W. M. Newell of a certain judgment in favor of the United States—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

CHANGE OF REFERENCE.

Under clause 2 of Rule XXII, committee was discharged from the consideration of bill of the following title; which was there-

upon referred as follows:

A bill (H. R. 25487) for the relief of Andrew B. Baird and James S. Baird, and to confirm all sales and dispositions heretofore made by the United States out of the confiscated land

of the late Spruce M. Baird, their father, known as Baird's ranch, in the Territory of New Mexico-Committee on War Claims discharged, and referred to the Committee on the Public Lands.

PETITIONS, ETC.

Under clause 1 of Rule XXII, the following petitions and papers were laid on the Clerk's desk and referred as follows:

By Mr. FOSTER of Vermont: Petition of Rev. A. C. Brown and others, of Essex, Vt., for passage of the Littlefield bill—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Also, petition of F. S. Yager and 2,000 other citizens of Cali-

fornia, asking for modification of the Chinese-exclusion lawto the Committee on Foreign Affairs

By Mr. HOWELL of Utah: Petition of citizens of the State of Utah, against certain features of the proposed new copyright law-to the Committee on Patents.

By Mr. NORRIS: Petition of Solon R. Town, M. D., Robert H. Wolcott, and other citizens of Nebraska, asking passage of bill S. 5888—to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. STEPHENS of Texas: Petition of farmers of Donley

County, Tex., asking for legislation to suppress gambling in futures on farm products—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

SENATE.

Monday, March 4, 1907.

[Continuation of legislative day of Saturday, March 2, 1907.]

At the expiration of the recess (at 9.30 a. m., March 4) the Senate reassembled.

RECESS.

Mr. HALE. I move that the Senate take a recess until a quarter after 10 o'clock.

The motion was agreed to; and at the expiration of the recess (at 10.15 a. m.) the Senate reassembled.

INDIAN AND FREEDMEN ENROLLMENT CASES.

The VICE-PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a communication from the Secretary of the Interior, transmitting, in response to a resolution of the 28th ultimo, certain information relative to the number of Indian and freedmen enrollment cases pending before the Commissioner to the Five Civilized Tribes on February 25, 1907, and also in the office of the Commissioner of Indian Affairs on review, and before the Department of the Interior, etc.; which was referred to the Committee on Indan Affairs, and ordered to be printed.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

A message from the House of Representatives, by Mr. W. J. Browning, its Chief Clerk, announced that the House had agreed to the report of the committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H. R. 25745) making appropriations for sundry civil expenses of the Government for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1908, and for other purposes.

ENROLLED BILLS SIGNED.

The message also announced that the Speaker of the House had signed the following enrolled bills and joint resolutions; they were thereupon signed by the Vice-President:

H. R. 25672. An act to amend an act entitled "An act to au-

thorize the Ox Bow Power Company, of South Dakota, to construct a dam across the Missouri River;"

H. R. 25851. An act making appropriations to supply deficiencies in the appropriations for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1907, and for prior years, and for other purposes;

H. J. Res. 219. Joint resolution providing for an increase in the number of copies to be printed of the Annual Report of the Comptroller of the Currency

H. J. Res. 229. Joint resolution to provide for the printing of 250,000 copies of the Special Report of the Diseases of Horses;

H. J. Res. 255. Joint resolution providing for the printing of 5,000 copies of House Document No. 651, Fifty-ninth Congress, second session:

H. J. Res. 256. Joint resolution authorizing the Attorney-General to print 850 copies of the Session Laws; and

H. J. Res. 257. Joint resolution authorizing the Secretary of the Treasury to print 1,000 additional copies of the Annual Report of the Director of the Mint.

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS.

Mr. HANSBROUGH. I present a joint memorial of the legislature of North Dakota, which I ask may be printed in the RECORD and referred to the Committee on Agriculture and For-

The memorial was referred to the Committee on Agriculture and Forestry, and ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as fol-

Memorial offered by Mr. Stade.

lows:

Memorial offered by Mr. Stade.

Whereas numerous "meandered lakes and navigable streams" located in North Dakota, by reason of higher altitudes or of obstructions made by silt or other materials, thus holding back the water, are made to overflow and annually flood and keep in a state of moisture many thousands of acres of swamp or semiswamp lands; and

Whereas by virtue of large spring freshets many of such lakes, streams, or rivers overflow and inundate vast areas of land; and

Whereas in order to drain such lands it becomes necessary to ditch into, alter, or destroy such lakes, streams, or rivers, by which means the water can be conducted away and thereby drain such named lakes, fitting them for fillage or agricultural use; and

Whereas by such method of drainage the wealth of the people of North Dakota can be increased millions of dollars, and the taxable property of the State can be equally multiplied; now.

Therefore, the legislative assembly of the State of North Dakota hereby passes a joint memorial to the Congress of the United States of America, praying that body to pass a law giving to each respective State of the Union the right to ditch into, alter, modify, or destroy, if need be, part or the whole of any "meandered lakes or navigable streams," if the same shall be necessary to drain any swamp or semiswamp lands within their respective borders: Provided, Said drainage, alterations, or modifications of such lakes, streams, or rivers shall be done under the sanction of or in cooperation with national commissioners or agents who shall be appointed or detailed under law for such purposes: Therefore, be it

Resolved by the legislative assembly of North Dakota, That engrossed copies of the joint memorial be at once sent to the North Dakota Senators and Representatives in Congress, and they be instructed to draw up and introduce a bill in Congress that shall cover in a clear and comprehensive manner the different features or phases herein named of the question of drainage in the different seates of

JAMES W. FOLEY, Secretary of the Senate.

Mr. HANSBROUGH. I present a concurrent resolution of the legislature of the State of North Dakota, which I request may be read at the desk and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary. It is short.

Mr. GALLINGER. I call attention to the fact that my mo-tion to concur in the amendment made by the House of Repre-

sentatives to the shipping bill is in order.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. That is the pending question. Mr. GALLINGER. I will ask that the motion be put after the memorial has been read.

The concurrent resolution was read, and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary, as follows:

Concurrent resolution offered by Mr. Buttz.—Memorial to Congress for constitutional amendment.

Concurrent resolution offered by Mr. Buttz.—Memorial to Congress for constitutional amendment.

Whereas the recent investigation made by the Senate of the United States as to the qualifications of one of its members has developed the fact that polygamy exists in several of the States, notwithstanding the laws that exist in said States prohibiting the same; and Whereas the practice of polygamy is condemned by the law-abiding people of the United States, and there is a sentiment prevailing throughout the country for a more effectual remedy to eradicate the evil complained of by giving the Federal courts jurisdiction over and control of that question as well as that of divorces, at the same time reserving to each State the right to enforce its own laws relating to marriage: Therefore, be it

Resolved by the house of representatives of North Dakota (the senate concurring), That the Congress of the United States is hereby petitioned to take the necessary steps to provide for a constitutional amendment, to be submitted to the several States, whereby polygamy and polygamous cohabitation shall be prohibited by giving the Federal courts jurisdiction to enforce any and all acts of the United States Congress upon the subject of polygamy and polygamous practices, as well as upon uniform divorce laws throughout the several States: Be it Further resolved, That the Secretary of State be, and he hereby is directed to transmit copies of this memorial to the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States, and to the members of said bodies representing this State therein; also to transmit copies hereof to the legislature of all other States of the United States.

TREADWELL TWICHELL, **Specuker of the House.** P. D. Norton**, **Chiefe Clerk of the House.** P. D. Norton**, **Chiefe Clerk of the House.** P. D. Norton**, **Chiefe Clerk of the Benate.** JAMES W. FOLEY, **Secretary of the Senate.** JAMES W. FOLEY, **Secretary of the Senate.** JAMES W. FOLEY, **Secretary of the Senate.** JAMES W. FOLEY, **Secretary of the

I, P. D. Norton, chief clerk of the house, do hereby certify that the foregoing concurrent resolution originated in and was adopted by the house of representatives of the tenth legislative assembly of the State of North Dakota and was concurred in by the senate.

P. D. Norton.

Chief Clerk of the House.

BISMARCK, N. DAK., February 26, 1907.

Mr. WARREN presented a petition of sundry ranchmen in the State of Wyoming, praying for the enactment of legislation

providing that actual settlers may lease the Government land contiguous to their patented lands; which was referred to the Committee on Agriculture and Forestry.

Mr. DICK. I present sundry telegrams from telegraph operators in the State of Ohio, relative to the sixteen-hour bill; which I ask may lie on the table and be printed in the RECORS.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. Without objection, it is so ordered. The telegrams were ordered to lie on the table, as follows:

The telegrams were ordered to lie on the table, as follows:

From A. J. Zint, of Wapakoneta; W. J. Kroger and J. R. Howser, of Troy; W. V. Van Meter, of Cridersville; B. S. Bowman, of Payne; H. E. Fling, of Payne; C. C. Hamlin, of Rudolph; L. T. Agnew, of Oakwood; T. W. Sullivan, of Arma; J. E. Cross, J. W. Shuster, and O. C. Knight, of Peebles; Edward Gaudern and C. W. Newcomer, of Bryan Depot; Herbert H. Brickett, of Newton; S. E. Klein, H. B. Workman, H. J. Gerlach, J. R. Baxter, and C. E. Lemon, of Circleville; F. P. Reynolds and W. R. Ruckles, of Van Wert; A. J. Tilden and A. H. Bensman, of Columbus Grove; J. E. O'Hara, of West Toledo; R. R. Kibler, O. G. Harper, B. E. Baughn, P. W. Heaton, and E. Crassley, of Greenfield; A. A. Delf, of Mansfield; L. C. Ramsey; James Shine, of Sidney; F. E. Marker; S. Cashner, of Wapakoneta; C. D. Blankenbaker, of Wapakoneta; W. V. Saltzman and C. J. Fenstermaker, of Phalanx; C. V. Yezzell, of Cincinnati; H. H. Hess, of Sidney; J. F. Justice; Carter, Moyer, Eabcock, Klinite, Fenstermaker, Holbrook, Taylor, and Lowrie, of Leavittsburg; G. D. Wilson, of Willoughby; S. W. Usher, of Willoughby; from C. H. McCoy, Rose, Vanhorn, Baumgardner, Bratton, Lucas, Hammel, Landis, Brooker, Close, Brice, Blank, Ott, Stafford, Davis, Harper, Weaver, Shaffer, Wood, Rogers, George, and Goodrich, of Massillon; from T. S. Crissing, of Martel; from W. A. Fry, of Columbus; from C. D. Hull, of Sandusky; from Kenny, Mills, Icenhour, Arnold, and Carpenter, of Floodwood; from S. W. Mosier, of Waverly; from G. W. Bell, George F. Corley, S. A. Davis, and J. E. Collins, of Clinton; from O. E. Lewis, of Oxford; from George Farrell and John H. Fitch, of Youngstown; from Ashpaugh, Carpenter, and Burnoon, of Tontogany; from D. J. O'Hara, of Toledo, all in the State of Ohio.

Mr. DICK presented a memorial of Waterford Grange, No.

Mr. DICK presented a memorial of Waterford Grange, No. 231, Patrons of Husbandry, of Waterford, Ohio, remonstrating against the passage of the so-called "ship-subsidy bill;" which was ordered to lie on the table.

He also presented a memorial of the Society of Natural History of Cincinnati, Ohio, remonstrating against the enactment of legislation to abolish the Division of Biological Survey in the Department of Agriculture; which was ordered to lie on the

He also presented a petition of the Civic Club of Sandusky, Ohio, praying for the enactment of legislation to regulate the employment of child labor; which was ordered to lie on the table.

Mr. FLINT presented petitions of sundry citizens of Ramona, San Diego, and National City, all in the State of California, praying for the enactment of legislation to regulate the interstate transportation of intoxicating liquors; which were referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. DEPEW presented the petition of Ernest N. Pattee, of Syracuse, N. Y., and the petition of B. F. Stinson, of Buffalo, N. Y., praying for the adoption of certain amendments to the present denatured-alcohol law; which were ordered to lie on the table.

He also presented a memorial of the executive committee of the Oystermen's Protective Association of the State of New York, remonstrating against the enactment of any legislation to prohibit interstate commerce in opened oysters; which was referred to the Committee on Interstate Commerce.

He also presented a petition of sundry citizens of Corinth, N. Y., praying for the enactment of legislation to regulate the interstate transportation of intoxicating liquors; which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

JAMES W. KENNEY.

Mr. LODGE. I ask the Senator from New Hampshire to yield to me for a moment in order that I may call up a small bill, which will not take a moment. It is a House bill. Mr. GALLINGER. I will yield for that purpose,

Mr. LODGE. I ask the Senate to proceed to the considera-tion of the bill (H. R. 8727) for the relief of James W. Kenney

and the Union Brewing Company.

and the Union Brewing Company.

The Secretary read the bill; and there being no objection, the Senate, as in Committee of the Whole, proceeded to its consideration. It proposes to pay James W. Kenney, proprietor of the Park Brewery, \$337.50, and to James W. Kenney, treasurer of the Union Brewing Company, \$440.62, these sums having been paid by said James W. Kenney under an illegal assessment for short-tax beer.

The bill was reported to the Senate without amendment, ordered to a third reading, read the third time, and passed.

TRANSFER OF DOCUMENTS OF INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION.

Mr. HANSBROUGH. I ask that the joint resolution (H. J. Res. 211) authorizing the transfer of the files, books, and pamphlets of the Industrial Commission be laid before the Senate and considered. It was read last night,

There being no objection, the Senate, as in Committee of the Whole, resumed the consideration of the joint resolution.

The joint resolution was reported to the Senate without amendment, ordered to a third reading, read the third time, and passed.

ESTATE OF ARCHIBALD D. PALMER.

Mr. GALLINGER. I yield to the Senator from Wyoming [Mr. WARREN] to offer a resolution.

Mr. WARREN submitted the following resolution; which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the bill (S. 8363) for the relief of Lizzie Dickson, administratrix of Archibald D. Palmer, deceased, with all accompanying papers, be, and the same is hereby, referred to the Court of Claims for a finding of facts under the terms of the act of March 3, 1887, and generally known as the Tucker Act.

REPORT OF A COMMITTEE.

Mr. FLINT, from the Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the bill (S. 6626) for the establishment of a drainage fund and the construction of works for the reclamation of swamp and overflowed lands, reported it with amendments, and submitted a report thereon.

BILL INTRODUCED.

Mr. DICK introduced a bill (S. 8627) to make accessible to inventors and manufacturers, manual training, industrial, and scientific schools the files of the Patent Office and scientific bureaus of the several Departments of the Government by the publication of card files of patents and card-subject indexes of the scientific libraries of the Patent Office and of the scientific bureaus of the Government relating to industrial arts and commerce; which was read twice by its title, and referred to the Committee on Patents.

OMAHA NATIONAL BANK.

Mr. GALLINGER. I yield to the Senator from Nebraska [Mr. Millard], and then I will not yield further for the present.

Mr. MILLARD submitted the following resolution; which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That the House of Representatives be requested to return to the Senate the bill (S. 171) entitled "A bill for the relief of the Omaha National Bank," and that the same be referred to the Court of Claims, in pursuance of the provisions of an act entitled "An act to provide for the bringing of suits against the Government of the United States," approved March 3, 1887, generally known as the Tucker Act. And the said court shall proceed with the same in accordance with the provisions of such act and report to the Senate in accordance therewith

THE MERCHANT MARINE.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the amendment of the House of Representatives to the bill (S. 529) to promote the national defense, to create a naval reserve, to establish American ocean mail lines to foreign markets, and to promote commerce

The VICE-PRESIDENT. The question is on agreeing to the motion of the Senator from New Hampshire [Mr. Gallinger] to concur in the amendment made by the House of Representatives

Mr. CARMACK rose.

Mr. GALLINGER. Mr. President-

Mr. BERRY. I think the Senator from Tennessee [Mr. Car-MACK] has the floor.

GALLINGER. The Senator from Tennessee can not

hold the floor after the recess.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. The Senator from New Hampshire has the floor.

Mr. McLAURIN. Mr. President-

The VICE-PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from New Hamp-shire yield to the Senator from Mississippi?

Mr. GALLINGER. For what purpose does the Senator rise?
Mr. McLAURIN. I wish to say that the Senator from New
Hampshire can certainly not hold the floor on this measure, and thereby prohibit debate.

Mr. GALLINGER. Mr. President, I have the floor.
The VICE-PRESIDENT. The Senator from New Hampshire
will suspend while the Senate receives a message from the House of Representatives.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

A message from the House of Representatives, by Mr. W. J. Browning, its Chief Clerk, announced that the House had agreed to the report of the committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the House to the bill (S. 5133) to promote the safety of employees and travelers upon railroads by limiting the hours of service of employees thereon.

The message also announced that the House had passed the

bill (S. 8614) to amend an act entitled "An act to regulate the practice of medicine and surgery in the District of Columbia,' approved June 3, 1896.

The message further announced that the House had passed concurrent resolution relative to the enrollment of the bill (S. 5133) to promote the safety of employees and travelers upon railroads by limiting the hours of service of employees thereon; in which it requested the concurrence of the Senate.

ENROLLED BILLS SIGNED.

The message also announced that the Speaker of the House had signed the following enrolled bills and joint resolutions; and they were thereupon signed by the Vice-President:

S. 6447. An act to authorize the appointment of Acting Asst. Surg. George Plummer, U. S. Navy, as an assistant surgeon

in the United States Navy; S. 8012. An act to erect a monument on the Tippecanoe battle ground, in Tippecanoe County, Ind.; S. 8230. An act for the relief of Harold D. Childs;

8292. An act providing for the completion by the Secretaryof War of a monument to the memory of the American soldiers who fell in the battle of New Orleans, at Chalmette, La., and making the necessary appropriation therefor;

S. 8568. An act granting an increase of pension to Rosanna

A. May; S. 8585.

An act for the relief of Charles W. Spalding;

H. R. 13304. An act to provide a suitable memorial to the memory of Christopher Columbus;

H. R. 17415. An act to authorize the assignees of coal-land locations to make entry under the coal-land laws applicable to

H. R. 25745. An act making appropriations for sundry civil expenses of the Government for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1908, and for other purposes;

H. R. 25883. An act to reinstate Leonard Martin Cox in the Corps of Civil Engineers of the Navy; S. R. 29. Joint resolution authorizing the selection of a site

and the erection of a pedestal for the Stephenson Grand Army memorial in Washington, D. C.; and

S. R. 98. Joint resolution granting permission to Rear-Admiral B. H. McCalla to accept a medal from the King of Great Britain and the Order of the Red Eagle from the Emperor of Germany.

HOURS OF LABOR OF RAILROAD EMPLOYEES.

Mr. GALLINGER. Mr. President— Mr. FLINT. Will the Senator from New Hampshire yield to

Mr. GALLINGER. For what purpose?

Mr. FLINT. I wish to present a conference report on Senate bill 5133.

Mr. GALLINGER. Of course I yield for that purpose. I have to do it.

Mr. FLINT submitted the following report:

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (S. 5133) to promote the safety of employees and travelers upon railroads by limiting the hours of service of employees thereon, having met, after full and free conference have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the Senate recedes from its disagreement to the House amendment, and agrees to the same with amendments as fol-

In line 3, page 1, strike out the word "and" and insert "or" in said amendment.

In line 13, page 1, strike out the word "corporation" and insert in lieu thereof the words "common carrier" in said amendment.

In line 13, page 2 of said amendment, after the word "continue," insert "or again go;" and in the same line strike out the four concluding words of said line, reading "or go on "or go on

In line 14, page 2 of said amendment, after the word "eight," insert the word "consecutive."

In lines 14 and 15, page 2, strike out the words "within such twenty-four-hour period."

In line 15, page 2, strike out all after the word "Provided" to and including the word "daytime," in line 24, and insert the following: "That no operator, train dispatcher, or other employee who by the use of the telegraph or telephone dispatches, reports, transmits, receives, or delivers orders pertaining to or affecting train movements shall be required or permitted to be or remain on duty for a longer period than nine hours in any twenty-four-hour period in all towers, offices, places, and stations continuously operated night and day, nor

for a longer period than thirteen hours in all towers, offices places, and stations operated only during the daytime, except in case of emergency, when the employees named in this pro-viso may be permitted to be and remain on duty for four additional hours in a twenty-four-hour period on not exceeding three days in any week: Provided further, The Interstate Commerce Commission may, after full hearing, in a particular case and for good cause shown, extend the period within which a common carrier shall comply with the provisions of this proviso as to such case.'

In line 9, page 3, after the word "suits," insert the words "to be."

In line 13, page 3, strike out the word "verified." In line 15, page 3, strike out the words "three years" and in-

sert "one year."

In line 21, page 3, after the word "of," insert the word "all; " and in the same line strike out the words "duly authorized" and insert in lieu thereof the words "officers and."

In line 24, page 3, after the word "its," insert the words "officers and."

ficer or."

In lines 1 and 2, page 4, strike out the words "with the ex-

Strike out all of lines 7 and 8, page 4, and insert in lieu thereof "and all powers granted to the Interstate Commerce Commission are hereby extended to it in the execution of this act.'

And the Senate agree to the same.

Boies Penrose, FRANK P. FLINT, JNO. W. DANIEL, Managers on the part of the Senate. W. P. HEPBURN, IRVING P. WANGER, W. C. ADAMSON, Managers on the part of the House.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. The question is on agreeing to the

Mr. CULLOM. I think it would be well for some member of the conference committee to explain exactly the difference between the report just made and the one previously rejected by the Senate.

The only change which has been made in the Mr. FLINT. report is in reference to the telegraph operators. We have adopted the House provision with a proviso to the effect that in any given case, upon application to the Interstate Commerce Commission and upon a hearing, the Commission may extend

the time in that case in which the act shall go into effect.

Mr. NEWLANDS. There was so much confusion in the Chamber it was difficult to hear the statement of the Senator from California. I should like to inquire whether section 2 of the conference report is substantially in the language of the so-called "Murphy amendment" to the House bill?

Mr. FLINT.

Mr. PATTERSON. Mr. President, the new report of the conference committee embraces the provisions for which those who are advocating the cause of the telegraph operators contended, with the modification suggested by the Senator from California, namely, that upon good cause shown the Interstate Commerce Commission may suspend the operation of the act with reference to a particular road for a reasonable period. The theory upon which that change has been made is that a sufficient number of operators may not be immediately obtainable to carry out the provisions of the statute.

The bill as reported is not altogether satisfactory to the friends of the measure representing the wishes of the operators, but in the main it is very satisfactory, and I have no doubt in the world but that within a year or two, when the operations of the act have been put into practical effect, whatever defects or omissions may be disclosed, the amendments that experience will show ought to be made will be adopted by Congress.

There is no reason, so far as I know, from the standpoint of friendship for the measure, why the conference report should

not be adopted. Mr. DANIEL. Mr. President, last night this report was unanimously made by the conferees of both Houses
Mr. FLINT. Mr. President—
The VICE-PRESIDENT. Does the Senator fr

Does the Senator from Virginia yield to the Senator from California?

Mr. DANIEL. Certainly.
Mr. FLINT. Mr. President, I simply desire to make a statement in reply to a question which was asked by the Senator from Nevada [Mr. Newlands], and that is, if the conference report is the same as the Murphy amendment. I will state that it is, except that an error was made in the report and several

words omitted. The House adopted a concurrent resolution correcting the error. It is necessary that this concurrent resolution should be adopted by the Senate. I am very anxious that the conference report should be disposed of so that the concurrent resolution may then be acted on.

Mr. NEWLANDS. I will ask the Senator whether there is danger in the delay of the consideration of this bill?

Mr. FLINT. There is, and that is my reason for calling attention to it at this time.

Mr. DANIEL. Mr. President, this is a unanimous report of the conferees of both Houses. Last night it was promptly, taken up by the House of Representatives and agreed to on a roll call by a unanimous vote. It is to be hoped that it will also receive a unanimous vote in this body.

The only change made since the former conference report was made was in pursuance of the instruction of the House of Representatives, and to the amendment thus made to the bill with respect to telegraph operators there is inserted this fur-

ther proviso:

The Interstate Commerce Commission may, after full hearing in a particular case and for good cause shown, extend the period within which a common carrier shall comply with the provisions of this proviso as to said case.

This amendment proved to be a solvent of difficulties, and the way is now clear for those who desire a reasonable and just measure on this subject to vote for it.

Mr. McCREARY. I desire to ask the Senator from Virginia a question in regard to this conference report concerning the provision relating to telegraph operators.

Mr. DANIEL. As agreed to it is practically the same as the bill as it passed the other House, with the one change which I have stated.

Mr. McCREARY. As I understand, this report has been agreed to by the other House?

Mr. DANIEL. As I previously stated, it was unanimously, agreed to by the other House last night. That is in the RECORD of to-day, and I was over in the House, heard the roll called, and witnessed the procedure.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. The question is on agreeing to the

conference report.

Mr. FLINT. On that I ask for the yeas and nays, Mr. Presi-

The yeas and nays were ordered; and the Secretary proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. CULLOM (when his name was called). I have a general pair with the junior Senator from Virginia [Mr. Martin], but

I transfer that pair to the junior Senator from Iowa [Mr. Dol-LIVER] and vote. I vote "yea."

Mr. DEPEW (when his name was called). I am paired with the Senator from Louisiana [Mr. McEnery], but as we are agreed on this question I am at liberty to vote. I vote "yea."

The roll call was concluded.

Mr. ALLISON. I desire to state that my colleague [Mr. Dolliver] is necessarily absent. If present, he would vote "yea."
Mr. LONG. I desire to state that my colleague [Mr. Curtis]

is unavoidably absent, but, if present, he would vote "yea."
Mr. McCUMBER. I have a general pair with the junior Senator from Louisiana [Mr. Foster], who is necessarily absent. I have been requested to announce that if he were present the Senator from Louisiana would vote "yea," and as I am in accord with him on this question I am at liberty to vote, and I vote "yea."

Mr. FLINT. I have been requested to state that the senior Senator from Pennsylvania [Mr. Penrose] is necessarily absent from the Chamber this morning, and that if he were present he would vote "yea."

The result was announced-yeas 76, nays 0, as follows:

YEAS-76.

Allee	Cullom	Heyburn	Patterson
Allison	Daniel	Hopkins	Perkins
Ankeny	Depew	Kean	Pettus
Berry	Dick	Kittredge	Piles
Beveridge	Dillingham	Knox	Platt
		Latimer	Proctor
Blackburn	Dryden		
Brandegee	Dubois	Lodge	Rayner
Bulkeley	Du Pont	Long	Scott
Burkett	Elkins	McCreary	Simmons
Burnham	Flint	McCumber	Smith
Burrows	Foraker	McLaurin	Smoot
Carmack	Frazier	Mallory	Spooner
Carter	Frve	Millard	Stone
Clapp	Fulton	Morgan	Sutherland
Clark, Mont.	Gallinger	Mulkey	Taliaferro
Clark, Wyo.	Gamble	Nelson	Teller
Clay	Hale	Newlands	Warner
Crane	Hansbrough	Nixon	Warren
Culberson			
Cuiperson	Hemenway	Overman	Whyte

NAYS-0.

NOT VOTING-14.

Aldrich Curtis Dolliver Bacon Bailey Clarke, Ark.

McEnery Martin Money Foster La Follette Penrose

Tillman Wetmore

So the report was agreed to.

The VICE-PRESIDENT laid before the Senate the following concurrent resolution of the House of Representatives; which was read:

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, March 2, 1907.

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That in enrolling the bill (S. 5133) to promote the safety of employees and travelers upon railroads by limiting the hours of service of employees thereon, the enrolling clerk be directed to correct the engrossed amendment of the House to the Senate bill by striking out all after the word "Provided," in section 2 of said amendment, and inserting "Provided, That no operator, train dispatcher, or other employee who, by the use of the telegraph or telephone dispatches, reports, transmits, receives, or delivers or experiently to or affecting train movements shall be required or permitted to be or remain on duty for a longer period than nine hours in any twenty-four-hour period in all towers, offices, places, and stations continuously operated night and day, nor for a longer period than thirteen hours in all towers, offices, places, and stations operated only during the daytime, except in case of emergency, when the employees named in this proviso may be permitted to be and remain on duty for four additional hours in a twenty-four-hour period or not exceeding three days in any week."

The VICE-PRESIDENT. The question is on agreeing to the concurrent resolution of the House of Representatives.

The concurrent resolution was agreed to.

NICHOLAS M. HAWKINS.

NICHOLAS M. HAWKINS.

The VICE-PRESIDENT laid before the Senate the following message from the President of the United States; which was read, and, with the accompanying bill, referred to the Committee on Pensions, and ordered to be printed:

To the Senate:

In compliance with the resolution of the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring) of the 2d instant, I return herewith Senate bill No. 5623, entitled "An act granting an increase of pension to Nicholas M. Hawkins." THEODORE ROOSEVELT.

THE WHITE HOUSE, March 2, 1907.

WILLIAM N. BRONSON.

The VICE-PRESIDENT laid before the Senate the following message from the President of the United States; which was read, and, with the accompanying bill, referred to the Committee on Pensions, and ordered to be printed:

To the Senate:

In compliance with the resolution of the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring) of the 2d instant, I return herewith Senate bill No. 7822, entitled "An act granting an increase of pension to William N. Bronson."

THEODORE ROOSEVELT.

THE WHITE HOUSE, March 2, 1907.

THE MERCHANT MARINE.

The Senate resumed the consideration of the amendment of the House of Representatives to the bill (S. 529) to promote the national defense, to create a naval reserve, to establish American ocean mail lines to foreign markets, and to promote

Mr. GALLINGER. Mr. President, as this is the last day of the session, I will ask the Senate whether a vote may be taken on the bill that is under consideration?

Mr. CARMACK. Mr. President, I am sorry to say to the Senator from New Hampshire that there will be no vote taken

until after considerable debate.

Mr. GALLINGER. I greatly regret that my request is refused. After the two days of rather frivolous debate on this very important bill I feel that it is my privilege as well as my duty in closing the debate to call attention very briefly to a few salient points and to emphasize the fact that, as I see the situa-tion, we ought to have legislation on this very important subject.

In the first place, Mr. President, I desire to ask unanimous consent to have the portion of the ocean mail law of March 3, 1891, which I have marked placed in the RECORD without taking the time to read it.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. In the absence of objection, permission is granted.

THE EXISTING OCEAN MAIL LAW

The portion of the law referred to is as follows:

The Postmaster-General is hereby authorized and empowered to enter into contracts for a term not less than five nor more than ten years in duration, with American citizens, for the carrying of mails on American steamships, between ports of the United States and such ports in foreign countries, the Dominion of Canada excepted, as in his judgment will best subserve and promote the postal and commercial interests of the United States, the mail service on such lines to be equitably distributed among the Atlantic, Mexican Gulf, and Pacific ports. Said contracts shall be made with the lowest responsible bidder for the performance of said service on each route, and the Postmaster-General shall have the right to reject all bids not in his opinion reasonable for the attaining of the purposes named.

Before making any contract for carrying ocean mails in accordance with this act the Postmaster-General shall give public notice by advertising once a week, for three months, in such daily papers as he shall select in each of the cities of Boston, New York, Philadelphia, Balttmore, New Orleans, St. Louis, Charleston, Norfolk, Savannah, Galveston, and Mobile, and when the proposed service is to be on the Pacific shall describe the route, the time when such contract will be made, the shall describe the route, the time when such contract will be made, the duration of the same, the size of the steamers to be used, the number of trips a year, the times of salling, and the time when the service shall commence, which shall not be more than three years after the contract shall be let. The details of the mode of advertising and let chapter 8 of Title XLVI of the Revised Statutes for the letting of inland mail contracts so far as the same shall be applicable to the ocean mail service.

The vessels employed in the mail service under the provisions of this act shall be American-built steamships, owned and officered by American and the states of the India officered and registered according to law, and upon each departure from the United States the following proportion of the crew shall be citizens of the United States, to wit: During the first two years of such three succeeding years, one-third thereof; and during the remaining shall be constructed after the latest and most approved types, with all the modern improvements and appliances for ocean steamers.

They shall be divided into four classes. The first shall be iron or steel screw steamships, capable of maintaining a speed of 20 knots an hour at sea in ordinary weather, and of a gross registered tonnage of an cepted for said mail service under the provisions of this act being a sea of the said mail service under the provisions of this act be iron or steel steamships, capable of maintaining a speed of 16 knots an hour at sea in ordinary weather, and of a gross registered

Mr. GALLINGER. Mr. President, I want to direct the attention of Senators to the fact that the bill now under consideration proposes simply an enlargement and extension of that act, which has been in operation for the last sixteen years,

and to which nobody, so far as I know, has ever objected.

It will be observed that the proposed lines are all to run to South America—two from the Atlantic coast, to Brazil and Argentina, respectively; one from the Pacific coast, to Peru and Chile, and one from the Gulf of Mexico, to Brazil.

I regret exceedingly that the House substitute now before us abandons all assistance to lines from the Pacific coast to Japan, China, the Philippines, and Australasia. To my mind that action was most unfortunate, as our future trade, in addition to that with South America, must necessarily come largely from the Orient. If it was earlier in the session I would ask for a conference, in the hope that some additional appropriations for lines to Oriental ports might be secured; but, manifestly, it is too late to accomplish that result, hence the motion has been made to agree to the House amendment. While, as I have suggested, the bill is not what some of us would desire, it is an enlargement of the existing ocean mail law, and as such it is accepted.

THE FOUR PROPOSED LINES.

The bill as amended by the House includes four of the ocean-mail routes contained in the bill framed by the Mer-

chant-Marine Commission, reported from the Committee on Commerce, and passed by the Senate February 14, 1906. On all of these four lines 16-knot steamers are required, a speed on the average above that of the mail lines from Europe to South America, and yet not so high that the vessels performing the service would be unable to carry large cargoes of general merchandise. Under the terms of the ocean-mail law of 1891, made applicable to this proposed measure, all steamers performing this service on all four routes must be built on designs approved by the Navy Department, and must be held available for Government use in war. I understand they will be of 6,000 tops and unward they will be of 6,000 tons and upward.

An amendment adopted by the House reserves the proposed subventions exclusively to vessels hereafter built in the United States, so that this mail service can not be performed by existing steamers, and the creation of an entire new oceanmail fleet is guaranteed—ships of the highest rating known to maritime commerce and of the latest and most improved

construction. The proposed line from the Atlantic coast to Brazil would require at least four steamers for a fortnightly service, and five steamers if the regularity of schedule prescribed by our ocean-mail laws were to be secured beyond question. our ocean-mail laws were to be secured beyond question. The line to Argentina would require at least five, and probably six, steamers. The line from the Gulf coast to Brazil would require at least four, and probably five, steamers. The line from the Pacific coast to Peru and Chile would require at least five, and probably six, steamers. There must be, therefore, all told, a fleet of from eighteen to twenty-two new steamships of superior speed, valuable both for commerce and for the patiental defense provided those four prepared lines. for the national defense, provided these four proposed lines go into full operation.

Provision is made on each route for both a monthly and a fortnightly service. The fortnightly service calls for a maximum compensation of \$600,000 a year on the two lines to Brazil, \$800,000 on the line to Argentina, and \$600,000 on the line from the Pacific coast to Peru and Chile, which line would have the advantage of the protection of the coastwise laws if it touched at the Isthmus of Panama on merchandise shipped via the Isthmus in transit between the Atlantic and Pacific coasts of the United States.

The total subvention to the proposed lines on a basis of monthly sailings would be \$1,300,000 a year; on a fortnightly service, \$2,600,000. The annual profit which the United States now makes out of its ocean-mail service, as stated by the Postmaster-General, is about \$3,000,000 a year; and there seems to be no good reason why this profit should not be devoted to extending our mail service and increasing our commerce.

It will be observed that this bill proposes \$600,000 a year for a fortnightly mail service in 16-knot steamers from the Atlantic coast to Brazil and \$800,000 a year for a similar service to Argentina, \$1,400,000 for both. Now let us see what other nations are doing to aid their merchant marine.

The German Government pays the North German Lloyd, under a fifteen-year contract, \$1,330,000 a year for a fortnightly mail service in 15-knot steamers via the Suez Canal to the East

The British Government paid the Royal Mail Company \$1,-350,000 a year to establish a mail service to the West Indies, Brazil, and Argentina.

The British Government pays the Peninsular and Oriental Company \$1,600,000 a year for a mail service to the East Indies. Why should not the United States aid its overseas shipping as

PROFIT FROM OUR OCEAN MAILS.

With the permission of the Senate, Mr. President, I will place in the RECORD a brief table from the report of the Superintendent of Foreign Mails showing the profit for recent years on our ocean mails, from which it appears that last year the profit was \$3,043,183,32

The VICE-PRESIDENT. In the absence of objection, permission is granted.

The table referred to is as follows:

other maritime nations are doing?

The report of the Superintendent of Foreign Mails shows the follow-g profits for recent years on our ocean mails:

ar ended June 30—	Surplus or profit.
1906	\$3, 043, 183, 32
1905	2, 040, 416. 60
1904	2, 579, 338. 12
1903	2, 608, 385. 74
1902	1, 491, 693. 02
1901	042, 786, 45

Mr. GALLINGER. On this point, Secretary Root, in his speech of November 20, 1906, before the Trans-Mississippi Commercial Congress, at Kansas City, said:

The actual cost to the Government last year of the ocean mail service to foreign countries other than Canada and Mexico was \$2,965,-

624.21, while the proceeds realized by the Government from postage between the United States and foreign countries other than Canada and Mexico was \$6,008,807.53, leaving the profit to the United States of \$3,043,183.32; that is to say, under existing law the Government of the United States, having assumed the monopoly of carrying the mails for the people of the country, is making a profit of \$3,000,000 per annum by rendering cheap and inefficient service. Every dollar of that three millions is made at the expense of the commerce of the United States. What can be plainer than that the Government ought to expend at least the profits that it gets from the ocean mail service in making the ocean mail service efficient.

FREE IMPORTS FROM SOUTH AMERICA.

I will also ask permission to place in the Record, without reading, a table showing the free and dutiable imports from the countries to which these proposed routes would run.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. In the absence of objection, per-

mission is granted.

The table referred to is as follows:

	Per cent.	Free.	Dutiable.
Imports from all South America	84.74	\$127,788,000	\$23,006,000
	98.12	97,966,000	1,876,(0)
	21.77	3,342,000	a12,012,000
	54.22	1,709,000	1,443,000
	96.61	10,695,000	376,000

c Chiefly hides and wool.

MAIL PAY TO AMERICAN AND FOREIGN SHIPS.

Mr. GALLINGER. I will also ask to place in the RECORD a brief table from page 112 of "Trade and Transportation," by William Eleroy Curtis, showing the relative proportion of the appropriation paid to propriation paid to American and foreign steamship companies for carrying the mails of the United States. From this table it will be seen that in the year 1888 we paid \$581,058.55 to foreign steamship companies for carrying our mails and only \$86,890.45 to American steamships

The VICE-PRESIDENT. In the absence of objection, permission is granted to print the table referred to.

The table referred to is as follows:

[From page 112, "Trade and Transportation," by William Eleroy Curtis.]

Fiscal year.	Total amount paid vessels of all lines.	Amount paid vessels sailing under Ameri- can flag.	
1848	\$100,500.00	\$100, 500, 00	
1849	235, 086, 22	235, 086, 22	
1850	619, 923, 62	619, 923, 62	
1851	1, 465, 818, 48	1, 465, 818, 48	
1852	1,655,240.59	1,655,240.59	
1853	1,880,273,33	1, 880, 273, 33	
1854	1, 903, 286, 36	1,903,286.36	
1855	1, 936, 714, 62	1, 936, 714. 62	
1856	1, 886, 765, 63	1, 886, 765. 68	
1857	1,589,152.65	1, 589, 152, 65	
1858	1,211,061.49	1, 177, 303. 01	
1859	1, 204, 569, 62	1,075,220.09	
1860	854, 329, 93	707, 244, 50	
1861	806, 885, 36	570, 952, 80	
1862	374, 617, 67	80, 686. 0	
1863	416, 074, 07	79, 397, 00	
1864	440, 440, 94	64, 356, 11	
1865	475, 428, 16	66, 571, 50	
1866	713, 927, 70	245, 600, 88	
1867	867, 202, 65	411, 064, 59	
1868	1,016,146.19	625, 239, 47	
1869	1, 101, 689, 54	757, 963, 90	
1870	1, 115, 333, 36	799, 388, 90	
1871	975, 024, 73	699, 661, 33	
1872	1,026,891,26	805, 788, 10	
1873	1,044,156,92	815, 400, 26	
1874	988, 398, 54	750, 295, 50	
1875	976, 643, 77	740, 360, 69	
1876	758, 610, 02	580,062.5	
1877	448, 896, 41	286, 834, 97	
1878	199, 979, 87	40, 152, 4	
1879	200, 026, 45	41, 251. 4	
1880	199, 809, 28	38,779.8	
1881	240,066.78	42, 552. 13	
1882	280, 500, 67	40, 645, 45	
883	316, 358. 15	48, 076, 58	
1884	332, 221. 21	53, 169, 92	
1885	331, 903. 33	49,048.01	
1886	350, 882, 13	43, 318. 81	
1887	429, 036.11	76, 727. 28	
1888	581, 058. 55	86 890, 45	

JUSTICE FOR THE MERCHANT MARINE.

Mr. GALLINGER. Mr. President, it is a remarkable fact that only 9 per cent of our commerce is carried in American ships, the other 91 per cent being carried in the ships of foreign countries. Two hundred million dollars, or thereabouts, is annually paid to foreign steamships for carrying the imports and exports of the United States. Two hundred million dollars annually is distributed among the people of foreign countries, that, had we American lines to South America and the Orient,

would be largely distributed among the people of our own country, thus giving an impetus to all branches of American industry, and distributing that enormous sum among the people of our own country

· I understand that during this session of Congress we have made appropriations aggregating almost \$1,000,000,000. The distinguished Senator from Iowa [Mr. Allison] will place in the RECORD a detailed statement of the appropriations, and I think I am within bounds when I say that they will approximate \$1,000,000,000.

We have passed a river and harbor bill which carries about \$87,000,000. I will ask the Senator from Maine if I am correct? Mr. FRYE. The Senator is correct.

Mr. GALLINGER. It carries about \$87,000,000. We have appropriated for the Navy about \$100,000,000; we have appropriated for the Army at this session of Congress \$78,000,000. and we have appropriated not one single dollar for the American merchant marine.

On yesterday I called attention to a fact, which is worthy of repetition, that, in addition to the large appropriations made in the river and harbor appropriation bill of this session for the city of Galveston we have spent about \$11,000,000 on that single port for the purpose of increasing the depth of and removing obstructions from that harbor, so that the great commerce of that southern city can be carried to foreign countries; and yet, Mr. President, after expending \$12,000,000 upon that harbor, there is but one single American ship going out of that port, and that is a lone schooner of 300 tons. Every other ship that leaves Galveston for foreign ports carrying the exports of the United

States files a foreign flag.

Mr. President, we are in hopes that some time in the future we shall have a canal joining the waters of the Pacific and the Atlantic oceans. I do not propose to enter the realm of prophecy, but, in my judgment, that canal will cost the United States \$400,000,000; and unless we do something for the American merchant marine, when that great waterway is constructed the flag of the United States will not be seen on a merchant vessel passing through it, except at infrequent intervals. It will doubtless be seen on an occasional battle ship or a yacht of some American millionaire. If the Congress of the United States thinks that that is good policy, if Senators feel that we ought to stand idly here and not raise our hands or our voices to do something for the American merchant marine, so that our flag will in the future he seen as it was seen in the post in the flag will in the future be seen, as it was seen in the past, in the ports of the world, I am astounded and surprised. I do not believe that is the conclusion of the American people, whom we are supposed to represent in this Chamber.

I believe that there will be an uprising of the people of this I believe that there will be an uprising of the people of this country when they come to know the fact, as in due time they will come to know it, that the United States leads all the nations of the world in wealth, in agriculture, in mining, in manufactures, and in all the great industrial pursuits of life, and yet in this matter of our merchant marine we are lagging behind every maritime nation of the world. This will not always last, and I am profoundly grateful that in the debate which has occupied the past few days the American people have had an opportunity to understand that there are some men in public life who feel that this great question should be seriously considered and that an effort should be made now and hereafter

to accomplish the desired result.

Mr. President, I ask to have inserted in the Record, without reading, some papers that were compiled by the late Commission on the Merchant Marine, which will give valuable information to any Senator or to any citizen of the Republic who is desirous of getting accurate knowledge on this great questions.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. In the absence of objection, permission is granted to print the papers referred to in the RECORD. The matter referred to is as follows:

DEVELOPMENT OF THE FOREIGN MAIL SERVICE, THE AMERICAN MERCHANT MARINE, AND AMERICAN COMMERCE—MEMORANDA OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE MERCHANT MARINE AND FISHERIES ON THE SUBSTITUTE FOR S. 529—SOUTHERN ADVOCATES OF OCEAN MAIL LINES.

s. 529—Southern advocates of ocean mail lines.

In 1845, on the initiative of southern men in Congress, the first law was passed authorizing mail subsidies for American steamships in the foreign trade. President Polk urged an extension of this system and in 1847 another and a more effective ocean mail law was enacted. These laws created three American steamship lines to Europe—one to Great Britain, one to France, and one to Germany—and several lines from New York and southern ports to the West Indies and the Isthmus of Panama, and also in the Pacific from the Isthmus northward to California and Oregon. About \$2,000,000 a year in mail subsidies was paid to these lines when they were established, and by 1851 the United States had 62,320 tons of ocean steamers as compared with Great Britain's 65,921 tons—the American steamers being newer, swifter, more powerful, and especially designed and constructed for war purposes. Their competition had reduced freight rates across the Atlantic from about \$35 to \$20 per ton.

All through these years southern men in Congress were most earnest supporters of this ocean mail legislation. Not until the slavery

quarrel embittered North and South was there any partisan division over the mail-subsidy policy. But most of these ocean mail steamers, as it happened, were built and owned in the North, and finally the legislation was drawn into the sectional controversy of the period, with the result that the ocean mail laws were finally repealed and the trans-Atlantic steamship lines abandoned. Many patriotic southern men, however, supported the mail-steamship policy to the last.

President John Tyler, message to Congress, December, 1844:

"I can not too strongly urge the policy of authorizing the establishment of a line of steamships regularly to ply between this country and foreign ports, and upon our own waters, for the transportation of the mail. The example of the British Government is well worthy of initiation in this respect. The belief is strongly entertained that the emoluments arising from the transportation of mail matter to foreign countries would operate of itself as an inducement to cause individual enterprise to undertake that branch of the task, and the remuneration to the Government would consist in the addition readily made to our steam navy in case of emergency by the ships so employed. Should this suggestion meet your approval the propriety of placing such ships under the command of experienced officers of the Navy will not escape your observation. The application of steam to the purpose of naval warfare cogently recommends an extensive steam marine as important in estimating the defenses of the country. Fortunately this may be attained by us to a great extent without incurring any large amount of the mails on our principal water courses, lakes, and parts of our coast, can also be so constructed as to be efficient as war vessels when needed and would of themselves constitute a formidable force in order to repel attacks from abroad.

"We can not be blind to the fact that other nations have already added large numbers of steamships to their naval armaments and that this new and powerful agent is destined to

STEAM MAIL-PACKET SERVICE.

[Speech of Mr. Thomas Butler King, of Georgia, House of Representatives, July 19, 1848.]

[Speech of Mr. Thomas Butler King, of Georgia, House of Representatives, July 19, 1848.]

Mr. King presented a full statement of the British policy of mail subsidies from 1839 to 1848, and then showed how the American plan of keeping ships of war on the stocks at naval stations in readiness for service had proved a failure. He then went on to say:

"The plan for increasing the Navy which I propose to substitute for the one which we have so long and so unprofitably pursued is, first, to encourage the establishment by private enterprise, under the auspices of the Government, of as many lines of steam mail packets as our commercial intercourse will warrant and sustain. I have no doubt that we may employ in this way from twenty-five to thirty steamers of the largest class, which will be kept in repair by the contractors and be at all times liable to be taken into the service of the Government at a fair valuation. It will be the interest of the contractors to adopt, from time to time, all the improvements which may be made in machinery and the means of propulsion. We shall avoid the expense of mistakes in construction and machinery. These vessels will contribute largely to the extension and increase of our commerce, and will be infinitely more efficient in protecting our coast in the event of at twenty times their cost. ""

"In the discussions which have been occasioned by the appropriation asked to meet the contracts for this mail service, it has been argued that it is quite unnecessary for the Government to contribute in any degree to sustain it; that private enterprise, if left untrammeled 'by Government schemes and legal enactments,' would sustain itself against all foreign competition. To show the failacy of this reasoning it is only necessary to state a few facts connected with the recent voyage of the steamer United States to Liverpool. The price of freight by the Hibernia to 24 per ton and £2 10s. by the Nagara, and they offered to take passengers going on her, reduced the price of freight from Liverpool to

means to enable them to bring American energy, enterprise, and skill in successful competition with British sagacity and capital. Of all the lines of steam packets which cross the Atlantic, not one is owned in Europe, and it is not doubted that American merchants, properly encouraged, will assuredly excel in them as they have done in sailing vessels; and when we reflect that this may be accomplished to the mutual advantage and advancement of our commercial and military marine, it would seem that no statesman ought to hesitate for a moment to give his support to a measure which is demanded alike by prudence and the necessities of our position."

Hon. Thomas Butler King, of Georgia, in 1849:

"Great Britain is thus enabled by combining commercial enterprise with her naval armaments to keep afloat a steam force more than equal to one-half of our ships in commission and to maintain twenty of these powerful steamers in constant and active service at a cost of \$1,000,000 annually. By the Cunard and 'West India' lines of mail steamers Great Britain maintains rapid and certain communication with her colonies on this side of the Atlantic, the United States, Mexico, and her fleets in the Pacific Ocean.

"In the event of war she could readily command this force and concentrate it at any point upon our Atlantic or Gulf coast; and our vast commerce, valued at some \$200,000,000, would, without suitable preparation on our part, fall a prey to her arms. It is mortifying to reflect that this force, which may become so formidable against us, is in a great degree supported by the intercourse growing out of our own commercial enterprise. While our commercial marine is unrivaled and our sails whiten every ocean and our steam marine at home is superior to that of all other nations, we have been left in the distance and outmaneuvered by our great commercial rival in the employment of steam upon the ocean.

"If it be asked why Great Britain has thus taken the lead of us in ocean steam navigation while we are so greatly superior in dom

impossible for mere private enterprise to enter into competition her.

"France has also become alive to the importance of this great system, and her minister of finance has been authorized to treat with companies for the establishment of lines of steamers to Brazil, Habana, New York, La Plata, La Guayra, and such ports in the Gulf of Mexico and the Antilles as may be designated by royal ordinance."

Mr. King had been advocating the expenditure of a million dollars a year for ocean mall service—two lines, one from a northern and one from a southern port to Europe, and two lines to the West Indies and the Isthmus of Panama—the steamers carrying the mails to be built on designs approved by the Navy Department and held at the disposal of the Government in war.

Hon. Lewis Cass, of Michigan, in the United States Senate, May 7, 1852:

Hon. Lewis Cass, of Michigan, in the United States Senate, May 7, 1852:

"If the line we have established between this country and England should be now abandoned, I take it for granted that the business would be done by the British line. In that event the postal treaty would probably be terminated by notice, which each Government has the right to give, and the postage would be raised at least as high as it was before the reduction occasioned by the results of competition. The same freights of valuable goods would be carried as now, but at enhanced rates of transportation. Therefore, in a financial point of view, it seems to me that there are many considerations that weigh against the abandonment of this line."

Hon. George E. Badger, of North Carolina, in the United States Senate, May 6, 1852, in the debate on the Collins and Cunard steamship services:

Hon. George E. Badger, of North Carolina, in the United States Selate, May 6, 1852, in the debate on the Collins and Cunard steamship services:

"Mr. President, the question submitted for the consideration of the Senate is, we all admit, a question of high and controlling importance. It has been said in the course of this discussion that the contest between these two lines is now becoming a national contest between this country and Great Britain. I desire to amend that statement. From the very moment of the institution of the Collins Line it was a national contest. It has not recently assumed that character. It has always borne it. The enterprise was very far indeed from being in fact, or from being regarded by the country, as a mere contest between two rival companies as shipowners. It was one great active contest in that mighty drama for the mastery of the seas—for superiority in everything that belongs to strength, speed, effective power, and success for war and for commercial purposes, which long has been and ever must be the mightiest contest between this country and Great Britain. After having entered upon this peaceful and at the same time most important contest, the question presented to the American Congress now is, whether we shall dishonorably retrace our steps, whether, when the hand is stretched out to seize the crown of victory, we shall voluntarily surrender that which to obtain and perpetuate Great Britain would without any hesitation sacrifice a hundred times the amount of money which is involved in the question now before the Senate."

Hon. Thomas J. Rusk, of Texas, in the United States Senate, March 3, 1853:

"I know that this granting of an increased compensation to these

Hon. Thomas J. Rusk, of Texas, in the United States Senate, March 3, 1853:

"I know that this granting of an increased compensation to these vessels has been a fruitful theme for stump speeches all over the United States, but the system has made an advertisement throughout every sea that the Americans are the best shipbuilders in the world and have distanced their rivals. More than that: It has given you twenty-eight or thirty steamships, fit for war purposes, without additional expense to your Navy, for one-tenth of the sum for which you could build and maintain them in your Navy proper. We owe it to American industry and enterprise, to the hardy citizens of our country, to maintain the system. And we owe it to our national defenses, in my opinion, to maintain it."

Hon. James Shields, of Illinois, United States Senate, May 6, 1852:

"While the competition was between the American people and the English people the American people were successful; but when the English Government and the English people, united with the immense capital of their country, have devoted the whole energies of that nation to the building up and monopolizing of the steam power of the world, it is idle to talk about American citizens entering into competition with them. It is out of the question. You will have to bring home your lines and confine yourselves to your own inland trade and to your interior commerce. But never again, in my humble opinion, if you abandon this line, can you enter into competition with Great Britain on the ocean so far as steam navigation is concerned. Her Government and her people and her capital all unite in sustaining her lines."

Hon. Volney B. Howard, of Texas, House of Representatives, July 6,

"(In 1856 the mail subsidy system was broken down. By 1858 this repeal of the previous laws was entirely effective. The Collins Line went into bankruptcy. Its ships were laid up. One, the newest, largest, and finest—the Adriatic—was bought by a subsidized British company, and for many years held the Atlantic record under the British flag. The other American trans-Atlantic steamship lines were also abandoned. Commodore Vanderbilt and other shipowhers tried to run trans-Atlantic steamers without postal subsidies, and failed. They could not compete with the subsidy of nearly \$900,000 paid by Great British to the Cunard Line. The American flag had practically vanished from the steam routes of the North Atlantic before the breaking out of the civil war.)

SHIP MATERIALS ALREADY FREE.

All materials of every kind required for the construction, equipment, or repair of vessels built in this country for the foreign trade or for the long-voyage coastwise trade between our Atlantic and Pacific seaports are free of duty under sections 12 and 13 of the free list of the Dingley tariff, as follows:

"DINGLEY TARIFF FREE LIST.

"SEC. 12. That all materials of foreign production which may be necessary for the construction of vessels built in the United States for foreign account and ownership, or for the purpose of being employed in the foreign trade, including the trade between the Atlantic and Pacific ports of the United States, and all such materials necessary for the building of their machinery, and all articles necessary for their outfit and equipment, may be imported in bond under such regulations as the Secretary of the Treasury may prescribe, and upon proof that such materials have been used for such purposes no duty shall be paid thereon. But vessels receiving the benefit of this section shall not be allowed to engage in the coastwise trade of the United States more

than two months in any one year, except upon the payment to the United States of the duties of which a rebate is herein allowed: Provided, That vessels built in the United States for foreign account and ownership shall not be allowed to engage in the coastwise trade of the United States.

"Sec. 13. That all articles of foreign production needed for the repair of American vessels engaged in foreign trade, including the trade between the Atlantic and Pacific ports of the United States, may be withdrawn from bonded warehouses free of duty under such regulations as the Secretary of the Treasury may prescribe."

Under this law not only steel plates and shapes, but articles of equipment so elaborate and costly as ships' compasses have been imported free of duty for the use of vessels built in this country for the foreign trade and for the coastwise trade between the Atlantic and Pacific.

Nor are there any difficult customs regulations in the very

Pacific.

Nor are there any difficult customs regulations in the way. Arthur Sewall & Co., of Bath, Me., who imported from Great Britain duty free all of the steel plates, shapes, etc., for the construction of a large steel ship, the Dritgo, state that so far as the passing of these free materials by the Treasury officials was concerned, there was no trouble

materials by the Treasury officials was concerned, there was no croas-whatsoever.

The law is as liberal as it could possibly be made. Nothing which Congress by statute could do to give American shipbullders the widest freedom of materials for ships for ocean commerce has been left undone. The only criticism which could be made of this free-material legislation is that it is only partially applicable to ships for the coast-wise trade—a trade in which American vessels are not subject to competition with foreign ships, because foreign ships are and long have been absolutely prohibited under penalty of forfeiture from carrying freight from one American port to another.

THE COASTWISE RESTRICTION.

THE COASTWISE RESTRICTION.

THE COASTWISE RESTRICTION.

But this free-material privilege does apply unconditionally to the important coastwise trade in large and valuable ships between American ports on the Atlantic and American ports on the Pacific Ocean. This coastwise traffic, already a large one, will be increased many-fold by the completion of the Isthmian Canai. Under existing law ships built for this great coast trade through the canal can be constructed, equipped, and repaired entirely with free materials.

Moreover, ships built of free materials can, under existing law, be employed for two months in a year in the general coastwise trade of the United States. This apparent exclusion of free-material ocean ships from the coastwise service for ten months in a year is more of apparent than of real importance. Vessels adapted to ocean service and the long-voyage foreign trade as a rule are not suitable in size, draft, and general arrangement for the ordinary coastwise service, and would not engage in it in any event unless they were driven out of the ocean service for which they were especially designed.

It has happened of recent years in a very few instances that American ocean vessels, forced out of the foreign trade by foreign subsidies or cheap wages, have fallen back upon the coastwise trade, and have found in certain shorter voyages at least that the limitation of two months on free-material ships caused some inconvenience. But if there were a law for the encouragement of American shipping in the foreign trade, like this bill for the establishment of great ocean mail lines, American ocean vessels, having a fair chance in the foreign trade, would not fall back into the shorter coastwise voyages for which they were not designed and are not especially adapted.

"FREE MATERIALS" NOT ENOUGH.

One reason why larger use has not been made in this country of the free-material privilege for ocean shipbuilding is that our laws give no

One reason why larger use has not been made in this country of the free-material privilege for ocean shipbuilding is that our laws give no real adequate encouragement to shipowners to employ ocean ships after they are built. Since June, 1901—five years ago—not one order for a steamship for over-seas commerce has been given to any yard in the United States, with the exception of four steamers constructed in the Cramp yard at Philadelphia for the Ward Line to the West Indies and Mexico—a line receiving a moderate mail subvention under the ocean-mail law of 1891. Two of these four steamers, by the way, were built to take the place of two similar liners purchased by the Government.

Yet during all this time, and for years before any shipowers.

were built to take the place of two similar liners purchased by the Government.

Yet during all this time, and for years before, any shipowner or builder who desired to send to Scotland for his steel plates and shapes and other materials, not only for the construction but for the equipment and repair of a vessel for the deep-sea trade or for the coastwise trade between our Atlantic and Pacific ports, could have brought in such materials by the shipload, and received a rebate of every penny of the duty. If our ocean fleet has not increased, it has not been for lack of free access to the free materials of the world; it has not been because of the "extortion" of any trust or the "barriers" of a protective tariff.

The truth is that "free materials" alone, as has so often been demonstrated, are not a determining factor in the prosperity of any industry. Take, for example, the manufacture of cotton fabrics. The raw cotton of which they are made is on the free list, for the good and sufficient reason that the United States has had almost a natural monopoly in the production of this important fiber. But nobody has assumed that free cotton was all that was required to make cotton manufacturing prosperous. On the contrary, the cotton manufacturer of New England, the Carolinas, Georgia, and Alabama is protected and encouraged to use this free cotton by tariff duties on the finished product ranging from 25 to 50 per cent ad valorem. But the ocean shipowner has no protection at all.

A PARALLEL INSTANCE.

A PARALLEL INSTANCE.

To put the case in another way: Suppose that the pig iron, the steel, and other materials required for the making of textile machinery were admitted free of duty. Would it be seriously contended that because there were free materials for the making of the machinery of his trade the cotton manufacturer ought therefore to be deprived of all of his tariff protection of 25 to 50 per cent and be compelled to compete under free-trade conditions with the cotton manufacturers of Europe? Dose anybody imagine that if all protection were thus stripped away from the cotton-manufacturing industry there would be much of a demand for new looms, or that very much cotton weaving or spinning machinery would be constructed of free materials or of any other kind of materials in the United States?

The ship is the machinery of the shipowner's trade, just as the loom is the machinery of the trade of the cotton manufacturer. If the manufacturer of cotton were denied all protection and encouragement in this country, he would dismantle his mill and either quit the trade altogether or invest his capital and his skill in manufacturing in Europe.

in Europe.

This is exactly what has been done by some American shipowners. Denied protection at home on their industry of ship sailing and management—that is, on the use of the machinery of their trade—the mere fact that the materials of this machinery can be imported free of duty has proved of absolutely no avail. These shipowners have transferred their capital and their skill to foreign shipping. They have taken advantage of the cheap wages and sometimes of the subsidies of Europe. They are operating ships built, officered, manned, supplied, and repaired by foreigners. Their dividends, if there are any, come to this country, but every dollar expended for labor goes abroad. Their money and their business ability are utilized to develop the shipyards and to strengthen the naval reserves of foreign governments—to create a great alien sea power which could be turned with terrible force against their own country in case of war.

Of course, most of the capital invested in foreign merchant marines is foreign capital, but Americans are part owners in foreign shipping estimated at upward of a million tons. It is a significant fact that the heaviest American owner of foreign ships—the American element in the International Mercantile Marine Company—has been compelled by the British Government to keep these ships beneath the British flag, to build their successors in the United Kingdom, to officer and man them with British subjects, and to maintain the executive control of British tonnage in British hands. Therefore, if a "free-ship" law were passed by the United States it would be entirely ineffective, so thoroughly clinched is this international fleet to its British allegiance.

tirely ineffective, so thoroughly clinched is this international neet to its British allegiance.

TO MAKE FREE MATERIALS EFFECTIVE.

In order to make the "free-material" privilege genuinely effective, the United States Government must protect and encourage American shipowners, as it has long protected and encouraged American cotton manufacturers. This can not be done by the tariff, but it can be done, as the history of the whole maritime world demonstrates, by a careful system of ocean-mail subventions, like that proposed in this bill now before the House. It is under such a system as this that Japan has increased her merchant fleet from about 300,000 tons to 1,000,000 tons within a decade. And Japan, unlike the United States, had no shipyards to begin with, no maritime traditions, no experience in long-voyage navigation, no great bodies of skilled labor.

All foreign shipowners, all foreign manufacturers and merchants, all foreign governments, dread the application of the protective principle by the United States to the one great American industry exposed to foreign competition which has thus far remained unprotected. They know well that protection, once adequately applied to American shipowning, will do what it has done for American annufacturing. It is probable that the chief hope of the enemies of the American merchant marine is to obstruct the proposed legislation by exploiting the idea that these subventions, if paid, would largely go to enrich the steel trust, which could charge extortionate prices for the materials of which new American ships were built. Therefore, a thorough understanding of the real facts of the case is of very great importance.

A MISUNDERSTANDING CORRECTED.

Standing of the real facts of the case is of very great importance.

A MISUNDERSTANDING CORRECTED.

There have been some statements that American steel makers were selling ship steel abroad at a lower price than to American shipbuilders. The most explicit and authoritative assertion to this effect was that made on June 28, 1904, by Mr. James C. Wallace, then vice-president of the American Shipbuilding Company (engaged exclusively in lake work) before the Merchant Marine Commission at Cleveland. (Volume II, page 811 of the hearings of the Commission.) Mr. Wallace said:

"Recently one of our largest steel mills sold abroad 100,000 tons of steel plate. They delivered it, I understand, at Belfast at \$24 a ton. That would practically mean, with ocean rates as they are, \$22-a ton at tide water. They are charging us to-day at Pittsburg \$32 a ton." A little further on:

"Representative Grosvenor. I want to know who bought the steel you speak of?

"Mr. WALLACE. The Harland & Wolf Company, Belfast.

"Representative Grosvenor. From whom did they buy it?

"Mr. WALLACE. The United States Steel Corporation."

In one or two other hearings of the Merchant Marine Commission similar statements were made by other persons, but nowhere so definitely as at Cleveland. It has since appeared, however, that all these witnesses were misinformed. On April 11, 1906, Judge Gary, chairman of the board of directors of the United States Steel Corporation, was questioned directly before the House Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries as to this testimony of Mr. Wallace. Judge Gary said:

"I notice that Mr. Wallace apparently speaks from information. If

said:

"I notice that Mr. Wallace apparently speaks from information. If he had such information, it was entirely unreliable. The statement is not founded in fact. The companies in which we are interested sold no ship plate in 1905 to Europe, only a little over 3,000 tons in 1904, not any in 1903, and, I think, not any in 1902." (Page 275 of the Hearings before the Committee on the Merchant Marine and Fisheries, April 4 to 13, 1906.)

THE ACTUAL FIGURES.

Further on Judge Gary declared that "the export prices of ship plate at the present time are nearly equal to the domestic prices." There is specific proof of this fact in figures submitted by ocean ship-builders to Senator J. H. GALLINGER, chairman of the Merchant Marine Commission. While the shipping bill was being considered by the Senate, before its passage, Chairman GALLINGER wrote to two great shipyards on the Delaware River, asking what was the actual difference in cost between American and foreign ship steel, and what proportion this constituted of the cost of the completed vessel.

These were the replies received:

These were the replies received:

THE WILLIAM CRAMP & SONS SHIP

AND ENGINE BUILDING COMPANY,
OFFICE OF THE VICE-PRESIDENT,
Philadelphia, January 15, 1906.

Dear Sir: Referring to your letter of the 11th instant, requesting to be informed as to the number of tons of steel plates and shapes required for the construction of each of four such steamers as we are now building for the New York and Cuba Mail Steamship Company, and how much more the steel would cost if purchased at the ruling prices in this country than if imported from Great Britain, I beg to state as follows:

The amount of steel plates in one vessel is 1,900 tons and the amount of steel shapes in one vessel is 990 tons.

Recently, by reason of the rapid rise in the prices of materials in Great Britain, the foreign builder pays almost as much for plates and

shapes as we do; in fact, the difference in cost between steel purchased in this country and abroad for vessels of this size would be as follows: Plates : Shapes

Shapes — 6,880 making a total saving of \$11,209 in the material purchased abroad. The steamers referred to will cost complete upward of \$900,000 each; so that the difference in the cost of their steel plates and shapes between here and abroad represents only a little more than 1 per cent of the total value of each steamer.

Where vessels have been intended for the foreign trade alone the various steel interests have offered to sell us the materials at the best expect prices.

Where various steel interests export prices.

Very truly, yours,

Hon. Jacob H. Gallinger,

Chairman Merchant Marine Commission

of the United States, Washington, D. C.

New York Shipbuilding Company,

Camden, N. J., January 16, 1906.

of the 11th instant, I beg to s Sir: In response to your inquiry of the 11th instant, I beg to say that the last quotation which we have on foreign steel was under date of November 20, 1905, when we obtained prices for the purpose of quoting on a steamer to be built under sections 12 and 13, free list, Dingley law. It was afterwards decided to build the steamer abroad. The foreign and domestic prices at that date appear below. I may add that the domestic prices of steel are the same at the present time, and I am not advised whether there has been any change in the foreign prices.

Plates: Steel Company of Scotland, f. o. b. Philadelphia, in Shapes: Steel Company of Scotland, f. o. b. Philadelphia, in 1.731

 bond
 1.64½

 Plates: Domestic, f. o. b. Philadelphia
 1.73½

 Shapes: Domestic, f. o. b. Philadelphia
 1.83½

Difference in cost of plates and shapes for a 500-foot freight and passenger steamer built of foreign and domestic steel at the above prices estimated as follows:

	Domestic.		Fore		
	Cost per pound.	Total.	Cost per pound.	Total.	Differ- ence.
8,000,000 pounds plates 3,000,000 pounds shapes	Cents. 1.73½ 1.83½	\$138, 800 55, 050	Cents. 1.73½ 1.64½	\$138,600 49,350	\$200 5,700
Total		193,850		187, 950	5, 900

We would regard the above as a fair estimate of the amount of steel plates and shapes required in the building of a 500-foot steamer, but the amount, of course, would vary according to the type of vessel. A ship of this size would probably require from 4,500 to 5,000 tons. The completed value in this country of a vessel of this description would be about \$800,000 to \$900,000.

It is only fair to add that at the time the above foreign quotations were received ship plates and shapes were very high abroad, owing to the great activity there in shipbuilding.

Xours, respectfully,

S. M. Knox,

Secretary and Treasurer.

S. M. Knox, Secretary and Treasurer.

Hon. Jacob H. Gallinger, Secretary and Treasurer.

United States Senator, Washington, D. C.

In the case of one yard, the difference in the cost of American and foreign material was only a little more than 1 per cent of the entire cost of the completed steamships; in the other yard, about one-half of 1 per cent. Thus both Mr. Cramp and Mr. Knox confirm the statement of Judge Gary that the domestic price and the foreign price of ship steel are very nearly equal. It should be understood that there is an advantage to the shipbuilder in procuring his materials at home, from the fact that he can be in closer touch with the domestic manufacturer a few hundred miles away than with the foreign manufacturer in Scotland, and that changes can be more quickly made and deficiencies rectified. American steel therefore is actually worth a somewhat higher price to American shipbuilders than are foreign materials.

Mr. Cramp, Admiral Bowles, and several other shipbuilders testified at length in April before the House Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries, and with the exception of one builder, who acknowledged that he had had no recent experience, these practical men declared that there was little, if any, difference in the price of ship steel between the American and the foreign article.

steel between the American and the foreign article.

TESTIMONY OF MR. CRAMP.

Mr. Cramp's evidence on this point was as follows:

"In the case of an ordinary ship, whether it is a cargo boat or a merchant ship, about 33 per cent of its value is in the material that is delivered to the shipyards which the works themselves do not manufacture. That means plates and shapes. We make brass castings, but not the raw pig or the pumps and forgings. Now, in the present condition of prices we can purchase forgings in this country, notwith-standing there is a boom on and notwithstanding that labor is as high as ever it has been in the history of the country—we can buy forgings, steel castings, and such materials in this country for less money than abroad, and we get the other materials for practically the same price.

"Mr. WILSON. What part of the ship's castings and materials can you buy in this country cheaper than abroad?

"Mr. CRAMP. I said the forgings and steel castings.

"Mr. WILSON. What part of the value of the ship I have no do.

"Mr. Wilson. What part of the value of the ship does that constitute?

"Mr. Cramp. Of the materials that enter into the ship I have no details. The forgings represent a certain percentage of the vessel, but we never work it out that way. At present all of the materials that enter into the cost of the construction of a ship can be bought at the same price in this country as abroad. Many details we can buy for less.

"Mr. Littlefield. Does that mean f. o. b., or delivered here with freight added?

"Mr. Cramp. That is without the freight added, but that is a very small item, being only about \$2.50 or \$3 a ton across the ocean.

"Mr. Hinshaw. Please make that plain. You say that a vast ma-

jority of the materials which enter into the construction of a ship can be bought in this country as cheaply, at least, as abroad?

"Mr. Cramp. Yes, sir."

"Mr. Vilson, and since that time materials have risen over there and practically kept still here.

"Mr. Wilson. According to that there is practically no difference today between the cost of foreign-built vessels?

"Mr. VILSON. According to that there is practically no difference today between the cost of foreign-built vessels and American-built vessels?"

"Mr. Cramp. No. sir; the materials that enter into the ship.

"Mr. Cramp. No. sir; the materials that enter into the ship.

"Mr. Cramp. No. sir; the materials that enter into the ship.

"Mr. Cramp. No. sir; the materials that enter into the ship.

"Mr. Cramp. No. sir; the materials that enter into the ship.

"Mr. GOULDEN. Does that apply to the coastwise steamers?

"Mr. GOULDEN. Does that apply to the coastwise steamers?

"Mr. Cramp. No. sir; but there have been times when the prices went up. For instance, the effect of the steel corporation during the last three or four years has been to steady the price for the market. Previously, in 1896, the price of steel went down under the influence of bad times to 1 cent a pound, the lowest price ever known in this country and very much lower than in England. That was caused by the depression following the panics of 1893 that carried so many steel companies into bankruptcy.

"Mr. GOULDEN. The average cost of the materials that enter into the coastwise steamers is about the same here as abroad?

"Mr. Cramp. Yes, sir.

"Mr. Spight. At this time the cost of material is no more in this country than in foreign yards.

"Mr. Cramp. Yes, sir.

"Mr. Spight. And the only difference in the cost of construction in American yards and foreign yards is the labor?

"Mr. Cramp. Yes, sir, absolutely.

"Mr. Spight. And the only difference?

"Mr. Cramp. Prestically double.

"Mr. Cramp. Yes, ir; absolutely.

"Mr. Cramp. Yes, ir; and labor is the other two-thirds.

"Mr. Wilson. That is the cost of the material?

"Mr. Cramp. Yes, ir; and labor is the other two-thirds.

"Mr. Cramp. Yes, ir; and labor is the other two-thirds.

"Mr. Cramp. Yes, ir; and labor is the other two-thirds.

"Mr. Cramp. Yes, ir; and labor is the other two-thirds.

"Mr. Cramp. Yes, ir; and labor is the other two-thirds.

"Mr. Cramp. Yes, ir; and labor is the other two-thirds.

"M

Admiral Francis T. Bowles, formerly chief of the Bureau of Construction of the United States Navy, and now president of the Fore River Shipbuilding Company at Quincy, Mass., also made a careful statement as to the cost of materials before the House committee, saying:

"There have been various questions raised here about the influence of the cost of structural steel upon our present situation. I have had an instance within my own knowledge of the building of a vessel in our shipyard from English plans, in which I knew the exact cost of that vessel in an English yard. We took the greatest pains with our ship, not only to keep an accurate account of the cost, but to keep it as low as possible. The actual facts there were that that ship cost us 50 per cent more in our own yard, the cost being kept exactly in the same way as it was on the other side.

"Mr. Goulden. How long ago was this? When did this occur?"

"Mr. Bowles. It was for a ship completed last December.

"Mr. Goulden. December, 1905?

"Mr. Bowles. Yes.

"Mr. Bowles. Yes.

"Mr. Rowles. No; I did not make that statement.

"Mr. HINSHAW. This difference of 50 per cent is almost entirely in the wages, is it?

"Mr. Bowles. I am unable to state exactly, but from what I can find, the wages in our yard are from 50 to 75 per cent higher than they are in the English and Scotch shipyards.

"With regard to materials at the present day, the state of affairs is this; Steel delivered in an English shipyard costs from 15 to 20 per cent less than ours at the present time. On other materials the difference less than ours at the present time. On other materials the difference is greater. I want to keep my percentages applied in the same way, so I will say this; The cost of steel delivered in our shipyard.

"Mr. Bowles. Su Penglish manufacturers?

"Mr. Bowles. Su Penglish manufacturers.

"Mr. HINSHAW. By English manufacturers?

"Mr. Bowles. In both cases?

"Mr. Bowles. In both cases."

"Mr. Bowles. In both cases.

"Mr. Bowles. In hoth cases."

"Mr. Bowles. In hoth cases."

"

"Mr. Spight. Admiral, did I understand you to say that the cost of English steel in our yards is about the same as the cost of American steel?

"Mr. Bowles. Yes, sir.

"Mr. Spight. And yet in the British yards English steel costs from 15 to 20 per cent less than ours?

"Mr. Bowles. Yes.

"Mr. Bowles. Yes.

"Mr. Bowles. That is because that difference to us would be absorbed in freight, insurance, and handling.

"Mr. Spight. It would cost 15 or 20 per cent to get it here?

"Mr. Bowles. Yes."

(Pages 415, 416, and 417 of the Hearings before the Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries, April 4 to 13, 1906.)

These expert witnesses emphasize not only the fact that there is very little difference in cost between the American and the foreign ship steel, but also the fact that the cost of materials is of minor consequence anyway, and that the price of a ship is made up chiefly—two-thirds, Mr. Cramp testified—of the cost of the labor employed in working up the materials and putting them together. To protect and encourage American ocean shipping, therefore, means to benefit American labor and not to enrich the steel trust.

THE REAL DOMINANT FACTOR.

THE REAL DOMINANT FACTOR.

As the Merchant Marine Commission has summed up the question in its memorandum, "Ship materials already free:"
"It is not the price of materials, or only that in relatively small part, which makes an American vessel cost more than a British vessel. The dominating factor is not the materials, but the wages of the skilled workman who fashions the plates, beams, etc., into the finished ship.

"Yet this does not mean that American ships will always cost more than British ships. There was a time when American locomotives and railway bridges cost a great deal more than British locomotives and bridges, but that was before locomotive and bridge builders in this country had gathered experience, practiced standardizing, and achieved all the economies of large production. Now we manufacture locomotives and bridges and sell them in competition all over the world. When, by firm and adequate encouragement to shipping, we develop shipbuilding also to a manufacturing business, high wages paid to workmen in constant, not spasmodic, employment will not prevent a steady output of ships as low in cost as they are efficient and economical in operation."

THE FARMERS AND AMERICAN SHIPPING.

NATIONAL GRANGE, PATRONS OF HUSBANDRY, Concord, N. H., January 26, 1907.

NATIONAL GRANGE, PATRONS OF HUSBANDEY, Concord, N. H., January 26, 1907.

Sir: I regret the duty which devolves upon me to advise you, on behalf of the great national organization of farmers which I have the honor to represent, that the farmers of the nation are for the first time unitedly and steadfastly opposed to the legislative recommendations you have made to Congress in your recent message favoring ship subsidies. For ten consecutive years the Patrons of Husbandry in their national and State conventions have registered their opposition to such legislation. That we have in this opposition the support of a very large majority of the manufacturing and business interests of the nation was evident from the overwhelming defeat which the advocates of ship subsidies met in their efforts to commit the recent convention of the Association for the Advancement of Foreign Commerce of the United States to an indorsement of their ideas. The vote in favor of ship subsidies in that convention, when a standing vote was demanded, was only 41 in a total of over 300 voting delegates present.

We believe it would be impossible for the measure now before Congress to pass the House without the influence of your support. If it is to become a law, we would respectfully submit that the principle of the "square deal," with which your name is so honorably associated, requires that an amendment should be added providing for enforced public accounting of any business or corporation for which such subsidies or subventions are asked, and that no moneys should be paid out of the public Treasury for such purposes without such public accounting and which such public accounting did not show such assistance was necessary to make the business profitable.

We protest most urgently against any future payments of the public funds to any private firm or corporation for any purpose whatsoever without safeguarding such payments by such public accounting of the business of the person, firm, or corporation to whom such payments are to be made, and we

N. J. BACHELDER,
Master National Grange Patrons of Husbandry. The PRESIDENT, Washington, D. C.

CHAIRMAN GROSVENOR'S REPLY.

CHAIRMAN GROSVENOR'S REPLY.

Dear Sin: The President has brought to my attention your letter of January 27, protesting, on behalf of the National Grange, against the shipping bill which has been reported favorably to the House of Representatives by the Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries, of which I am chairman.

This is a bill to protect and encourage a part at least of our merchant marine exactly as the Dingley tariff protects and encourages American farmers. I believe in this policy and so, I assume, does a Republican ex-governor of New Hampshire. I believe that a very great majority of the members of the National Grange approve it. Free traders twenty-five years ago used to urge that American farmers needed no protection and could not be benefited by protection. That argument is dead. If the shipowners and sailors of Boston, Philadelphia, or San Francisco came before Congress to demand free wool from Australia and Argentina, free flour from Manitoba, and free dairy products, vegetables, meats, and cattle from Ontario, Quebec, and Nova Scotia, the master of the National Grange, with American farmers solidly behind him, would denounce this as egregious selfishness, and so it would be.

Now, American ship builders and owners and the men who work for them in their shippards and on their ships have just as good a right to their share in the protective policy as you or I have. Their calling is just as dear to them. They are as absolutely dependent on it for their livelihood. Unfortunately they can not be protected by the tariff, and as a result those of them engaged in the deep-sea trade—one of the manliest, most noble, and most indispensable of all callings—have been left unprotected for half a century by our Republican Government. As a result, this is the only industry which has declined, as it has done almost to the point of destruction.

Now, apparently you would go further and annihilate it by absolutely

denying all protection and encouragement. You would make a waste place of our last shipyard and drive our last shipowners and seamen and shipyard mechanics to choose between the poor house and foreign lands. How would you like it if, because of national neglect, American farmers, who were supplying 90 per cent of the farm products consumed by this country, were, through foreign subsidies, bounties, cheap wages, and the neglect of their own Government, brought down to a miserable 10 per cent? How would you like it if the Dingley tarlif duties on agricultural products were removed and Canadian farmers, with their cheap labor and cheap lands, supplied the markets of Boston and other New England cities and bankrupted nine out of ten of your New Hampshire farmers? And if when the President of the United States recommended legislation that in some degree would relieve this situation and restore your industry the people of Boston and Portiand and other towns who live by and on the sea should send a protest to the President and Congress against this and demand a continuance of the free-trade policy which had destroyed you?

That is exactly what you are doing now against your brethren, the ship owners and builders, the shipyard workmen and sailors, of New England and America.

You are doing it doubtless without intending to do so, and through a sheer misapprehension of the facts. You are permitting your prejudice against former shipping bills to warp you against this one. This is not a general ship-subsidy measure. It is a bill to extend our present inadequate policy of postal payments to regular steamship lines, so that we shall have ocean mail lines of our own to South America. Australia, Japan, China, and the Philippines. To the Orient we have a few ships running, but in most cases the complete lines provided for by this bill will have to be established from the beginning, and as many as twenty-five or thirty new steamships will have to be built under the inspection of the Navy Department as auxiliary cruisers, their

nothing experimental amount this. It is the policy of all nations, in the content of the core of the c

American-built and American-manned snips for all services rendered, including the carriage of mails and the right to use the ships in time of war."

This was intended to be, and is, a specific description and indorsement of the bill ordered favorably reported on the previous day by the House Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries. This is the bill which President Roosevelt advocates in his special message to Congress. It is the only shipping bill before Congress and the country.

It is simply an extension of existing law. That law has been tried for fifteen years. It has sufficiently protected the interests of the Government. There is no need of forcing American shipowners to show their books and prove their poverty. That fact is notorious. While agriculture, like all other protected industries, has increased enormously, shipping in overseas trade has shrunk to a third of the tonnage of 1861. This is a disgrace to the Republican party, the one great Republican failure, the one deepest blot on Republican Administrations.

President Roosevelt and his Administration and the Republican majority in Congress have set themselves to the task of righting this error

and restoring the American merchant marine. They have begun by a cautious, well-considered measure of ocean mail legislation. The National Grange ought to support the President and stand by the Government in this great and patriotic undertaking, and I am convinced that if you will study the facts and get them fairly before your people the farmers of America will recognize that President Roosevelt is as brave and right in this as he has been in other acts which have made his Administration memorable.

Very truly, yours,

C. H. GROSVENOR.

C. H. Grosvenor,
Chairman Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries,
House of Representatives.

Hon. N. J. Bachelder,
Master National Grange,
Patrons of Husbandry, Concord, N. H.

HOW A FOREIGN STEAMSHIP TRUST DOMINATES OUR SOUTH AMERICAN

How a foreign steamship trust dominates our south american sell vessels took cargoes from our whole Atlantic coast for Brazil and Argentina in the first six months of 1906.

So far as steamships are concerned this important American trade is now, and for several years has been, monopolized by a foreign shipping trust or combination, whose weapons are rebates, discriminations, and boycotting, and whose policies are dictated from Liverpool and Hamburg.

Consul-General Seeger, at Rio de Janeiro, spoke thus of this foreign steamship combination in a report in 1903:

"The united steamship companies which control the carrying trade between the United States and Brazil—the Lamport & Holt Line, the Prince Line, the Robert M. Sloman Line, and the Chargeurs Reunishave agreed to raise their rates on coffee from Santos and Rio de Janeiro from 30 cents and 5 per cent primage per bag of 133 pounds to 35 cents and 5 per cent. This rate will go into force in October, but as the cargoes for the steamships Byron, Catania, Bellarden, and Soldier Prince have already been in large part purchased, these steamers, leaving in the early part of October, have been excepted from this tariff and will carry their cargoes at the old rate."

Before this, in an earlier report, the consul-general had stated:

"Since last August the freights have been raised and lowered and lowered and raised again to suit the purpose of the trust till they have reached their present level. "" The trust has an agreement with coffee shippers here to pay them a rebate of 5 per cent at the end of every six months from the date of the agreement on all freights collected; provided, however, that this rebate is forfeited in case the shippers give freight to any vessel not belonging to the trust during the period stipulated. Through this arrangement the trust controls the shippers and American vessels go home in ballast."

At described the situation:

"Our commerce with Brazil and the River Plata countries is at the mercy of such a shipping combine. Ostensibly four li

been placed on the berth the syndicate has promptly lowered its freight to 10 cents, besides boycotting the shippers patronizing the intruder.

"Another way by which the syndicate tightens its grip on its victims is to offer them a graduated return on the freights paid at the end of the year, provided no case of infidelity has occurred. An example illustrative of the combine's methods of persuasion and the shippers' liberty of trade happened last fall when a large coffee firm in Santos received an order for 20,000 bags of coffee from New York. The syndicate's freight charge was 40 cents a bag plus 5 per cent, but several outsiders were anxious to carry this cargo at 20 cents, which meant a saving of \$4,000 to the exporter on this lot alone, and in the same proportion an economy of \$1,000,000 to American coffee drinkers on the 5,000,000 bags imported from Brazil last crop year. The firm in question, having the freight room on hand at 20 cents, asked the syndicate to take the coffee at the same rate, and on the latter's refusal advanced its offer to 30 cents. The combine insisted on its full pound of fiesh, and when the exporter accepted the tramp's charter, the former dropped its rates to 15 cents and later to 10 cents for all other shippers, debarring this firm and one or two other strikers from shipping on the combined boats except at the full old rates.

"The enormous advantages enjoyed by their less independent competitors, thanks to the combine's bounty, and worth thousands of dollars a day in a business worked on close margins and daily cable offers, soon brought the insurgents to terms, capitulation followed, and the former rates were restored. One over-conscientious agent at Santos demurred to boycotting his neighbor, and his scruples cost him the loss of the Sloman Line agency."

A New York merchant, familiar with the Brazilian trade, wrote thus on August 19, 1905, in the New York Journal of Commerce:

"I beg leave to call your attention to the very important fact, evidently overlooked by Special Agent

fact that these monopolists, notably Lamport & Holt, discriminate in favor of some of the large importers of coffee by making them substantial concessions in freight, which, of course, is detrimental to the smaller importers. This disgraceful state of affairs certainly calls for a drastic remedy. As a merchant and shipper long connected with Brazil, I most heartily and unqualifiedly indorse Consul Furniss's recommendation concerning the need for an American steamship line between the United States and Brazil. Practically the entire trade between the United States and Amazon ports and Maranham and Ceara is monopolized by the Booth Steamship Company of Liverpool, which, owing to arrangements concluded with other steamship companies, dictates rates, conditions, etc., to suit itself, but always at the expense of the Interests of this country. I hope the consul's appeal will result in the establishment of a new line of steamers, which I ampositive would speedily secure a very large share of the business between this country and Brazil.

Consul Furniss, at Bahla, alluded to above, said in his annual report for 1904:

"I have to reiterate my oft-repeated report of the need for an American steamship line. The mail service between the United States and this section of Brazil during the year just past has become much worse than heretofore, due to the withdrawal of one or two monthly boats. As a result of the cargo offering here for the United States and the frequent call of vessels to get it, coupled with the fact that Brazil requires all steamers to take mail, there have been frequent calls of vessels to get mails from here, but there is only one regular boat bringing mails from New York. Between times letters are sent hither from New York by various roundabout ways. This has virtually paralyzed the mail service. For this reason it is frequently the case that mail sent from New York in the middle of a month arrives here days after the mail leaving New York on the first of the ensuing month. This causes great prejudice t

than heretofore.

"The manner in which the trade interests of the United States are made to suffer by reason of the inadequacy of the transportation service between this country and South American ports is nothing short of a crime, which must be laid at the doors of Congress. Religiously protecting our interests in every other way, fostering and encouraging our manufacturers and developing home industries for domestic consumption, it makes no provisions for markets for surplus products, and thus paves the way for future industrial stagnation. In the meantime other countries reap the benefits of the trade demands of these nations by establishing steamship lines and commercial agencies in every important city. Is it any wonder that Mr. Lincoln Hutchinson, who is now in Brazil making a study of the conditions there, exclaims: 'The mass of the people scarcely know that such a country as the United States exists!'

1878] In 1904 Hon. John Barrett, then minister to Argentina, said idress on our South American trade before the Merchant Marine

In 1904 non. John Barrett, then minister to Argentina, said in an address on our South American trade before the Merchant Marine Commission:

"I wish to explain a little in regard to this point. The question arises, If the business is there, why do not men go into it? Let me remind you that Europe has become established in this trade in the first place, and that she controls it at the present time. All the steamship lines that undertake this business are European steamship lines, and wishing to build up the trade with Europe rather than with America they form combinations and use their influence against the establishment of American lines. You see that in the agreement of the Lamport & Holt Line, which runs a line of passenger to Rio, but does not go on to Buenos Ayres. Because of an agreement with the Royal Mail Steamship Company of England they agree that they will not run their passenger steamers farther than Rio, and yet I was informed in New York and Philadelphia that an American company was already organized that would be willing to undertake to put on a line of steamers between New York and Buenos Ayres, provided they could receive enough money for carrying the mails to insure them against loss while they were establishing a regular trade and traffic."

Mr. Anderson, the present consul-general at Rio de Janeiro, speaks of the foreign steamship combination in the Daily Consular and Trade Reports of September 29, 1906:

"Merchants complain that the high freight rates obtaining on goods from the United States to Brazil generally continue to act as a deterrent to trade in general. The conference rates (the conference is the European steamship trust) on goods from the United States to this part of South America are nearly twice as high as freight rates from Asiatic ports to the United States."

Ambassador Griscom, at Rio de Janeiro, in a report to the State Department, published in the Daily Consular and Trade Reports of October 1, 1906, says:

"The English company of Lamport & Holt have been running a

partment, published in the Daily Consular and Trade Reports of October 1, 1906, says:

"The English company of Lamport & Holt have been running a monthly service (between Rio and New York) with a practical monopoly, and without competition the freights have been prohibitive. It is hoped that we are entering upon a new era more favorable to merchants who may desire to reach out for trade with Brazil. The crying need of our relations with Brazil is better steamship communication. Inquiry among our leading financiers and merchants indicates that encouragement by our National Government in the form of a small postal or other subvention would quickly bring about the establishment of a good line of American steamers between New York and Rio. Given a few facilities our trade with Brazil must inevitably go ahead with leaps and bounds."

The Brazilian Government has lately subsidized a small steam line

bounds."

The Brazilian Government has lately subsidized a small steam line of its own to New York to break this European monopoly. Consul-General Anderson states that the facilities provided by these Brazilian craft "are not such as to offer any improvement over present service," but even such feeble competition has shaken the European steamship

trust as disclosed in this report from Mr. Anderson in the Daily Consular and Trade Reports of December 10, 1906:

"The steamship Goyas, the ship of the Lloyd Brazileiro, which inaugurated a regular service between Brazil and the United States about the latter part of August, took a cargo of coffee at 20 cents per bag, as compared with the price of 35 cents charged by the conference ships, the latter of course being subject to the rebate agreed upon, which is made at the end of the year and is proportionate to the amount of coffee shipped. In addition to this cut in the market made by the Brazilian line, one of the largest shippers of coffee in Rio chartered a ship and furnished her total cargo the past week, making quite a cut in the cargoes expected for several conference ships. The result of the opposition to the ship combine is uncertain, but it seems to be generally agreed that the conference rate is too high.

"Freights between the United States and Brazil are much higher than those obtaining in the rest of the world, the rate from New York to Rio de Janeiro being about twice what the rate is from Hongkong to New York. American exporters are vitally interested in this matter, for even assuming that the rates from Europe to Brazil and from the United States to Brazil are practically the same—a fact which is not yet established—it is yet to be noted that the high freight rates shut American exporters out of markets which otherwise they might have. Low freight rates, for instance, would enable American millers to ship American flour to ports in Brazil far south of their present limit. Freight rates from New York to Brazil similar to those obtaining between New York and the Far East would mean largely increased sales of American flour. What is true of flour is true of other things. The rebate system adopted by the shipping combine also works directly and materially against small shippers, among the latter being most American exporters selling to the Brazilian trade."

"It is possible that if there were Americ

BRITISH MAIL LINES TO SOUTH AMERICA.

BRITISH MAIL LINES TO SOUTH AMERICA.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND LABOR,
BUREAU OF NAVIGATION,
Washington, February 1, 1907.

MY DEAR GENERAL: Referring to your letter of the 27th ultimo on
the subject of subsidies paid to British lines to South America, I inclose herewith a complete statement taken from the annual reports
of the British postmasters-general.

Sincerely, yours,

E. T. CHAMBERLAIN. Commissioner. Hon. C. H. GROSVENOR,

House of Representatives.

Subsidies paid to British lines to South America.

	1	Royal	Mail.		Pacific
Year.	West Indies.	West In- dies (addi- tional).	West Indies to Brazil and Buenos Ayres.	West Indies (additional), Liverpool to Buenos Ayres.	Steam Nav- igation Co.; Pacific and West In- dies, Callao, Valparaiso, and Panama.
1840	£5,600				
1841	80,000				
			**********		*********
	240,000				
1843	240,000				
1841	240,000				
1845	240,000				
1846	240,000				£15,000
1847	240,000				20,000
1848	240,000				20,000
1849	240,000				20,000
1850	240,000				
	240,000		200 000	**********	20,000
			£30,000		25,000
1852	240,000		30,000		25,000
1853	240,000		30,000		25,000
1854 a					
1855 a					
1856 a					
1857 α					
1858	b 293, 500				
	b 293, 500				
1859					********
	270,000	**********			25,000
1861	270,000				25,000
1862 a					
1863 a					
1864 a					
1865 a					
1866 a					

1868a	***********	*********	**********		**********
1869 a	172, 914	£5,556	33,500	£5,418	18, 250
1870 a		**********			
1871	172,914	4,302	33,500	15,674	18, 250
1872		11,279	33,500	15, 263	15, 833

No particulars in Postmaster-General's report.
 Apparently for all three services.

Subsidies paid to British lines to South America-Continued.

		Royal	Mail.		Pacific Steam Nav-	
Year.	West Indes.	West In- dies (addi- tional).	West In- dies to Bra- zil and Buenos Ayres.	West In- dies (addi- tional), Liv- erpool to Buenos Ayres,	Pacific and	
1873	£172, 914 86, 750 86, 750	£11,173 3,993 11,030	£33,500 20,828 6,976	£13,385 11,777 9,701	£15,896 17,437 17,263	
1876 a 1877 1878 1879 1880 1881 1881 1882 1883 1884 1885 1886 1886 1887 1889 1890 1891 1892 1893	86, 750 86, 750 85, 188 80, 500 80, 500 80, 500 80, 500 80, 500 80, 500 90, 000 92, 709 95, 000 85, 000 85, 000	10, 650 10, 817 10, 893 4, 282 3, 931 1, 757 3, 279 2, 965 8, 022 3, 968 3, 071 1, 510 559 532 354 374	18, 609 14, 868 10, 534 11, 760 11, 338 12, 165 11, 725 13, 256 12, 065 14, 822 16, 793 22, 104 21, 132 23, 817 21, 907 22, 475 24, 290 22, 725	(b)	4,788 2,942 2,656 2,876 3,417 3,381 3,160 3,397 4,080 4,167 4,895 5,195 5,040 5,780	
1895	81, 250 80, 000 80, 000 79, 500 80, 000 80, 000 79, 880 87, 652 84, 500 21, 125	410 440	22, 838 26, 145 28, 807 38, 907 27, 859 10, 539 10, 505 10, 589 11, 474 11, 830		5, 880 5, 850 7, 373 5, 870 6, 922 27, 454 32, 780 32, 000 32, 000 32, 000	
Total	7, 253, 384	113, 297	757, 682	71,218	604, 924	

No particulars in Postmaster-General's report.

Steamers from Liverpool and Southampton both treated as regular

BRITISH STEAMSHIPS EMPLOYED.

BRITISH STEAMSHIPS EMPLOYED.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE AND LABOR,
BUREAU OF NAVIGATION,
Washington, January 28, 1907.

MY DEAR GENERAL: Referring to my letter of this date, I inclose a list of all the steamers of the Royal Steam Packet Company and the Pacific Steam Navigation Company (British) over 14 knots. The Pacific Steam Navigation Company until last year was engaged in trade with Asia through the Suez Canal as far as Colombo and thence to Australia, as well as maintaining its South American services. The company is now practically devoted entirely to South American trade, east and west consts.

The Royal Mail Steam Packet Company last year made an arrangement by which it carries on some business with Australia, as well as the trade with the West Indies, Colon, Brazil, and Argentina, which it has maintained for about sixty-five years.

Very truly, yours,

E. T. CHAMBERLAIN,
Commissioner.

E. T. CHAMBERLAIN, Commissioner.

Hon. CHARLES H. GROSVENOR, House of Representatives, Washington, D. C.

List of vessels of the Pacific Steam Navigation Company.

Name of vessel.	Tonnage (gross).	Speed.	Name of vessel.	Tonnage (gross).	Speed.
Orita California Mexico Oravia Orissa Oriana Oronsa Oropesa Ortega Panama Victoria	9, 231 5, 547 5, 549 5, 321 5, 326 8, 000 8, 000 5, 303 8, 000 5, 981 5, 967	Knots. 16½ 15½ 15½ 15½ 15½ 15½ 15½ 15½ 15½ 15½ 15	Chile Columbia Guatemala Peru Santiago Corcovada Galicia. Pizarre Puno. Sorata.	3, 335 3, 327 3, 225 2, 953 4, 565 5, 896	Knots. 15 15 15 15 15 15 14 14 14 14

List of vessels of the Royal Mail Steam Packet Company.

Name of vessel.	Tonnage (gross).	Speed.	Name of vessel.	Tonnage (gross).	Speed.
Aragon	9, 441 5, 347 5, 618 5, 891 5, 373 5, 855	Knots. 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	Tagus	5, 545 5, 621 5, 525 4, 464 4, 572	Knots. 15 15 15 14 14

PROFITS OF FOREIGN STEAMSHIP COMPANIES.

It is often asserted that the ocean shipping trade as carried on by foreigners pays no more, on the average, than 2 or 3 per cent, and that even with the aid of postal payments to regular lines it would

not be profitable for American capital to engage in ocean carrying. This assertion is in conflict with the facts as to the average dividends of the chief foreign steamship companies. These dividends, for certain representative postal lines, as stated by the Commissioner of Navigation in his annual report for 1902 (pp. 87, 88), averaged on the common, not on the preferred stock, as follows:

Name of company.	Average dividend, 1896-1901.	Name of company.	Average dividend, 1896–1901.
BRITISH. Peninsular and Oriental Union-Castle. Pacific Steam Cunard (without the new \$1,100,000 subsidy) Royal Mail Union, of New Zealand Orient	Per cent. 11.8 5.5 5.3 4.2 5.0 6.3 3.8	FRENCH. Messageries Maritimes Compagnie Générale Transatlantique. Chargeurs Réunis Average OTHER FOREIGN.	Per cent. 4.7 2.5 6.2 4.5
Average	6.0	Lloyd Austriaco Navigazione Generale Ita-	3.7
GERMAN.		liana	3.3
Hamburg-American North German Lloyd Hamburg-South American	7.7 6.3 10.3 7.0	(Spanish)	6.9 6.6
German-East Africa	4.7	Average	6.3
Average	7.2	General average	6.1

A large part of the period from 1896 to 1901 was a period of low freights and of high cost of coal, so that there is nothing unusually favorable in these figures. All of the steamship lines above enumerated perform ocean mail service for their respective governments. The Hamburg-American, which is often said to be unsubsidized, receives pay for carrying the mails, and shares with the other German steamship companies in the benefits of the general imperial policy of encouraging the merchant marine by all manner of legislative expedients. The German Levant Line is especially protected by discriminating raitway rates on through merchandise.

The Hamburg-American Line declared a dividend of 11 per cent for 1905, and of 10 per cent for 1906, as against 9 per cent for 1904. The Nippon Yusen Kaisha declared a dividend for the half year ending September 30, 1905, of 12 per cent on its line to Australia. The Messageries Maritimes, one of the great French companies, earned a 5 per cent dividend in 1905, as compared with 4 per cent in 1904. For the half year ending March 31, 1906, the Nippon Yusen Kaisha, on its lines in general, declared a 15 per cent dividend.

Foreign steamship property is profitable enough to have attracted more than \$100,000,000 of American capital. This great sum of American money is now invested in shipping under foreign flags, chiefly in the International Mercantile Marine Company, the Morgan combination. But it is a significant fact that the great American investors in foreign ships, when asked by the Merchant Marine Commission in 1904 whether they would bring their foreign vessels under the American flag if a "free ship law" were recommended, all declared that they would not do so. They could not afford to give up the advantage of cheap foreign wages and, in some cases, of foreign subsidies.

AMERICAN SHIPS FOR AMERICAN COMMERCE.

vantage of cheap foreign wages and, in some cases, of foreign subsidies.

AMERICAN SHIPS FOR AMERICAN COMMERCE.

[From pages 555-560 of Hearings Before the Committee on Merchant Marine and Fisheries, April 4-13, 1906.]

One of the most important features of the voluminous testimony taken all over the country by the Merchant Marine Commission was the insistence of practical men of business—merchants and manufacturers and bankers—not themselves interested in any way in shipowning or shipbuilding, that the lack of American ships prevented us from securing an adequate market abroad for the products of American manufacturing, mining, and agriculture.

These practical business men were familiar with the argument of academic free traders and of foreign steamship managers against encouragement to the American merchant marine, that foreigners were carrying our ocean commerce cheaply for us, and that therefore they ought to have a perpetual monopoly of it. But these manufacturers, merchants, and bankers interested in our export trade know, first, that foreign steamship companies do not carry our own products as cheaply as they carry the products of their own countries if they can help it, and that in a great many instances the foreigners do not supply ships to carry our trade at all. or furnish only worn-out, uneconomical vessels, discarded from their own service and sent over here as good enough for the United States, which is helpless because it has no shipping of its own.

These facts are well understood in the seaboard States. They are also understood by the practical business men of the interior, as is demonstrated by the following testimony of middle-western business men before the Merchant Marine Commission.

Mr. James A. Patton, of the Chicago Board of Trade:

"I would be heartily in favor of granting a subsidy to ships sailing from our ports to South America, because our manufacturers have no facilities for shipping there, and we could in that way increase our manufactures all over the country. The shipments of

Mr. Charles L. Fack, of Cleveland, funderman (at Cleveland, June 28, 1904):

"Most of you know that the region of greatest lumber production in the whole country is the Southern States. The production of lumber there in 1903 was over 10,000,000,000 feet, which is an enormous quantity. If this southern lumber alone were loaded on cars, with the normal load to a car, the train would extend all the way from New York to San Francisco.

"About one-tenth of that lumber is exported. About 1,000,000,000 feet were exported in 1903, and the export trade from the southern ports have been the safeguard of the lumber business in the South for the last twenty years, for the reason that it has taken a good deal of production that we could not well use at home, and the foreign demand has often been good when our demand at home was very poor.

"This export business is very much handicapped because it has to be done to a large extent in tramp steamers. That 1,000,000,000,000 feet

of lumber goes to a very large portion of the world. Last year the exports from the Southern States went to over 150 different foreign ports in Europe, in Asia, as far east as the Straits Settlements, and to both coasts of Africa, and the export would have been very much increased if we had had line steamers running from the southern ports rather than having to depend on occasional tramps as we could pick them up. It often happens that at times we can get more steamers than we have need of, and then there will be weeks and sometimes even months when it is very difficult to get sufficient tonnage to fill the orders. Oftentimes orders are canceled for lack of regular shipping facilities."

Mr. Grasselli, of Cleveland, president of the Grasselli Chemical Com-

Mr. Grasselli, of Cleveland, president of the Grasselli Chemical Company:

"Bear in mind that in order to make shipments to South American ports, with some few exceptions, Americans are compelled to forward the goods to some European port, from which it is sent on to South America. The handicap to American industry becomes a very serions question, and when it is considered that foreign steamship lines are owned and controlled by corporations favorable to the countries to whom they belong and interested in their exports and in their own products, they do not give to the export of the American product the same careful handling and consideration that they naturally do their own; this resulting in the American goods arriving in more or less damaged condition, due to repeated and careless handling, thus causing another serious obstacle in our export business. Besides, with our own flag flying over her, the American ship, in a sense an extension of our own territory, and with her own officers, entering into foreign countries, brings us in physical contact with these countries, insuring our exports in reaching their destination."

Mr. S. A. Upson, of Cleveland, president of the Upson Nut and Bolt Company;

another serious obstacle in our export obsiness. Besides, with our own lag flying over her, the American ship, in a sense an extension of our own territory, and with her own officers, entering into foreign countries, brings us in physical contact with these countries, insuring our exports in reaching their destination."

Mr. S. A. Upson, of Cleveland, president of the Upson Nut and Bolt Company:

"I want to call your attention very briefly to the fact that a few years since we saw the necessity of increasing our export business, and I concluded that I would take some observations in South America. I found on investigation that in order to get there in any comfort and within a reasonable time I must go by way of England. I found in England two lines of steamers, subsidized by the English Government, being paid liberally for carrying the mail. The two lines ran alternately, so that a fast steamer sailed every week alternately from Southampton and from Liverpool.

"On reaching South America I found English, German, Italian, and French steamers touching semimonthly at nearly all the principal ports, bringing up in Argentina, at the city of Buenos Ayres. In the harbor of Buenos Ayres were many hundreds of ships from all nations, and they have there the finest docks in the world, except, possibly, at Liverpool. This will give you some idea of the immensity of the shipping ariving at that point.

"I learned, on trying to canvass the trade to see what we could do with American goods, that the greatest obstacle to our selling goods was, first, the difference in exchange of about 2 per cent between New York and Liverpool or London. I found also that it was almost an impossibility to expect to get business there on account of the very slow facilities for transportation.

"There were nothing but tramp steamers running between New York and Liverpool or the were steamers going on regular schedule time, while the time advertised, while the mail steamers to other countries were all running on regular schedule time, semimonthly

believe that if a line of fine steamers should be inaugurated from New York to Buenos Ayres it would divert to the United States a large or a considerable part of the business that now goes to Europe?"

Mr. Upson:

"I think it would, for this reason: If we had a regular line of good, first-class, speedy steamers carrying the mail regularly from New York to Buenos Ayres and intermediate ports semimonthly, so that the people of the United States and the people of Argentina and other countries could know that they could get their goods and their mail forwarded promptly, I believe the business would grow very rapidly. I think a great many of our Americans who now visit Europe would take trips to South America, partly as a matter of recreation and partly as a scatter of business, and the results would be very great."

Mr. F. F. Prentiss, of the Cleveland Twist Drill Company:

"I want to call your attention to the conditions in South America. I was there several years ago, and the conditions in South America. I was there several years ago, and the conditions impressed me very strongly. I had to go via Europe to reach South America. The first port at which I stopped—Bahla—and the situation was the same at Rio Janeiro, Montevideo, Buenos Ayres, and at every city where I attempted to market my goods or inquire about the condition of business—I ran against the transportation problem. It was the serious thing that handicapped me in my business, and it handicapped others whose volume would be ten times mine if they had proper facilities.

"While I look, as a manufacturer, at the commercial side of it, I also wish to represent the artisan and the tiller of the soil, who contribute toward the products that we can ship to South America, and we can ship them to other parts of the world, if we have the direct connection, even better than we do to-day. I lay particular stress, however, on the conditions down there, which are due to the lack of transportation facilities."

Mr. Walter D. Sayle, president of the Manufacturer, and a

foreign bottoms, provided they go as cheaply; but if we are to consider the multiplication of American houses in foreign ports, the promotion of American trade in foreign countries, the loss of trade to our own steel and machinery manufacturers, the establishment of American banks in all the quarters of the world, if we are to have within ourselves the means of over-sea transportation in times of war, then we must look to it that American exports go abroad in American ships.

"We can not hope to establish American trade through foreign branch houses and branch banks in foreign countries until the avenues of transportation between the parent houses and their offsprings are entirely independent, absolutely American, and not subject to either the sanction or the forbearance of any nation."

Col. J. Sullivan, president of the Central National Bank of Cleveland, ex-president of the Cleveland Chamber of Commerce, and president of the National Board of Trade:

"Blood is thicker than water, and, given the opportunity, a British ship will work for a British house in preference to that of any other nation. Thus it is that the British manufacturer can beat us in the South American markets—a part of our own continent. The great need of South America is agricultural machinery, yet every American reaper and every American harvester must first be dumped on Liverpool docks before it can reach the South American consumer. What chance do you suppose it will stand over there if it comes in competition with a similar product of British manufacture?

"Therefore, I say this question of over-sea shipping is one which concerns us as merchants and manufacturers and business men. We should keep our export trade as nearly as we can within our own hands for the benefit of our own merchants and manufacturers are very few versels salling from New York or from any other Atlantic port to the west coast of America. There are very few versels salling from New York or from any other Atlantic port to, the west coast of America, and from San Franc

from United States ports lower than those the property.

"If we could have a marine of our own, it would be cut away from all foreign entanglements, and our steamship agents would be in a position to make a rate to help the home manufacturer as against the foreign manufacturer. Competition is very keen in all manufactured articles, I presume. It is the case in ours, and especially in shipments from England and Germany. We should have a marine of our own that would pay no attention to the rates which are promulgated from the other side, but just go on and enable us to meet competition in the delivery price at destination. I think that point should be borne in mind, gentlemen.

"Representative Minor. You think if we had ships running direct from a few ports in the United States to those ports in South America we would get better dispatch and more regular service?

"Mr. Harlowe. Yes, sir; and we would be building up a trade as well as taking care of it. Also please bear in mind that there should be service from the Pacific coast to the west coast of South America."

Hon. John Barrett, now minister to Panama, formerly minister to Argentina (at Chicago, June 24, 1904):

"The business men of Buenos Ayres can write to Europe and receive a reply easily in fifty days. It is very seldom that they can ever communicate with New York and Chicago and get an answer in less than eighty days. Anyone who is familiar with business methods and the importance of good mail exchange realizes what a handicap this is to the extension of American commerce. I have heard scores of South American merchants and bankers complain of the wretched mail arrangements between the United States and Argentina. If there were direct and regular service between Buenos Ayres and New York, there is no doubt in my mind that four-fifths of the southern South Americans who travel to the Northern Hemisphere, on either business or pleasure, would come or return via the United States.

"The principal reason that we do not have direct steamship com-

"The principal reason that we do not have direct steamship communication now of the class which I mention is that no company is willing to undertake it as an experiment or venture unless they can receive a compensation for carrying the mall which will insure them against primary or temporary loss. I have talked with leading steamship men in Buenos Ayres, Paris, Hamburg, and London, as well as in New York, and they have all told me that it would be impossible to initiate a great and important undertaking of this kind unless they could receive sufficient support from the United States and the South American republics to protect them while they were building up sufficent traffic and travel to maintain the line on a paying basis."

APPROVED BY ILLINOIS MANUFACTURERS.

Beading these declarations of middle western men of business that

APPROVED BY ILLINOIS MANUFACTURERS.

Reading these declarations of middle western men of business that national aid to the American merchant marine is demanded in order that the manufacturers and farmers of Ohlo, Indiana, Michigan, Illinois, Wisconsin, and other Middle Western States may secure fair freight rates and adequate shipping facilities to build up their export trade to South America and other foreign markets, it is easy to understand why the Illinois Manufacturers' Association, on April 13, 1906, through its board of directors, formally indorsed the shipping bill of the Merchant Marine Commission and urged the House of Representatives to pass it. The members of the Illinois Manufacturers' Association desire the enactment of this legislation, not as shipowners or shipbulders, but as American producers, having a surplus which they must sell abroad in competition with the manufacturers of foreign countries whose governments, by subsidy or otherwise, provide ample shipping facilities for them.

STATEMENTS OF AMERICAN REPRESENTATIVES ABROAD.

The declarations of these middle western business men that the failure of our Government to protect and encourage American shipping prevents the manufacturers of the Middle Western States from securing their fair share of the world's markets have been confirmed

time and time again by American ministers, consuls, and special commercial agents in foreign countries. About a year ago Special Agent Hutchinson, sent out by the Department of Commerce and Labor, stated in a report, after a brief stay in Brazil, that he dld not think that more commercial shipping lines were necessary to South America, though he did think that there was urgent need of an improved mail service. This early report of Mr. Hutchinson, based on brief observation and experience, was eagerly caught up and exploited by those opposed to national aid to the American merchant marine in this country and in Europe. But it is very significant that the farther Mr. Hutchinson traveled in South America and the more he saw and learned the more completely he has come into accord with the opinions of other official observers. In his later reports, based on a fuller understanding of the question, he very frankly declares that our American export trade to South America is seriously hampered by the lack of an American merchant marine and of direct lines of communication.

American export trade to south America is chiefles of communication.

Thus Mr. Hutchinson states, in a report published on March 23, 1906, by the Department of Commerce and Labor:

"There is no direct passenger service to Chile or the River Plata from the United States. Passengers from New York, for example, wishing to get to Buenos Ayres must either take passage to Rio de Janeiro and there transship to one of the European lines touching at that port en route to the South, or they must cross the Atlantic and transship in some European port to a steamer sailing to Buenos Ayres. If they wish to get to Chile, they may go via the Isthmus of Panama, suffering the inconvenience of transfer to the Panama Rallroad and to one of the west coast steamship lines, or they may go to Rio de Janeiro or Montevideo and there transship to a steamer of the Pacific Steam Navigation Company's lines running through the Straits of Valparaiso, or they may go to Liverpool or Hamburg and there take steamer direct to Valparaiso. The passenger from Europe, on the other hand, wishing to go to the River Plata, has the choice of half a dozen first-class lines and several inferior ones. If his destination is Valparaiso, he has at least two direct lines."

This has come about from the liberal policy of European governments, which, by subsidy or state ald in other form, have provided excellent mail and shipping facilities for their manufacturers and merchants.

OUR WRETCHED MAIL SERVICE.

Special Agent Hutchinson adds:

"As in Brazil, so in Chile and the River Plata, there is universal complaint that the mail service to and from the United States is inadequate. If the trouble were only in the length of time required for the delivery of mails, the inconvenience would be sufficiently great, but far more serious is the irregularity, infrequency, and uncertainty of the service."

Speaking of South America in general and of the methods of the foreign steamship companies, Mr. Hutchinson says:

"There is abundant reason for believing that this granting of 'special rates' in actual practice reduces the European rates on many important classes of goods to below the American rates, and that the general impression that our freight charges are somewhat higher than the European is justified. Just what the extent of this excess is it is impossible to say, but the more conservative business men of Valparalso, for example, place it at about 25 per cent."

Summing up his observations in South America, Special Agent Hutchinson declares:

"The passenger service from the United States is greatly inferior in all respects to that from Europe, and the American people have little inducement to visit and get personally acquainted with commercial and industrial conditions existing in these South American countries. This will remain true until direct lines of first-class passenger steamers ply between New York and the River Plata and a quicker and better service is inaugurated on the west coast from Panama southward to Valparaiso."

As to the American mall service on both the east and west coasts, Mr. Hutchinson says that it is "extremely means and west coasts, Mr. Hutchinson says that it is "extremely means and west coasts, Mr. Hutchinson says that it is "extremely means and the set coasts."

paraiso."
As to the American mall service on both the east and west coasts, Mr. Hutchinson says that it is "extremely unsatisfactory."
As to the important matter of cargo steamship service he declared:
"Freighting facilities from New York to Chile and the River Plata are inferior to those from Europe both as regards frequency, regularity, and time required for delivery, and as to rates."
And he concludes:
"Improvements in the interest of new connections, especially in Chile, would be effected by the establishment of a new line doing a strictly shipping business."

AMERICAN SHIPS ALMOST UNKNOWN.

AMERICAN SHIPS ALMOST UNKNOWN.

Our pitiable share of the shipping trade of the most important country on the west coast of South America is thus stated, in the Daily Consular and Trade Reports for February 10, 1906, by Consul Mansfield, at Valparaiso:

"In the total number of ships entering and clearing at the several ports of Chile those flying the Chilean flag numbered 5,684, of which 5,041 were steamers and 643 sailing vessels. Of the foreign nations Great Britain represented nearly one-half the total tonnage, with 8,422,000 tons. Germany was second, with 3,600,000 tons. American shipping interests were represented by 33 steamers and 6 sailing vessels, with a tonnage of 131,879 and 3,728 tons, respectively. The total tonnage of all vessels entered was 17,700,000 tons; of the vessels cleared, 17,320."

What is true of Chile is also true of Ecuador. Hon. Archibald J. Sampson, American minister at Quito, states:

"I was informed recently by a prominent merchant here that he would like to deal with New York, but that the freight rates from that city on some of his purchases were fivefold greater when received at Guayaquil than like freight from Hamburg, which was a practical prohibition on American trade."

Germany has steamship lines under her own flag encircling South America. The United States has none at all.

THREE PRESIDENTS SPEAK FOR AMERICAN SHIPPING—BENJAMIN HARRISON,

Our great competitors have established and maintained their lines by government subsidies, until they have now practically excluded us from participation. In my opinion, no choice is left to us but to pursue, moderately at least, the same lines.

WILLIAM M'KINLEY.

I am satisfied the judgment of the country favors the policy of aid to our merchant marine, which will broaden our commerce and markets and upbuild our sea-carrying capacity for the products of agriculture and manufacturing.

THEODORE ROOSEVELT.

Ships work for their own countries, just as railroads work for their terminal points. * * From every standpoint it is unwise for the United States to continue to rely upon the ships of competing nations for the distribution of our goods. It should be made advantageous to carry American goods in American-built ships.

Three successive Republican Presidents—two from the Middle West and one from the great commercial State of New York—have urged in the strongest terms, throughout their Administrations, the upbuilding of American shipping in the foreign trade.

One of the three was Benjamin Harrison, of Indiana, who was elected in 1888 on a platform declaring:

"We earnestly recommend that prompt action be taken by Congress in the enactment of such legislation as will best secure the rehabilitation of our merchant marine, and we protest against the passage by Congress of a free ship bill as calculated to work injustice to labor by lessening the wages of those engaged in preparing the materials, as well as those directly employed in our shipyards."

President Harrison, in his first inaugural message to Congress, March 4, 1889, thus proclaimed his devotion to the cause of the American ship:

"We should encourage the establishment of American steamship lines. The exchanges of commerce demand stated, reliable, and rapid means of communication, and until these are provided the development of our trade with the states lying south of us is impossible."

PRESIDENT HARRISON TO CONGRESS.

In his first annual message to Congress, on December 3, 1889, President Harrison enlarged upon this theme with growing emphasis:

"There is nothing more justly humiliating to the national pride and nothing more hurtful to the national prosperity than the inferiority of our merchant marine compared with that of other nations whose general resources, wealth, and seacoast lines do not suggest any reason for their supremacy on the sea. It was not always so, and our people are agreed, I think, that it shall not continue to be so. * * *

"FOREIGN LINES ARE SUBSIDIZED.

"That the great steamship lines salling under the flags of England, France, Germany, Spain, and Italy, and engaged in foreign commerce, were promoted and have since been and now are liberally aided by grants of public money in some form or another is generally known. That the American lines of steamships have been abandoned by us to an unequal contest with the aided lines of other nations until they have been withdrawn or, in the few cases where they are still maintained, are subjected to serious disadvantages is matter of common knowledge.

" MUST NOW GO VIA ENGLAND.

"MUST NOW GO VIA ENGLAND.

"The present situation is such that travelers and merchandise find Liverpool even a necessary intermediate point between New York and some of the South American capitals. The fact that some of the delegates from South American states to the conference of American nations, now in session at Washington, reached our shores by reversing that line of travel is very conclusive of the need of such a conference and very suggestive as to the first and necessary step in the direction of fuller and more beneficial intercourse with nations that are now our neighbors upon the lines of latitude, but not upon the lines of established commercial intercourse.

"Uppeal, Alp Edg. AMERICAN AMERICAN

"LIBERAL AID FOR AMERICAN LINES.

"I recommend that such appropriations be made for ocean mail service in American steamships between our ports and those of Central American, China, Japan, and the important islands in both of the great oceans as will be liberally remunerative for the service rendered and as will encourage the establishment and in some fair degree equalize the chances of American steamship lines in the competition which they must meet. That the American states lying south of us will gladly cooperate in establishing and maintaining such lines of steamships to their principal ports I do not doubt.

"OUR NEED OF A NAVAL RESERVE

"OUR NEED OF A NAVAL RESERVE.

"We should also make provision for a naval reserve, to consist of such merchant ships of American construction and of a specific tonnage and speed as the owners will consent to place at the use of the Government in case of need as armed cruisers. England has adopted this policy, and as a result can now, upon necessity, at once place upon her naval list some of the fastest steamships in the world. A proper supervision of the construction of such vessels would make their conversion into effective ships of war very easy.

"BLIND AND FALSE ECONOMY.

"I am an advocate of economy in our national expenditures, but it is a misuse of terms to make this word describe a policy that withholds an expenditure for the purpose of extending our foreign commerce. The enlargement and improvement of our merchant marine, the development of a sufficient body of trained seamen, the promotion of rapid and regular mail communication between the ports of other countries and our own, and the adaptation of large and swift American merchant steamships to naval uses in time of war are public purposes of the highest concern. highest concern.

"A BENEFIT TO ALL THE PEOPLE.

"The enlarged participation of our people in the carrying trade, the new and increased markets that will be found for the products of our farms and factories, and the fuller and better employment of our mechanics which will result from a liberal promotion of our foreign commerce, insure the widest possible diffusion of benefit to all the States and to all the people. Everything is most propitious for the present inauguration of a liberal and progressive policy upon this subject, and we should enter upon it with promptness and decision."

HARRISON SPEAKS AGAIN.

President Harrison, in his second message to Congress, on December 1, 1890, made the merchant marine again the subject of a most earnest and emphatic recommendation:

"I desire to repeat with added urgency the recommendations contained in my last annual message in relation to the development of American steamship lines. The reciprocity clause of the tariff bill will be largely limited, and its benefits retarded and diminished, if provision is not contemporaneously made to encourage the establishment of first-class steam communication between our ports and the ports of those nations as may meet our overtures for enlargement of commercial exchanges.

"A FIRST CONDITION OF FOREIGN TRADE,

"The steamship, carrying the mails statedly and frequently, offering to passengers a comfortable, safe, and speedy transit, is the first condition of foreign trade. It carries the order or the buyer, but not all

that is ordered or bought. It gives to the sailing vessels such cargoes as are not urgent or perishable, and, indirectly at least, promotes that important adjunct of commerce. There is now both in this country and in the nations of Central and South America a state of expectation and confidence as to increased trade that will give a double value to your prompt action upon this question.

"LINES TO SOUTH AMERICA.

"LINES TO SOUTH AMERICA.

"The South Atlantic and Gulf ports occupy a very favored position toward the new and important commerce which the reciprocity clause of the tariff act and the postal shipping bill are designed to promote. Steamship lines from these ports to some northern port of South America will almost certainly effect a connection between the railroad systems of the continents long before any continuous line of railroads can be put into operation. The very large appropriation made at the last session for the harbor of Galveston was justified, as it seemed to me, for these considerations. The great Northwest will feel the advantage of trunk lines to the south as well as to the east, and of the markets found for their surplus food products and for many of their manufactured products."

manufactured products."

A NEW LAW PASSED AND SIGNED.

Before another annual message was written President Harrison on March 3, 1891, had the satisfaction of signing a new law, the direct fruit of his earnest and patriotic recommendations, extending national aid to lines of American steamers. But unfortunately the original rates of compensation proposed in this measure, which had been fixed by expert authority at an amount just sufficient for the purpose, were cut down one-third on the solleitation of a few middle western men when the bill passed the House of Representatives. This seriously crippled the efficiency of the new measure so far as South America was concerned.

concerned.

Though this legislation did create one American line to Europe, two to the West Indies, one to Venezuela, and finally one to Australasia, the experience of fifteen years has now demonstrated that the hasty reduction in the rate of mail subventions was a deplorable error, justifying the remonstrances of the friends of the measure, who predicted that, thus heavily reduced, the law would prove a disappointment.

SOME GOOD RESULTS.

The immediate result, however, was beneficial in creating new lines to near-by markets, and President Harrison was enabled to sound a note of congratulation in his message to Congress on December 6, 1892:

"Ever since our merchant marine was driven from the sea by the rebel cruisers during the war of the rebellion the United States has been paying an enormous annual tribute to foreign countries in the shape of freight and passage moneys. Our grain and meats have been taken at our own docks and our large imports there laid down by foreign shipmasters.

"DIVIDENDS TO FOREIGNERS.

"An increasing torrent of American travel to Europe has contributed a vast sum annually to the dividends of foreign shipowners. The balance of trade shown by the books of the custom-houses has been very largely reduced and in many years altogether extinguished by this constant drain. In the year 1892 only 12.3 per cent of our imports were brought in American vessels. These great foreign steamships maintained by our traffic are, many of them, under contracts with their respective governments by which in time of war they will become a part of their armed naval establishments. Profiting by our commerce in peace, they will become the most formidable destroyers of our commerce in time of war.

"A CHANGE OF POLICY.

"A CHANGE OF POLICY.

"I have felt, and have before expressed the feeling, that this condition of things was both intolerable and disgraceful. A wholesome change of policy and one having in it much promise, as it seems to me, was begun by the law of March 3, 1891. Under this law contracts have been made by the Postmaster-General for eleven mail routes. The expenditure involved by these contracts for the next fiscal year approximates \$954,123.33. As one of the results already reached, sixteen American steamships of an aggregate tonnage of 57,400 tons, costing \$7,400,000, have been built or contracted to be built in American shipyards. * "Subsidies are indispensable." "SUBSIDIES ARE INDISPENSABLE.

"No subject, I think, more nearly touches the pride, the power, and the prosperity of our country than this of the development of our merchant marine upon the sea. If we could enter into conference with our competitors and all would agree to withhold government aid, we could perhaps take our chances with the rest, but our great competitors have established and maintained their lines by government subsidies until they now have practically excluded us from participation. In my opinion no choice is left to us but to pursue, moderately at least, the same lines."

PRESIDENT M'KINLEY'S COUNSEL

The next Republican President, William McKinley, of Ohio, was known as a strong champion of the American merchant marine long before his election to this great office. In the debate on the new tariff bill that bore his name, on May 7, 1890, in the House of Representatives, Chairman McKinley, had said:

"If the United States would give the same encouragement to her merchant marine and her steamship lines as is given by other nations to their ships, this commerce on the seas under the American flag would increase and multiply. When the United States will spend from her Treasury from \$5,000,000 to \$6,000,000 a year for that purpose, as do France and Great Britain to maintain their steamship lines, our ships will plow every sea in successful competition with the ships of the world. [Loud applause on the Republican side.] Will you gentlemen join us in encouraging our merchant marine? [Renewed applause on the Republican side.]"

BOTH BUSINESS AND PATRIOTISM.

BOTH BUSINESS AND PATRIOTISM.

Holding these earnest opinions, President McKinley avowed them in his first inaugural address, on March 4, 1897:

"Congress should give prompt attention to the restoration of our American merchant marine, once the pride of the seas on all the great ocean highways of commerce. To my mind, few more important subjects so imperatively demand its intelligent consideration. The United States has progressed with marvelous rapidity in every field of enterprise and endeavor until we have become foremost in nearly all of the great lines of inland trade, commerce, and industry. Yet, while this is true, our American merchant marine has been steadily declining until it is now lower, both in the percentage of tonnage and the number of vessels employed, than it was prior to the civil war.

4649

"Commendable progress has been made of late years in the upbuilding of the American Navy, but we must supplement these efforts by providing as a proper consort for it a merchant marine amply sufficient for our own carrying trade to foreign countries. The question is one that appeals both to our business interests and the patriotic aspirations of a great people."

PROGRESS ON THE SEAS.

PROGRESS ON THE SEAS.

President McKinley reiterated these views in his first annual message to Congress, on December 6, 1897, and he expressed himself even more positively and at greater length on December 5, 1899, after the illuminating experience of the Spanish war:

"The value of an American merchant marine to the expansion of our commercial trade and the strengthening of our power upon the sea invites the immediate action of the Congress. Our national development will be one-sided and unsatisfactory so long as the remarkable growth of our inland industries remains unaccompanied by progress on the seas. There is no lack of constitutional authority for legislation which shall give to the country maritime strength commensurate with its industrial achievement and with its rank among the nations of the earth.

"In the Light of the War."

"IN THE LIGHT OF THE WAR.

"Last year American vessels transported a smaller share of our exports and imports than during any former year in all our history, and the measure of our dependence upon foreign shipping was painfully manifested to our people. Without any choice of our own, but from necessity, the Departments of the Government charged with military and naval operations in the East and West Indies had to obtain from foreign flags merchant vessels essential for those operations.

"OTHER NATIONS DO NOT HESITATE

"The other great nations have not hesitated to adopt the required means to develop their shipping as a factor in national defense and as one of the surest and speedlest means of obtaining for their producers a share in foreign markets. Like vigilance and opportunity on our part can not fail but improve our situation, which is regarded with humiliation at home and with surprise abroad. Even the seeming sacrifices, which at the beginning may be inevitable, will be offset later by more than equivalent gains.

"THE EXPENSE AS NOTHING.

"The expense is as nothing compared to the large object achieved. The reestablishment of our merchant marine involves in a large measure our continued industrial progress and the extension of our commercial triumphs. I am satisfied the judgment of the country favors the policy of aid to our merchant marine, which will broaden our commerce and markets and upbuild our sea-carrying capacity for the products of agriculture and manufacturing, which, with the increase of our Navy, means more work and wages to our countrymen, as well as a safeguard to American interests in every part of the world."

AMERICAN SHIPS FOR AMERICAN TRADE

In his fourth and last message to Congress, on December 3, 1900, after his triumphant reelection, President McKinley said:

"American vessels during the past three years have carried about 9 per cent of our exports and imports. Foreign ships should carry the least, not the greatest, part of American trade. The remarkable growth of our steel industries, the progress of shipbullding for the domestic trade, and our steadily maintained expenditures for the Navy have created an opportunity to place the United States in the first rank of commercial meritime recurse."

"WILL REDUCE FREIGHT CHARGES.

"Besides realizing a proper national aspiration this will mean the establishment and healthy growth along all our coasts of a distinctive national industry, extending the field for the profitable employment of labor and capital. It will increase the transportation facilities and reduce freight charges on the vast volume of products brought from the interior of the seaboard for export, and will strengthen an arm of the national defense upon which the founders of the Government and their successors have relied. In again urging immediate action by the Congress on measures to promote American shipping and foreign trade, I direct attention to the recommendation on the subject in previous messages, but particularly to the opinion expressed in the message of 1899:

"THE COUNTRY FAVORS.

"'I am satisfied the judgment of the country favors the policy of aid to our merchant marine, which will broaden our commerce and markets and upbuild our sea-carrying capacity for the products of agriculture and manufacturing, which, with the increase of our Navy, means more work and wages to our countrymen, as well as a safeguard to American interests in every part of the world."

M'KINLEY'S LAST WORDS.

In his very last words to his fellow-countrymen, on that fateful September 5, 1901, at the Pan-American Exposition, just before the bullet of the assassin struck him, President McKinley coupled his memorable plea for wider markets with a fervent demand for an American merchant marine:

"Then, too, we have inadequate steamship service. New lines of steamers have already been put into commission between the Pacific coast ports of the United States and those of the western coast of Mexico and Central and South America. These should be followed up with direct lines between the eastern coast of the United States and South American ports.

"One of the needs of the times is direct commercial lines from our vast fields of production to the fields of consumption that we have but barely touched. Next in advantage to having the thing to sell is to have the convenience to carry it to the buyer. We must encourage our merchant marine. We must have more ships. They must be under the American flag, built and manned and owned by Americans. These will not only be profitable in a commercial sense; they will be messengers of peace and amity wherever they go."

PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT'S APPEAL.

PRESIDENT ROOSEVELT'S APPEAL.

President Theodore Roosevelt, of New York, in this as in so many other things, followed loyally in the course of his beloved predecessor, his enthusiasm for the Navy inspiring naturally this exhortation of his first annual message on December 3, 1901:

"The condition of the American merchant marine is such as to call for immediate remedial action by the Congress. It is discreditable to us as a nation that our merchant marine should be utterly insignificant in comparison to that of other nations which we overtop in other forms of business. We should not longer submit to conditions under which

only a trifling portion of our great commerce is carried in our own ships. To remedy this state of things would not merely serve to build np our shipping interests, but it would also result in benefit to all who are interested in the permanent establishment of a wider market for American products and would provide an auxiliary force for the Navy.

"SHIPS WORK FOR THEIR COUNTRY.

"Ships work for their own countries just as railroads work for their terminal points. Shipping lines, if established to the principal countries with which we have dealings, would be of political as well as commercial benefit. From every standpoint it is unwise for the United States to continue to rely upon the ships of competing nations for the distribution of our goods. It should be made advantageous to carry American goods in American-built ships.

"AMERICAN SHIPPING HANDICAPPED.

"At present American shipping is under certain great disadvantages when put in competition with the shipping of foreign countries. Many of the fast foreign steamships, at a speed of 14 knots or above, are subsidized, and all our ships—sailing vessels and steamers alike, cargo carriers of slow speed and mail liners of high speed—have to meet the fact that the original cost of building American ships is greater than is the case abroad; that the wages paid American officers and seamen are very much higher than those paid to officers and seamen of foreign competing countries, and that the standard of living on our ships is far superior to the standard of living on the ships of our commercial rivals.

"Our Government should take such action as will remedy these incomplisites where

"Our Government should take such action as will remedy these inequalities. The American merchant marine should be restored to the

URGING A COMMISSION.

URGING A COMMISSION.

In his message of December 3, 1903, President Roosevelt offered this specific recommendation:

"A majority of our people desire that steps be taken in the interest of American shipping, so that we may once more resume our former position in the ocean carrying trade. But hitherto the differences of opinion as to the proper method of reaching this end have been so wide that it has proved impossible to secure the adoption of any particular scheme. Having in view these facts, I recommend that the Congress direct the Secretary of the Navy, the Postmaster-General, and the Secretary of Commerce and Labor, associated with such a representation from the Senate and the House of Representatives as the Congress in its wisdom may designate, to serve as a commission for the purpose of investigating and reporting to the Congress at its next session what legislation is desirable or necessary for the development of the American merchant marine and American commerce, and incidentally of a national ocean mail service of adequate auxiliary naval cruisers and naval reserves. While such a measure is desirable in any event, it is especially desirable at this time, in view of the fact that our present governmental contract for ocean mail with the American Line will expire in 1905.

"LINES OF CARGO SHIPS."

"LINES OF CARGO SHIPS.

"Unres of Cargo Ships."

"Our ocean mail act was passed in 1891. In 1895 our 20-knot trans-Atlantic mail line was equal to any foreign line. Since then the Germans have put on 23-knot steamers, and the British have contracted for 24-knot steamers. Our service should equal the best. If it does not, the commercial public will abandon it. If we are to stay in the business it ought to be with the full understanding of the advantages to the country on the one hand, and on the other with exact knowledge of the cost and proper methods of carrying it on. Moreover, lines of cargo ships are of even more importance than fast mail lines, save so far as the latter can be depended upon to furnish swift auxiliary cruisers in time of war. The establishment of new lines of cargo ships to South America, to Asia, and elsewhere would be much in the interest of our commercial expansion."

THE ROOSEVELT PLATFORM.

Congress responded with the act of April 28, 1904, creating the Merchant Marine Commission of five Senators and five Representatives. For nearly two years thereafter this Commission was pursuing its appointed work. Meanwhile, in the autumn of 1904, Theodore Roosevelt was elected President on a platform declaring:

"While every other industry has prospered under the fostering aid of Republican legislation, American shipping engaged in foreign trade, in competition with the low cost of construction, low wages, and heavy subsidies of foreign governments, has not for many years received from the Government of the United States adequate encouragement of any kind. We therefore favor legislation which will encourage and build up the American merchant marine, and we cordially approve the legislation of the last Congress, which created the Merchant Marine Commission to investigate and report upon this subject."

Before the inquiry of the Commission was entirely completed, in his message of December, 1904, to Congress, President Roosevelt said:

"I especially commend to your immediate attention the encouragement of our merchant marine by appropriate legislation."

THE COMMISSION AND ITS WORK.

Finally the report and recommendations of the Merchant Marine Commission in comprehensive form were laid before Congress, and in his message of December 5, 1905, President Roosevelt said:

"To the spread of our trade in peace and the defense of our flag in war a great and prosperous merchant marine is indispensable. We should have ships of our own and seamen of our own to convey our goods to neutral markets, and in case of need to reenforce our battle line. It can not but be a source of regret and uneasiness to us that the lines of communication with our sister republics of South America, should be chiefly under foreign control. It is not a good thing that American merchants and manufacturers should have to send their goods and letters to South America via Europe if they wish security and dispatch. Even on the Pacific, where our ships have held their own better than on the Atlantic, our merchant flag is now threatened through the liberal aid bestowed by other governments on their own steam lines. I ask your carnest consideration of the report with which the Merchant Marine Commission has followed its long and careful inquiry."

The Senate has duly considered the report of the President's Commission, and, under the leadership of Senator Gallinger, chairman of the Commission, has passed the bill and sent it to the House of Representatives.

Now the House has in turn its opportunity and its duty to heed the

sentatives

Now the House has in turn its opportunity and its duty to heed the counsel and fulfill the appeals of Harrison, McKinley, and Roosevelt.

Mr. GALLINGER. Mr. President, having said these few words, and without any feeling of hostility or unkindness to

those who have, as I think, unwisely filibustered this bill to death, I am content to let the matter rest for the present. I want here and now to give notice to my associates in this Chamber and to any citizen of this Republic who cares to know the fact that so long as I am privileged to remain in public life I shall not be quiescent while this condition of things exists, but in the future I will exert myself to the utmost to secure legislation on this most important subject.

I am gratified to have assurances from certain Senators on the other side of the Chamber that they will cooperate with those of us on this side who desire legislation in bringing about the passage of a bill on substantially the same lines upon which the bill under consideration is framed. I have hopes of a satis factory result in the next Congress, and I know that I shall have the cooperation of some distinguished Senators who have heretofere been in opposition in securing the passage of wise and just legislation for the purpose of rehabilitating the American merchant marine.

I beg to request that the message of the President of the United States to Congress of January 23, 1907, and the address of Secretary Root before the Trans-Mississippi Commercial Congress of November 20, 1906, be inserted in the Record with-

The VICE-PRESIDENT. Without objection, it is so ordered.

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES, CALLING ATTENTION TO THE GREAT DESIRABILITY OF ENACTING LEGISLATION TO HELP AMERICAN SHIPPING AND AMERICAN TRADE BY ENCOURAGING THE BUILDING AND EUNNING OF LINES OF LARGE AND SWIFT STEAMERS TO SOUTH AMERICA AND THE ORIENT.

To the Senate and House of Representatives

I call your attention to the great desirability of enacting legislation to help American shipping and American trade by encouraging the building and running of lines of large and swift steamers to South American and the Orient.

The urgent need of our country's making an effort to do something like its share of its own carrying trade on the ocean has been called to our attention in striking fashion by the experiences of Secretary Root on his recent South American tour. The result of these experiences he has set forth in his address before the Trans-Mississippi Commercial Congress, at Kansas City, Mo., on November 20 last, an address so important that it deserves the careful study of all public men.

men.

The facts set forth by Mr. Root are striking, and they can not but arrest the attention of our people. The great continent to the south of us, which should be knit to us by the closest commercial ties, is hardly in direct commercial communication with us at all, its commercial relations being almost exclusively with Europe. Between all the principal South American ports and Europe lines of swift and commodious steamers, subsidized by their home governments, ply regularly. There is no such line of steamers between these ports and the United States. larly. There United States.

commodious steamers, subsidized by their home governments, ply regularly. There is no such line of steamers between these ports and the United States.

In consequence, our shipping in South American ports is almost a negligible quantity; for instance, in the year ending June 30, 1905, there entered the port of Rio de Janeiro over 3.000 steamers and sailing vessels from Europe, but from the United States no steamers and only seven sailing vessels; two of which were in distress. One prime reason for this state of things is the fact that those who now do business on the sea do business in a world not of natural competition, but of subsidized competition. State aid to steamship lines is as much a part of the commercial system of to-day as state employment of consuls to promote business. Our commercial competitors in Europe pay in the aggregate some twenty-five millions at year to their steamship lines—Great Britain paying nearly seven millions. Japan pays between three and four millions. By the proposed legislation the United States will still pay relatively less than any one of our competitors pays. Three years ago the Trans-Mississippi Congress formally set forth as axiomatic the statement that every ship is a missionary of trade, that steamship lines work for their own countries just as railroad lines work for, their terminal points, and that it is as absurd for the United States to depend upon foreign ships to distribute its products as it would be for a department store to depend upon wagons of a competing house to deliver its goods. This statement is the literal truth.

Moreover, it must be remembered that American ships do not have to contend merely against the subsidization of their foreign competiors. The higher wages and the greater cost of maintenance of American officers and crews make it almost impossible for our people who do business on the ocean to compete on equal terms with foreign ships unless they are protected somewhat as their fellow-countrymen who have the wages and the manner of life of our

reserve.

The bill provides for fourteen steamships, subsidized to the extent of over a million and a half, from the Atlantic coast, all to run to South American ports. It provides on the Pacific coast for twenty-two

steamers subsidized to the extent of two millions and a quarter, some of these to run to South America, most of them to Manila, Australia, and Asia. Be it remembered that while the ships will be owned on the coasts, the cargoes will largely be supplied by the interior, and that the bill will benefit the Mississippi Valley as much as it benefits the scale and

the coasts, the cargoes will largely be supplied by the interior, and that the bill will benefit the Mississippi Valley as much as it benefits the seaboard.

I have laid stress upon the benefit to be expected from our trade with South America. The lines to the Orient are also of vital importance. The commercial possibilities of the Pacific are unlimited, and for national reasons it is imperative that we should have direct and adequate communication by American lines with Hawaii and the Philippines. The existence of our present steamship lines on the Pacific is seriously threatened by the foreign subsidized lines. Our communications with the markets of Asia and with our own possessions in the Philippines, no less than our communications with Australia, should depend not upon foreign, but upon our own steamships. The Southwest and the Northwest should alike be served by these lines, and if this is done they will also give to the Mississippi Valley throughout its entire length the advantage of all transcontinental railways running to the Pacific coast. To fail to establish adequate lines on the Pacific is equivalent to proclaiming to the world that we have neither the ability nor the disposition to contend for our rightful share of the commerce of the Orient; nor yet to protect our interests in the Philippines. It would surely be discreditable for us to surrender to our commercial rivals the great commerce of the Orient, the great commerce we should have with South America, and even our own communications with Hawaii and the Philippines.

I earnestly hope for the enactment of some law like the bill in question.

THEODORE ROOSEVELT.

THE WHITE HOUSE, January 23, 1907.

ADDRESS BY HON. ELIHU BOOT BEFORE THE TRANS-MISSISSIPPI COMMER-CIAL CONGRESS, KANSAS CITY, MO., TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 20, 1906. Mr. PRESIDENT AND GENTLEMEN OF THE CONGRESS :

A little less than three centuries of colonial and national life have brought the people inhabiting the United States, by a process of evolu-tion, natural and with the existing forces inevitable, to a point of dis-tinct and radical change in their economic relations to the rest of man-

tinct and radical change in their economic relations to the rest of mankind.

During the period now past the energy of our people, directed by the formative power created in our early population by heredity, by environment, by the struggle for existence, by individual independence, and by free institutions, has been devoted to the internal development of our own country. The surplus wealth produced by our labors has been applied immediately to reproduction in our own land. We have been cutting down forests and breaking virgin soil and fencing prairies and opining mines of coal and iron and copper and silver and gold, and building roads and canals and railroads and schoolhouses and colleges and libraries and hospitals and asylums and public buildings and storehouses and shops and homes. We have been drawing on the resources of the world in capital and in labor to aid us in our work. We have gathered strength from every rich and powerful nation and expended it upon these home undertakings; into them we have poured hundreds of millions of money attracted from the investors of Europe. We have been always a debtor nation, borrowing from the rest of the world, drawing all possible energy toward us and concentrating it with our own opportunities has excluded from our consideration and interest the enterprises and the possibilities of the outside world. Invention, discovery, the progress of science, capacity for organization, the enormous increase in the productive power of mankind, have accelerated our progress and have brought us to a result of development in every branch of internal industrial activity marvelous and unprecedented in the history of the world.

mous increase in the productive power of mankind, have accelerated our progress and have brought us to a result of development in every branch of internal industrial activity marvelous and unprecedented in the history of the world.

Since the first election of President McKinley the people of the United States have for the first time accumulated a surplus of capital beyond the requirements of internal development. That surplus is increasing with extraordinary rapidity. We have paid our debts to Europe and have become a creditor instead of a debtor nation; we have faced about; we have left the ranks of the borrowing nations and have entered the ranks of the investing nations. Our surplus energy is beginning to look beyond our own borders, throughout the world, to find opportunity for the profitable use of our surplus capital, for eign markets for our manufactures, foreign mines to be developed, foreign bridges and railreads and public works to be built, foreign rivers to be turned into electric power and light. As in their several ways England and France and Germany have stood, so we in our own way are beginning to stand and must continue to stand foward the industrial enterprise of the world.

That we are not beginning our new role feebly is indicated by \$1,518,561,666 of exports in the year 1905 as against \$1,117,513,071 of imperts, and by \$1,743,864,500 exports in the year 1906 as against \$1,226,563,843 of imports. Our first steps in the new field indeed are somewhat clumsy and unskilled. In our own vast country, with oceans on either side, we have had too little confact with foreign peoples readily to understand their customs or learn their languages; yet no one can doubt that we shall learn and shall understand and shall do our business abroad, as we have done it at home, with foreign epoples readily to understand their customs of learn their languages; yet no one can doubt that we shall learn and shall understand and shall do our business abroad, as we have done if at home, with foreign continent of South

large part of this area lies within the Temperate Zone, with an equable and invigorating climate, free from extremes of either heat or cold. Farther north in the Tropics are enormous expanses of high fable-lands, stretching from the Atlantic to the foothills of the Andes, and lifted far above the tropical heats; the fertile valleys of the western Cordilleras are cooled by perpetual snows, even under the equator; vast forests grow untouched from a soil of incredible richness. The plains of Argentina, the great uplands of Brazil, the mountain valleys of Chile, Peru, Ecuador, Bolivia, and Colombia are suited to the habitation of any race, however far to the north its origin may have been. Hundreds of millions of men can find healthful homes and abundant sustenance in this great territory.

The population in 1900 was only 42,461,381, less than six to the square mile. The density of population was less than one-eighth of that in the State of Missouri, less than one-sixtleth of that in the State of Massachusetts, less than one-seventieth of that in England, less than 1 per cent of that in Belgium.

With this sparse population the production of wealth is already enormous. The latest trade statistics show exports from South America to foreign countries of \$745,530,000, and imports of \$490,-858,600. Of the five hundred millions of goods that South America buys we sell them but \$63,246,525, or 12.6 per cent. Of the seven hundred and forty-five millions that South America sells we buy \$152,002,000, or 20.4 per cent—nearly two and one-half times as much as we sell.

Their production is increasing by leaps and bounds. In eleven

enormous. The latest trade statistics show exports from South American foreign countries of \$455,500.00. Or the five hundred millions of goods that South America \$490,555,000. Or the five hundred millions of goods that South America \$490,555,000. Or 100 for the hundred and forty-five millions that South America sells we buy \$152,002,000, or 20.4 per cent—nearly two and one-half times as mech was sell.

Saw es sell.

In the second of the second one-half times as much some sell of the second one-half times as much in 1894 to \$75,880,000 in 1905. In eligit years the exports of Peru have increased 100 per cent, from \$13,809,000 in 1897 to \$28,758,000 in 1895 to \$28,758,000 in 1895 to \$23,758,000 in 1895 to \$23,1544,000 in 1905.

This is only the beginning; the coffee and rubber of Brazil have increased 100 per cent, from \$115,808,000 in 1895 to \$311,544,000 in 1905.

This is only the beginning; the coffee and rubber of Brazil have wheat and beef and hides of Argentina and Uruguay, the copper and and cotton and sugar of Feru, are but samples of what the soil and miles of that wonderful continent are capable of yielding. Ninety-seven per cent of the territory of South America is occupied by tend and cotton and sugar of Feru, are but samples of what the soil and miles of that wonderful continent are capable of yielding in the pressure of population abroad will inevitably turn its streams of life or added the property of the pressure of population abroad will inevitably turn its streams of life or added to the pressure of population abroad will inevitably turn its streams of life of over three hundred thousand. Many thousands of Germans have aircady settled in southern Brazil. They are most welcome in Brazil was a set of the proposition of their the South American is politic, refined of over three hundred thousand. Many thousands of Germans have aircady settled in southern Brazil. They are most welcome in Brazil with the hecked proposition of the world worthy to rank even with the markets of the optical proposition of

These geat political services to South American Independence, how the control of the control of

is unfortunately true that among hundreds of thousands of possible customers the United States now stands in a position of assumed financial and business inferiority to the countries through whose banking houses all its business has to be done.

5. The American merchant should himself acquire, if he has not already done so, and should impress upon all his agents, that respect for the South American to which he is justly entitled and which is the essential requisite to respect from the South American. We are different in many ways as to character and methods. In dealing with all foreign people it is important to avoid the narrow and uninstructed prejudice which assumes that difference from ourselves denotes inferiority. There is nothing that we resent so quickly as an assumption of superiority or evidence of condescension in foreigners; there is nothing that the South Americans resent so quickly. The South Americans are our superiors in some respects. We are their superiors in other respects. We should show to them what is best in us and see what is best in them. Every agent of an American producer or merchant should be instructed that courtesy, politeness, and kindly consideration are essential requisites for success in the South American trade.

6. The investment of American capital in South America under the direction of American experts should be promoted, not merely upon simple investment grounds, but as a means of creating and enlarging trade. For simple investment purposes the opportunities are innumerable. Good business judgment and good business management will be necessary there, of course, as they are necessary here; but, given these, I believe that there is a vast number of enterprises awaiting capital in the more advanced countries of South America, capable of yielding great profits, and in which the property and the profits will be as safe as in the United States or Canada. A good many such enterprises are already begun. I have found a graduate of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, a gradua

the waters of that river along which Pizarre established his line of the waters of that river along which Pizarre established his line of cry to make light and power for the city of Lina. Every such point is the nucleus of American trade—the source of orders for American goods.

7. It is absolutely essential that the means of communication between the two countries should be improved and increased. This underlies all other considerations and it applies the principal South American ports and England, Germany, France, Spain, Italy lines of swift and commodious steamers ply regularly. There are five subsidized first-class mail and passenger lines between Buenos Ayres and Europe; there is no such line between Buenos Ayres and the United States. Within the past two years the German, the English, and the Italian lines have been replacing their old steamers with new and swifter steamers of modern construction, accommodation, and the real states. Within the past two years the German, the English, and the Italian lines have been replacing their old steamers with new and swifter steamers of modern construction, accommodation, and the real state of the st

facility; we are a nation of constructive capacity, competent to build ships; we are eminent, if not preeminent, in the construction of machinery; we have abundant capital seeking investment; we have courage and enterprise shrinking from no competition in any field which we choose to enter. Why, then, have we retired from this field in which we were once conspicuously successful?

I think the answer is twofold:

1. The higher wages and the greater cost of maintenance of American officers and crews make it impossible to compete on equal terms with foreign ships. The scale of living and the scale of pay of American saliors are fixed by the standard of wages and of living in the United States, and those are maintained at a high level by the protective tariff. The moment the American passes beyond the limits of his country and engages in ocean transportation he comes into competition with the lower foreign scale of wages and of living. Mr. Joseph L. Bristow, in his report upon trade conditions affecting the Panama Railroad, dated June 14, 1905, gives in detail the cost of operating an American steamship with a tonnage of approximately 3,500 tons, as compared with the cost of operating a specified German steamship of the same tonnage, and the differences aggregate \$15,315 per annum greater cost for the American steamship than for the German; that is, \$4.37 per ton. He gives also in detail the cost of maintaining another American steamship, with a tonnage of approximately 2,500 tons, as compared with the cost of operating a specified British steamship of the same tonnage, and the differences aggregate \$18,280.68 per annum greater cost for the American steamship than for the British; that is, \$4.37 per ton. It is manifest that if the German steamship were content with a profit of less than \$15,000 per annum and the British with a profit of less than \$15,000 per annum and the British with a profit of less than \$18,000 per annum the American ships would have to go out of business.

2. The principal maritime nations of t

has to contend; and it is manifest that the subsidized ship can afford to carry freight at cost for a long enough period to drive him out of business.

We are living in a world not of natural competition, but of subsidized competition. State aid to steamship lines is as much a part of the commercial system of our day as state employment of consuls to promote business.

It will be observed that both of these disadvantages under which the American shipowner labors are artificial; they are created by governmental action—one by our own Government in raising the standard of wages and living, by the protective tariff; the other by foreign governments in paying subsidies to their ships for the promotion of their own trade. For the American shipowner it is not a contest of intelligence, skill, industry, and thrift against similar qualities in his competitor; it is a contest against his competitors and his competitors' governments and his own Government also.

Plainly these disadvantages created by governmental action can be neutralized only by governmental action, and should be neutralized by such action.

What action ought our Government to take for the accomplishment of this just purpose? Three kinds of action have been advocated:

1. A law providing for free ships—that is, permitting Americans to buy ships in other countries and bring them under the American flag. Plainly this would not at all meet the difficulties which I have described. The only thing it would accomplish would be to overcome the excess in cost of building a ship in an American shipyard over the cost of building it in a foreign shipyard; but since all the materials which enter into an American shipyard; but since all the materials which enter into an American shipyard; but since all the materials which enter into an American shipyard; but since all the materials which enter into an American shipping, and to sacrifice it without receiving any substantial benefit. It is to be observed that Germany, France, and Italy all have attempted to build up the

shipping by adopting the policy of free ships, have falled in the experiment, have abandoned it, and have adopted in its place the policy of subsidy.

2. It has been proposed to establish a discriminating tariff duty in favor of goods imported in American ships—that is to say, to impose higher duties upon goods imported in foreign ships than are imposed on goods imported in American ships. We tried that once many years ago and have abandoned it. In its place we have entered into treaties of commerce and navigation with the principal countries of the world expressly agreeing that no such discrimination shall be made between their vessels and ours. To sweep away all those treaties and enter upon a war of commercial retaliation and reprisal for the sake of accomplishing indirectly what can be done directly should not be seriously considered.

3. There remains the third and obvious method—to neutralizing the artificial disadvantages imposed upon American shipping through the action of our own Government and foreign governments by an equivalent advantage in the form of a subsidy or subvention. In my opinion this is what should be done; it is the sensible and fair thing to do. It is what must be done if we would have a revival of our shipping and the desired development of our foreign trade. We can not repeal the protective tariff; no political party dreams of repealing it; we do not wish to lower the standard of American living or American wages. We should give back to the shipowner what we take away from him for the purpose of maintaining that standard; and unless we do give it back we shall continue to go without ships. How can the expenditure of public money for the improvement of rivers and harbors to promote trade be justified upon any grounds which do not also sustain this proposal? Would anyone reverse the policy that granted aid to the Paciffa railroads, the pioneers of our enormous internal commerce,

the agencies that built up the great traffic which has enabled half a dozen other roads to be built in later years without assistance? Such subventions would not be gifts. They would be at once compensation for injuries inflicted upon American shipping by American laws and the consideration for benefits received by the whole American pervery mannafacturer, every miner, every farmer, every merhant whose prosperity depends upon a market for its products.

The provision for the laws compensation should be actefully shaped in the compensation of the compensation o

the Merchant Marine Commission has followed its long and careful inquiry."

The bill now pending in the House is a bill framed upon the report of that Merchant Marine Commission. The question whether it shall become a law depends upon your Representatives in the House. You have the judgment of the Pestmaster-General, you have the judgment of the Senate, you have the judgment of the President; if you agree with these judgments and wish the bill which embodies them to become a law, say so to your Representatives. Say it to them individually and directly, for it is your right to advise them and it will be their pleasure to hear from you what legislation the interests of their constituents demand.

The great body of Congressmen are always sincerely desirous to meet

the just wishes of their constituents and to do what is for the public interest; but in this great country they are continually assailed by innumerable expressions of private opinion and by innumerable demands for the expenditure of public money; they come to discriminate very clearly between private opinion and public opinion, and between real public opinion and the manufactured appearance of public opinion; they know that when there is a real demand for any kind of legislation it will make itself known to them through a multitude of individual voices. Resolutions of commercial bodies frequently indicate nothing except that the proposer of the resolution has a positive opinion and that no one else has interest enough in the subject to oppose it. Such resolutions by themselves, therefore, have comparatively little effect; they are effective only when the support of individual expressions shows that they really represent a genuine and general opinion.

It is for you and the business men all over the country whom you represent to show to the Representatives in Congress that the producing and commercial interests of the country really desire a practical measure to enlarge the markets and increase the foreign trade of the United States, by enabling American shipping to overcome the disadvantages imposed upon it by foreign governments for the benefits of their trade, and by our Government for the benefit of our home industry.

industry.

SUCCESS IN THE NEAR FUTURE.

Mr. GALLINGER. I thank the Senate for listening to me in this closing hour of the session. While I acknowledge defeat to-day, my faith in the good sense and patriotism of the American people leads me to see success in the not distant future. We need American ocean mail lines to South America and other distant markets, and we shall have them. We shall have an American merchant marine and a naval reserve. We shall not go on forever, as we are going now, paying \$200,000,000 every year in freight, mail, and passenger money to the shipowners of foreign nations, our rivals in trade and possible enemies in war.

JAMESTOWN TERCENTENNIAL EXPOSITION

The VICE-PRESIDENT appointed as the members of the committee to attend the opening of the Jamestown Tercentennial Exposition, on the 26th of April next, Mr. Daniel, Mr. Burrows, Mr. Martin, Mr. Foraker, Mr. Kean, Mr. Scott, Mr. Warner, Mr. Brandegee, Mr. Berry, and Mr. Carmack.

THE MERCHANT MARINE.

On motion of Mr. Gallinger, it was

Ordered, That 1,000 copies of the Development of the Foreign Mail Service, the American Merchant Marine, and American Commerce be printed for use in the Senate document room.

DEVELOPMENT OF RAMIE FIBER.

On motion of Mr. HEYBURN, it was

Ordered, That Senate Report No. 6460, Fifty-ninth Congress, second session, being on the development and encouragement of the ramie-fiber silk, etc., be reprinted as a Senate document, with additional matter, including illustrations.

STATEMENT OF APPROPRIATIONS.

Mr. ALLISON. Mr. President, at this time I ask leave to present tables, carefully prepared by the clerks of the Committees on Appropriations, showing the condition of the appropriation bills at the close of the present session. The tables show all the appropriations made during this session and during the Fifty-ninth Congress and also give comparisons with former Congresses as respects appropriations.

It is worth while, perhaps, for me to say a word or two in

connection with the tables.

The total appropriations for the session, available for the the total appropriations for the session, available for the fiscal year 1908, are \$919,948,679.63. These include, of course, the annual appropriations and also the permanent appropriations which are not made in the annual bills. Of the permanent appropriations, \$57,000,000 is to meet sinking-fund obligations for 1908, provided for by statute; also \$20,000,000 estimated redemption of national-bank notes in 1908 out of deposits by banks for that purpose. That is a constant fund, replenished from day to day by deposits of the national banks and drawn from as national-bank notes are redeemed. So the \$20,000,000 is not a charge upon the revenues of the Govern-

There is also included in the \$919,948,679.63, \$13,439,685.36 of appropriations under deficiency bills, all of which will be expended during the present fiscal year and will not run into the next fiscal year. These items make in all \$90,439,685.36 that should be deducted from the \$919,948,679.63, making a total appropriation of \$829,508,994.27 chargeable to the revenue total appropriation of \$829,508,594.27 chargeable to the revenue for the next fiscal year. The estimated revenue from all sources for the next fiscal year is \$850,000,000, and if this revenue is realized there will be a surplus of \$20,000,000 in round numbers at the end of the fiscal year 1908, and not a deficit.

The increase of appropriations for the year 1908 over the year 1907 amounts to \$40,359,494.47. Those increases over the

current fiscal year arise chiefly from three or four sources, which I will name. First, the Army appropriations are increased \$6,700,000. This may be accounted for by the increase of the Army at the present session by the provision for coast artillery. The fortification bill for the next fiscal year amounts to \$1,800,000 in excess of the appropriation for fortifications during the current year. The appropriations for legislative,

^a There would be some modification of these figures if the cost of getting the malls to and from the exchange offices were charged against the account; but this is not separable from the general domestic cost and would not materially change the result.

executive, and judicial expenses for the next fiscal year have been increased \$2,400,000. The increase in the post-office ap-propriations for next year over the current year amounts to \$20,000,000. The pension appropriation bill is increased \$5,700,-000. The river and harbor appropriation exceeds that of the current year by \$37,000,000. That is accounted for by the fact that for the current year we passed no river and harbor bill. It is said, and I have seen it stated, that we appropriate \$90,000,000 for rivers and harbors. As a matter of fact, the appropriation bill for rivers and harbors for the next year amounts to \$37,183,093. If I am not correct in that statement, the chairman of the Committee on Commerce, the Senator from Maine, will correct me.

Mr. FRYE. Mr. President-

The VICE-PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Iowa yield to the Senator from Maine?

Mr. ALLISON. Certainly.

Mr. FRYE. It may be as well to state right here that, from a very careful computation made, the expenditure of eighty-six or eighty-seven million dollars carried by the river and harbor bill will not exceed \$27,000,000 a year, many of the appropriations running even up to six years.

Mr. ALLISON. In the statement I have included only the appropriations recommended by the Committee on Commerce and embraced in the river and harbor bill, and have excluded the appropriations which are carried for this purpose in the

sundry civil bill.

The sundry civil bill carries \$12,000,000 more than the sundry civil act of last year, largely accounted for by various items which are not very large individually.

The permanent appropriations this year are increased \$9,800,000 in round numbers. These increases are caused, first, by the appropriations for meat inspection of \$3,000,000; second, for forest reserves of \$1,200,000; and, third, for the Reclamation Service of \$4,000,000. The reclamation fund, of course, is a separate and distinct fund and this \$4,000,000 will be taken from that fund.

The clerks have made up another table, which I think is

worth inserting in the RECORD. It shows the appropriations made by this Congress, now about to expire, as compared with previous Congresses. The appropriations for the Fifty-ninth Congress exceed those for the Fifty-eighth Congress by \$198,-180,854. It is interesting to note the items that make up this increase—rivers and harbors, \$21,200,000; pensions, 5,000,000; post-office appropriations, \$50,300,000. I emphasize the latter item to show how rapidly our appropriations for the postal service have increased, amounting to \$50,000,000 more for this Congress than for the Fifty-eighth Congress. It may be worth while to note that this increase is not chief the party Sen while to note that this increase is not objected to by any Senator, so far as I know, or by the House of Representatives.

The Panama Canal appropriation for the Fifty-ninth Congress also shows an increase of \$69,500,000 over the Fifty-eighth Congress. I know of no one who objects to this appropriation; at least the appropriation for the Panama Canal is supposed to be made by the unanimous judgment of both Houses of Congress, with the approval of the American people. It is also worth while to note as I pass, when we hear so much said about a deficit in the Treasury, that by the act of 1902 the policy was entered upon of constructing the canal by means of loans. The cost of construction was not to be paid out of the current revenues of the Government. Therefore, in any statement that is made, the appropriation should not be charged to the current revenues of the Government.

I will not trouble the Senate further in calling attention to the details of these appropriations. I have only done so for the purpose of showing that while apparently they are very large, they all arise from laws which have been passed by the two Houses of Congress and approved by the President as necessary for the purposes of our Government from year to

year.

Mr. President, I ask leave to have these tables printed in the RECORD, so that Senators may have an opportunity to examine them more in detail.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. Without objection, permission is granted.

The tables referred to are as follows:

Chronological history of appropriation bills, second session of the Fifty-ninth Congress; estimates and appropriations for the fiscal year 1907-8, and appropriations for the fiscal year 1906-7.

[Prepared by the clerks to the Committees on Appropriations of the Senate and House of Representatives,]

Title.	Estimates, 1908.	Reported to the House.	Passed the House.	Reported to the Senate.	Passed the Sen- ate.	Law, 1907-8.	Law, 1906-7.
Agriculture Army Diplomatic and consular District of Columbia a Fortifications Indian Legislative, etc Military Academy Navy Pension Post-office b Rivers and harbors Sundry civil	79, 301, 303, 82 3, 254, 677, 72 11, 598, 222, 00 15, 068, 559, 00 7, 970, 168, 23 31, 215, 525, 80 2, 389, 283, 42 115, 444, 950, 33 138, 243, 000, 00	\$8, 125, 790. 00 73, 344, 289. 65 3, 085, 477. 72 10, 112, 234. 63 5, 411, 883. 00 8, 161, 668. 23 30, 529, 863. 80 1, 913, 983. 42 95, 404, 717. 00 138, 138, 500. 00 209, 416, 802. 00 35, 181, 612. 00 103, 872, 540. 23	\$8, 108, 010. 00 72, 291, 876. 89 3, 085, 477. 72 10, 037, 234. 63 5, 411, 883. 00 8, 233, 476. 33 30, 630, 383. 80 1, 913, 983. 42 95, 027, 481. 50 137, 734, 000. 00 209, 716, 802. 00 104, 531, 314. 13	\$8,808,210.00 81,698,610.54 3,085,477.72 10,724,582.63 7,253,589.00 14,590,999.78 30,855,833.60 1,947,383.42 100,727,807.50 145,987,000.00 212,174,393.60 114,578,861.30	\$9, 457, \$10.00 81, 787, 610.54 3, 071, 277, 72 10, 766, 562, 68 7, 458, 589.00 12, 876, 110.76 30, 847, 533, 80 1, 947, 838, 42 101, 108, 007, 50 145, 937, 000.00 212, 224, 393, 00 40, 123, 908, 00 115, 416, 161, 30	\$9, 447, 290. 00 78, 535, 282. 75 8, 092, 333. 72 10, 454, 118. 63 6, 898, 011. 00 9, 227, 876. 13. 80 1, 929, 703. 42 98, 958, 007. 50 145, 237, 000. 00 212, 129, 393. 00 9110, 736, 551. 30	\$9,930,440.00 71,817,165.08 3,091,094.17 10,138,672.16 5,(53,993.00 9,263,589,98 29,681,919.30 1,664,707.67 102,091,670.27 102,424,500.00 191,695,998.75 h 98,588,770.32
Total. Urgent deficiency, 1907 and prior years Urgent deficiency, additional, 1907 and prior years Deficiency, 1907 and prior years	16,000,000.00	722, 699, 361, 68	722, 118, 585, 42 581, 500, 00 264, 650, 00 9, 918, 698, 74	772, 614, 516, 67 581, 500, 00 1, 329, 650, 00 10, 739, 754, 36	773, 027, 347, 67 581, 500, 00 1, 329, 650, 00 11, 262, 375, 36	756, 622, 674, 27 581, 500, 00 1, 329, 650, 00 10, 528, 585, 36	673, 210, 530. 70 339, 129, 085. 45
Total		733, 457, 907. 72	732, 883, 384. 16	785, 265, 421. 03	786, 200, 873. 03	769, 062, 359, 63 1, 000, 000, 00	712, 339, 566, 15 27, 173, 299, 01
Total, regular annual appropriations	793, 304, 323, 68 k 149, 886, 320, 00					770, 062, 359. 63 &149, 886, 320. 00	739, 512, 865, 16 140, 076, 320, 00
Grand total, regular and permanent annual appropriations	943, 190, 643. 68					919, 948, 679. 63	1879, 589, 185. 16

"One-half of the amounts for the District of Columbia payable by the United States, except amounts for the water department (estimated for 1908 at \$136,616), which are payable from the revenues of the water department.

**Includes all expenses of the postal service payable from postal revenues and out of the Treasury.

**This amount is exclusive of \$7,439,511.10 to meet contracts authorized by law for river and harbor improvements included in the sundry civil estimates for 1908.

**In addition to this amount, the sum of \$6,392,730 is appropriated in the sundry civil act to carry out contracts authorized by law for river and harbor improvements for 1908.

**On river and harbor act passed for 1907, but the sum of \$17,254,050,04 was appropriated in the sundry civil act to carry out contracts authorized by law for river and harbor improvements for 1907.

**This amount includes \$7,439,511.10 to carry out contracts authorized by law for river and harbor improvements and \$25,406,258.73 for construction of the isthmian canal for 1908.

**This amount includes \$6,392,730 to carry out contracts authorized by law for river and harbor improvements and \$27,161,367.50 for construction of the isthmian canal for 1908.

**This amount includes \$17,254,050,04 to carry out contracts authorized by law for river and harbor improvements and \$27,161,367.50 for construction of the isthmian canal for 1908.

**This amount includes \$16,990,786 for the isthmian canal, which, added to the appropriation of \$25,456,415.08 in the sundry civil act for this purpose, makes the total appropriations passed at the first session of the Fifty-ninth Congress for the isthmian canal \$42,447,201.08.

**This is the amount submitted by the Secretary of the Treasury in the annual estimates for the isthmian canal \$42,447,201.08.

**This is the amount submitted by the Secretary of the Treasury in the annual estimates for the isthmian canal \$42,447,201.08.

**This is the amount submitted by the Secretary of the Treasury in the annual estimates for the isthmian c

for that purpose.

In addition to this amount, contracts are authorized to be entered into, subject to future appropriations by Congress, as follows: By the Army act, \$750,000; by the District of Columbia act, \$40,000; by the Military Academy act, \$1,700.000; by the naval act, \$2,750,000; by the sundry civil act, \$905,000; by the deficiency act, \$270,000; by the urgent deficiency act, \$803,700; by the public buildings act, \$13,368,500; in all, \$20,587,200.

Comparison of appropriations, Fifty-seventh, Fifty-eighth, and Fifty-ninth Congresses, covering fiscal years 1903, 1904, 1905, 1906, 1907, and 1908.

	Fifty-sevent	h Congress.	Fifty-eighth Congress.		Fifty-ninth Congress.	
Title of act.	Fiscal year	Fiscal year	Fiscal year	Fiscal year	Fiscal year	Fiscal year
	1903.	1904.	1905.	1906.	1007.	1908.
Agriculture Army Diplomatic and consular District of Columbia Fortifications Indian Legislative, etc. Military Academy Navy Pensions Post-office Rivers and harbors Sundry civil.	91, 730, 136, 41 1, 957, 925, 69 8, 544, 469, 97 7, 298, 955, 00 8, 986, 028, 10 25, 396, 681, 50 2, 627, 324, 42 78, 856, 363, 13 139, 842, 230, 00 138, 416, 598, 75 26, 771, 442, 00	\$5, 978, 160. 00 77, 888, 752. 83 1, 968, 250. 69 8, 638, 997. 00 7, 188, 416. 22 8, 540, 406. 77 27, 598, 653. 66 652, 748. 67 81, 876, 791. 43 139, 847, 600. 00 153, 511, 549. 75	\$5, 902, 040. 00 77, 070, 300. 88 2, 020, 100. 69 11, 018, 540. 00 7, 518, 192. 00 9, 447, 961. 40 28, 558, 258, 22 973, 947, 26 97, 505, 140. 94 138, 360, 700. 00 172, 545, 998, 000. 00 57, 840, 211. 34	\$6, 882, 690. 00 70, 396, 631. 64 2, 123, 047. 72 9, 801. 197, 62 6, 747, 893. 00 7, 923, 814, 32 9, 136, 752. 06 673, 713. 38 100, 336, 679, 94 138, 250, 100. 00 181, 022, 093, 75 18, 181, 875, 41 66, 813, 450. 66	\$9, 930, 440. 10 71, 817, 165. 08 3, 091, 094. 17 10, 138, 672. 16 5, 053, 993. 00 9, 260, 599. 98 29, 681, 919. 30 1, 664, 707. 67 102, 091, 670. 27 140, 245, 500. 00 191, 695, 998. 75	\$9, 447, 250, 00 78, 535, 282, 75 3, 092, 333, 72 10, 454, 118, 63 6, 898, 011, 00 9, 227, 876, 138, 00 1, 929, 703, 42 98, 958, 007, 50 145, 937, 000, 00 212, 129, 393, 003, 01 37, 183, 093, 00 110, 736, 551, 30
Total	595, 800, 474, 10	596, 061, 787, 12	611, 761, 391, 48	638, 289, 939, 52	673, 210, 530. 70	756, 622, 674, 27
	28, 050, 007, 32	21, 465, 660, 25	26, 771, 890, 18	31, 683, 288, 72	39, 129, 035. 45	12, 439, 685, 36
Total		617, 527, 447. 87	638, 533, 281, 66	669, 973, 228, 24	712, 339, 566, 15	769, 062, 359. 63
Miscellaneous		2, 941, 238. 65	1, 167, 273, 52	3, 375, 086, 72	27, 173, 299, 01	1, 000, 000. 00
Total, regular annual appropriations	676, 703, 276, 55	620, 468, 686, 02	639, 700, 555. 18	673, 348, 314, 96	739, 512, 865, 16	770, 062, 359. 63
	123, 921, 220, 00	132, 589, 820, 00	141, 471, 820. 00	146, 836, 320, 00	140, 076, 320, 00	149, 886, 320. 00
Grand total, regular and permanent annual appropriations	800, 624, 496. 55	753, 058, 506. 02	781, 172, 375, 18	820, 184, 634. 96	879, 589, 185. 16	919, 948, 679. 63
Total appropriations by Congresses	1,553,683	3, 002. 57	1,601,3	57, 010. 14	1,799,58	7, 864. 79

Comparison of appropriations, Fifty-eighth and Fifty-ninth Congresses.

Title of act.	Fifty-eighth Congress, fiscal years 1905 and 1906.	Fifty-ninth Congress, fiscal years 1907 and 1908.	Increase, Fifty-ninth Congress over Fifty-eighth Congress.	Decrease, Fifty-ninth Congress under Fifty- eighth Con- gress.
Agriculture. Army Diplomatic and consular District of Columbia. Fortifications. Indian Legislative, etc. Military Academy Navy Pensions. Post-office Rivers and harbors Sundry civil.	147, 466, 932, 52 4, 143, 148, 41 20, 819, 737, 62 14, 266, 685, 00 17, 371, 775, 74 57, 695, 010, 28 1, 647, 660, 64 197, 841, 820, 88 276, 610, 800, 00 363, 568, 962, 50 21, 181, 875, 41	\$19, 377, 730. 10 150, 352, 447, 83 6, 183, 427, 89 20, 592, 790, 79 11, 352, 004, 00 18, 488, 476, 13 61, 775, 933. 10 3, 594, 411, 09 201, 049, 677, 77 286, 182, 500, 00 403, 825, 391, 75 37, 183, 093, 00 209, 275, 321, 62		2, 314, 081. 00
Total	-1, 250, 051, 331. 00 58, 455, 178. 90	1, 429, 833, 204, 97 51, 568, 720, 81	182, 322, 901. 90	2,541,027.83 6,886,458.09
Total	1, 308, 506, 509. 90 4, 542, 360. 24	1, 481, 401, 925. 78 28, 173, 299. 01	182, 322, 901. 90 23, 630, 938. 77	9, 427, 485. 92
Total rezular annual appropriations. Permanent annual appropriations	1, 313, 048, 870. 14	1,509,575,224.79 289,962,640.00	205, 953, 840. 67 1, 654, 500. 00	9, 427, 485, 92
Grand tot ≈1 regular and permanent annual appropriations	1,601,357,010.14	1,799,537,864.79	207, 608, 340. 67	9,427,485.92

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE.

A message from the House of Representatives, by Mr. W. J. Browning, its Chief Clerk, announced that the House had agreed to the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H. R. 22182) agreed to the amendments of the senate to the bin (H. R. 22102) to authorize W. D. Clay and others to select lands in lieu of lands purchased by the father of said parties from the United States Government and lost by said heirs.

The message also announced that the Speaker of the House had appointed Mr. Charles B. Landis, Mr. James B. Perkins, and Mr. James M. Griggs members of the Joint Printing Com-

mission authorized by the legislative appropriation act.

The message further announced that the House had passed a resolution appointing a committee of three members to join a similar committee appointed by the Senate to wait upon the President of the United States and inform him that the two Houses had completed the business of the present session, and were ready to adjourn unless the President has some other communication to make to them.

The message also announced that the Speaker of the House had appointed Mr. PAYNE, Mr. WATSON, and Mr. DE ARMOND members of the joint committee on the part of the House.

ENROLLED BILLS SIGNED.

The message further announced that the Speaker of the House had signed the following enrolled bills and joint resolution; and they were thereupon signed by the Vice-President:
S. 1032. An act to aid in the erection of a statue of Commodore

John D. Sloat, United States Navy, at Monterey, Cal.; 8.784 S. 5133. An act to promote the safety of employees and travel-

ers upon railroads by limiting the hours of service of employees thereon:

S. 8614. An act to amend an act entitled "An act to regulate the practice of medicine and surgery in the District of Columbia," approved June 3, 1896: approved June 3, 1896;

H. R. 8727. An act for the relief of James W. Kenney and the

Union Brewing Company;
H. R. 22182. An act to authorize W. D. Clay and others to select lands in lieu of lands purchased by the father of said parties from the United States Government and lost by said

heirs; and H. J. Res. 211. Joint resolution authorizing the transfer of the files, books, and pamphlets of the Industrial Commission.

PRESIDENTIAL APPROVALS.

A message from the President of the United States, by Mr. M. C. Latta, one of his secretaries, announced that the President had approved and signed the following acts: On March 2:

S. R. 92. Joint resolution to authorize the Secretary of War to permit José March Duplat to receive instruction at the Military Academy at West Point;
S. 5660. An act for the relief of Capt. William N. Hughes;
S. 6729. An act authorizing the President to appoint Webb C.

Maglathlin a second assistant engineer in the Revenue-Cutter Service;

S. 7550. An act for the relief of Harry A. Young;

S. 7840. An act granting an increase of pension to Lewis A.

S. 8303. An act to establish the Foundation for the Promotion of Industrial Peace:

S. 5869. An act for the relief of Larvan Gordon;

S. 6134. An act providing for the conveyance to the State of North Dakota of certain tracts of lands for the use and benefit of the North Dakota State Historical Society;

S. 8580. An act granting land to Anna Johnson; and

S. 8622. An act granting an increase of pension to William N. Brunson.

On March 4:

S. 7812. An act to amend section 591 of the Revised Statutes of the United States, relative to the assignment of district judges to perform the duties of a disabled district judge;

S. 8427. An act to annul certain titles to land acquired by judicial proceedings in the courts of the United States in Texas,

and for other purposes

S. 8189. An act granting to the St. Louis, Iron Mountain and Southern Railway Company, a corporation, the right to construct, maintain, and operate a single-track railway across the lands of the United States in the southeast quarter of the northeast quarter of section 21, township 14 north, range 6 west of the fifth principal meridian, in the county of Independence and State of Arkansas, reserved for use in connection with the construction of Lock No. 1, Upper White River, Arkansas;

S. 8526. An act permitting the erection of a dam across Coosa River, Alabama, at the place selected for Lock No. 12 on said

river

S. 6249. An act to provide for the establishment of an agricul-

tural bank in the Philippine Islands; and S. 8498. An act to amend sections 16, 17, and 20 of an act entitled "An act to enable the people of Oklahoma and of the Indian Territory to form a constitution and State government and be admitted into the Union on an equal footing with the original States; and to enable the people of New Mexico and of Arizona to form a constitution and State government and be admitted into the Union on an equal footing with the original States," approved June 16, 1906, and for other purposes.

On March 4:

S. 360. An act to relinquish the interest of the United States in and to certain land in the city of Pensacola, Fla., to the Right Rev. Edwin P. Allen, Catholic bishop of the diocese of Mobile, Ala., and his successors, in trust for the Catholic congregation of Pensacola, Fla.;

S. 1032. An act to aid in the completion of a monument at Monterey, Cal., to commemorate the taking possession of the Pacific coast by Commodore John D. Sloat, United States Navy;

S. 5133. An act to promote the safety of employees and travelers upon railroads by limiting the hours of service of employees thereon:

S. 6447. An act to authorize the appointment of Acting Asst. Surg. George R. Plummer, United States Navy, as an assistant surgeon in the United States Navy ;

S. 6704. An act to amend an act entitled "An act for the relief of certain homestead settlers in the State of Alabama," approved

February 24, 1905;

S. 7247. An act to provide for the establishment of an immigration station at New Orleans, in the State of Louisiana, and the erection in said city, on a site to be selected for said station, of a public building;
S. 8012. An act to erect a monument on the Tippecanoe battle

ground in Tippecanoe County, Ind.; S. 8119. An act to readjust the boundaries of the naval reser vations in Porto Rico established in pursuance of the act of July 1, 1902:

S. 8230. An act for the relief of Harold D. Childs;

S. 8292. An act providing for the completion by the Secretary of War of a monument to the memory of the American soldiers who fell in the battle of New Orleans at Chalmette, La., and making the necessary appropriation therefor;

S. 8299. An act to confer certain civic rights on the Met-

lakahtla Indians of Alaska;

S. 8327. An act to provide for the establishment of an immigration station at Galveston, in the State of Texas, and the erection in said city, on a site to be selected for said station, of a public building;

S. 8568. An act granting an increase of pension to Rosanna A. May;

S. 8585. An act for the relief of Charles W. Spalding; S. 8614. An act to amend the act entitled "An act to regulate the practice of medicine and surgery in the District of Columbia," approved June 3, 1896;

S.R. 29. Joint resolution authorizing the selection of a site and the erection of a pedestal for the Stephenson Grand Army memorial in Washington, D. C.; and

S. R. 98. Joint resolution granting permission to Rear-Admiral

B. H. McCalla to accept a medal from the King of Great Britain and the Order of the Red Eagle from the Emperor of Germany.

ESTATE OF SAMUEL LEE, DECEASED

Mr. McCUMBER. I ask unanimous consent for the present consideration of the bill (H. R. 850) making appropriation to pay to the legal representatives of the estate of Samuel Lee, deceased, to wit, Samuel Lee, Anna Lee Andrews, Clarence Lee, Robert Lee, Harry A. Lee, and Phillip Lee, heirs at law, in full for any claim for pay and allowances made by reason of the election of said Lee to the Forty-seventh Congress and his services therein.

Mr. LATIMER. I ask that the bill be read.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. The Senator from North Dakota asks unanimous consent for the present consideration of a bill, which will be read for the information of the Senate.

The Secretary read the bill.
The VICE-PRESIDENT. Is there objection to the present consideration of the bill?

Mr. LATIMER. I object.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. Objection is made.

Mr. McCUMBER. I move that the Senate proceed to the consideration of the bill; and I wish to say one word in reference to it.

Twenty-five years ago, in a closely contested election, Samuel Lee was elected from one of the South Carolina districts—at least the House of Representatives so decided after a long contest. After it was determined that he was entitled to his seat a little filibuster was kept up for the remainder of the session, so that it was impossible to swear him in. Twentyfive years have elapsed since that time. Mr. Lee died a poor man in the struggle to get the salary that was due him during that time. The Senator from South Carolina [Mr. TILLMAN] has heretofore objected to the consideration of the bill.

After this number of years, when we have done so much during the present session in the changing of words which are to describe a great war and in many other ways to eliminate entirely from our memory all of the old bitterness which gathered around us during those days of struggle between the two sections of the country, I think it would be an act of jus-

tice to pass this bill.

This election occurred while that strife was still very warm indeed, but all feeling has now passed away. The House of Representatives declared that Mr. Lee was entitled to his seat. The House of Representatives twenty-five years thereafter has declared by its vote that the little sum of \$10,000 for his salary during the two years, to which he would have been entitled had he been seafed, according to the decision of the House, shall at last be paid.

I appeal to the Senator from South Carolina to allow us to crown the last hours of this session by this act of justice long delayed to the heirs of Samuel Lee. It is but a small amount. It is justly due according to all precedents of both Houses, and there can be no just reason for longer delaying it. The Representative himself has been dead for many years. He kept up the struggle, being supported by others, until he died, practically a pauper. His children have kept up the struggle to get what they consider justly and honestly their due. We have granted pensions very freely to the old soldier during this session. We have been liberal in a thousand different ways. Now let us combine our liberality with our sense of justice, and at last, after a quarter of a century, pay to the heirs of Mr. Lee the sum that rightfully belonged under every precedent to their ancestor.

Mr. LATIMER. Is the Senator from North Dakota through? The VICE-PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from North Dakota yield to the Senator from South Carolina?

Mr. McCUMBER. I yield to the Senator from South Caro-

Mr. LATIMER. I wish to take the floor in my own right. Mr. McCUMBER. Let me ask the Senator if he still intends to object to the consideration of the bill?

Mr. LATIMER. I do.

Mr. McCUMBER. I yield to the Senator then, and I should

like to reply to him.

Mr. LATIMER. Mr. President, the Senator from North Dakota, in his plea for justice to this contestant, and in the remarks that he has made with regard to the feeling which should exist in the South on account of the treatment of the South by the present Congress, appeals to me with force. But this is a case where, in my opinion, the contestant had no right to the seat. The certificate of election was given to Mr. Richardson, of South Carolina, and he came here and served out the two years as a Member of the House. These contested-election cases in the South have continued since the war until within

the last two or three years largely for the purpose of getting the fee that is given in contested-election cases

I should like to read to the Senate the speech of the elected Member, Mr. Richardson, delivered on the occasion when the contest was up. Mr. Richardson, of South Carolina, said:

Member, Mr. Richardson, delivered on the occasion when the contest was up. Mr. Richardson, of South Carolina, said:

Mr. Speaker, the time for which I was elected to this Congress is about expiring. It is true it would matter but little to me personally whether I continue to hold this seat for a few hours longer or whether you take it from me. It is true it would matter but little to the consitiuency I represent if you deprive them of their Representative upon this floor for the few remaining hours of this Congress.

Perhaps it might be thought that I could well afford to remain quiet and let this case be decided as you may see proper to decide it. But behind all these considerations there is this question: Is it right to do so? And then there is another question: Is it right to do so? And then there is another question: Is it right to do so? And then there is another question: Is it right to do as and that my constituency are not placed in any false light.

Now, Mr. Speaker and gentlemen of the House, this election was had; the election officers ascertained who was elected, and they gave to the contestee the certificate. The contestant filed his complaint, claiming that a number of things had been done which ought not to have been done, by which he had been done which ought not to have been done, by which he had been deprived of a number of votes. What did the contestee do? When the contestent claimed that certain votes and polls which had not been counted should be counted, the contestee's answer was "Count the whole poll in the district; count the last voter who voted, and let the result decide this election." Then the contestant comes up and presents his case. He goes through every box after every poll in the Congressional district had been restored to the count, restored by the consent of the contestee. He goes into the count, and says. "These boxes have not been purged as they ought to the contestes still has a majority. He who in the outstee came to the Elections Committee and said, "Oh, it is wrong to t

nto that box for the contestant 199 votes, when the count gave him only 75 votes.

I only bring up that case for the purpose of showing that from the count of that box the contestant and the honorable gentleman who makes the minority report deduct 124 votes of the contestee and give that number to the contestant, making a difference of 248 votes. That is the way the result is reached in this case—reducing the vote of the contestee enough to give the seat to the contestant if you throw out the vote of Darlington precinct. I will not waste time in showing the other methods resorted to in order to bring down the vote of Darlington Court House, the seat will be given to the contestant. I address myself at once to the single question involved in this case. After purging the poll, as has been done, after resorting to such means as I have mentioned to bring down the vote of the contestee, the question then turns, as I am willing to concede, upon the vote at Darlington Court House. What was the vote there? As has been said, it gave the contestee some 1,100 majority. The contestant asked to have it thrown out, not on account of fraud, for no fraud was charged against it.

One word as to the charge in regard to tissue ballots. They were used only in Georgetown County, and the authorities of South Carolina threw out every box in that county but one because there were tissue ballots.

Now, as to the Darlington poll, what is the proof? The proof is

tissue ballots.

Now, as to the Darlington poll, what is the proof? The proof is that there was not a single gun fired or exhibited. There was no violence threatened. The colored people who met there in the morning, having been accustomed at election after election to have possession of the polls, were incensed because on this occasion the election was held where the law of South Carolina required in so many words that it should be held—in the court-house, instead of at the market-place, where it had been held before. Under these circumstances the order was given to the negroes by their leader that they should go away, and they did go away: they did not vote, or at least only 117 of them voted. There is no proof that they did not vote elsewhere, or at least that most of them did not vote elsewhere, or at least that names of 240 persons who he claimed did not vote there.

Mr. CLAPP. Mr. President—

Mr. CLAPP. Mr. President—
The VICE-PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from South Carolina yield to the Senator from Minnesota?
Mr. LATIMER. With pleasure.

Mr. CLAPP. Will the Senator yield that I may make a request for the publication of a document?

Mr. LATIMER. I will.

Mr. LODGE. I desire to ask what question is now before the

The VICE-PRESIDENT. The question is on the motion of the Senator from North Dakota [Mr. McCumber] to take up House bill 850.

I make the point of order that a motion to take Mr. LODGE. up a bill is not debatable.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. It is not, except by unanimous consent. Is there objection?

Mr. McLAURIN. I wish to say to the Senator from Massa-chusetts that the Senator from North Dakota, who made the motion, debated it, and I think it would be but fair to have per-

mission given to the objector.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. The debate is proceeding by unanimous consent.

Mr. McCUMBER. Mr. President-

The VICE-PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from South Carolina yield to the Senator from North Dakota?

Mr. LATIMER. Yes, sir.

Mr. McCUMBER. I simply would like to ask the Senator in all candor whether it is his intention to proceed to talk on the bill, if necessary, until 12 o'clock?

Mr. LATIMER. I think, Mr. President, that this is a measure

of sufficient importance to ask that it be postponed until after 12 o'clock

Mr. McCUMBER. If that is the intention of the Senator, I certainly will not impose the burden upon him of having to do it. Mr. LATIMER. That is very kind of the Senator.

Mr. McCUMBER. That being the case, I will withdraw the

The VICE-PRESIDENT. The Senator from North Dakota withdraws the motion to proceed to the consideration of the bill.

ELECTION OF SENATORS.

Mr. CLAPP. I present a paper which is an abstract of the laws relating to the election of United States Senators, and I move that it be printed as a document.

The motion was agreed to.

AFFAIRS IN INDIAN TERRITORY.

On motion of Mr. Clark of Wyoming, it was

Ordered, That 300 additional copies of Senate Report No. 5013, parts 1 and 2, Fifty-ninth Congress, second session, being the report of the select committee to investigate matters connected with affairs in the Indian Territory, be printed for the use of the select committee.

NOTIFICATION TO THE PRESIDENT.

Mr. HALE submitted the following resolution; which was considered by unanimous consent, and agreed to:

Resolved, That a committee of two Senators be appointed by the Vice-President to join a similar committee appointed by the House of Representatives to wait upon the President of the United States and inform him that the two Houses, having completed the business of the present session, are ready to adjourn, unless the President has some other communication to make to them.

The VICE-PRESIDENT appointed Mr. Hale and Mr. Pettus members of the committee on the part of the Senate.

PROTECTION OF GAME IN ALASKA.

Mr. BEVERIDGE. I ask unanimous consent for the present consideration of the bill (H. R. 25032) to amend an act entitled "An act for the protection of game in Alaska, and for other purposes," approved June 7, 1902.

Mr. CULBERSON. Let it be read.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. The bill will be read.

Mr. BEVERIDGE. I think the bill has been read once.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. The Senator from Texas requests

the reading of the bill.

Mr. BEVERIDGE. Very well.

Mr. CULBERSON. I will ask the Senator from Indiana from what committee the bill comes?

Mr. BEVERIDGE. From the Committee on Territories. The VICE-PRESIDENT. The bill has been reported from the Committee on Territories.

Mr. CULBERSON. Is it a unanimous report?
Mr. BEVERIDGE. It is a unanimous report, and the bill has been on the Calendar for some days. It is a House bill, I will say to the Senator, and that Senators living in the direction of Alaska whose States are directly interested, as well as the Delegate from Alaska and all the people who have said anything to our committee from that district, urge the very great and immediate necessity of this law for the protection of game

Mr. CARMACK. It has passed the House? Mr. BEVERIDGE. Oh, yes; it has passed the House. Mr. CULBERSON. Let it be read, Mr. President.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. The Secretary will read the bill.

The Secretary proceeded to read the bill.

Mr. CARTER. Mr. President, I suggest to the Senator from Indiana that it will be quite impossible to have this bill enrolled and presented to the presiding officers of the respective Houses and to the President before the hour of adjournment.

Mr. BEVERIDGE. That is very clear.

Mr. CARTER. While I do not wish to object to the bill, I

suggest that it is quite useless to continue the reading. It is

obvious that the bill can not become a law at the present

Mr. BEVERIDGE. That is quite true; and when I asked for the immediate consideration of the bill the fact that it had already been read once I thought would obviate the necessity of reading it again. Under those circumstances, of course, the bill could have been passed. Since the Senator from Texas has asked for the reading of the bill, it is clear to everyone

that it is quite useless to proceed further.

Mr. NEWLANDS. I should like to inquire whether if the reading were discontinued and the bill should be now passed there would be time to have it enrolled and presented to the

President?

Mr. BEVERIDGE. No; that is the very point.
Mr. NEWLANDS. I know the sentiment of the committee was unanimous in favor of the bill, and it was carefully examined with a view to prevent the evil possib. of dilettante sportsmanship in Alaska.

Mr. BEVERIDGE. We now have ten minutes until the time when the present session expires. It is manifestly impossible to enroll the bill and send it to the presiding officers and the President in ten minutes. We might have passed the bill if

the additional reading had not been demanded.

Mr. CLAPP. If the Senator will yield, I will state that it would be impossible to pass the bill, for there must be two amendments made to it. It makes no provision for the protection of woodchucks nor for protecting men against the penalty of the law where they kill ducks and prairie chickens in self-defense. [Laughter.]

Mr. BEVERIDGE. I will say to the Senator and to the Senate that that omission was made by the Committee on Territories, but it was an oversight, and it would not have occurred had we had the assistance of the junior Senator from

I withdraw the bill.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. The bill is withdrawn.

BUSINESS OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

Mr. GALLINGER. Mr. President, I desire to put in the RECORD a statement of the number of bills referred to the Committee on the District of Columbia during the Fifty-ninth Congress and the action taken on those bills. It is important for the reason that the committee is legislating for this great District, and while the committee has been somewhat criticised I think if the citizens of the District will take the trouble to read this statement they will find that they have been faithfully served. As an illustration, there were 245 Senate bills referred to the committee, 3 Senate resolutions, and 84 House bills, making a total of 332 all told. I ask that the statement may be printed in the RECORD.

The VICE-PRESIDENT. Without objection, it is so ordered.

The statement referred to is as follows:

Statement showing the number of bills referred to the Committee on the District of Columbia during the Fifty-ninth Congress, and the action taken thereon: Senate bills referred

Senate resolutions referred	3
House bills referred	84
	-
Total	332
Senate bills favorably reported	81
House bills favorably reported	66
Senate bills adversely reported	53
Senate bills which have passed the Senate and are pending	
In the House	01

Bills awaiting approval_ In addition, there have been received and considered by the

Senate and House bills approved by the President ...

committee thirty amendments to appropriation bills.

Following are some of the important laws which have been placed on the statute books:

Condemnation of insanitary buildings.

General law governing proceedings relating to condemnation of land for streets.

Providing for compulsory education.

Regulating employment agents and agencies. Classifying officers and members of the fire department.

Classifying officers and members of the police department,

Reorganizing the public school system.

Creating a juvenile court.

Regulating the abatement of nuisances. Regulating the practice of veterinary medicine.

Regulating practice of pharmacy and sale of poisons. Regulating building lines.

NOTIFICATION TO THE PRESIDENT.

Mr. HALE and Mr. PETTUS, the committee appointed to wait

on the President, appeared, and

Mr. HALE said: Mr. President, the committee of the Senate, with a like committee of the House, have waited upon the President, have informed him that the business of Congress is at an end, and have asked him if he has any further communications to make, to which he replied that he has no communication to make.

THANKS TO THE VICE-PRESIDENT.

Mr. BLACKBURN. Mr. President, coming to the discharge of my last official act as a Senator, speaking for the minority side of this Chamber, it affords me peculiar pleasure to submit and send to the desk a resolution which expresses fully the experience I have had, and which I am quite sure expresses the sentiment of every Senator, bearing testimony to the courtesy, the fairness, and the ability with which our presiding officer has discharged the functions of his office. In asking for the unanimous approval of the resolution by the Senate, I am sure that I am not taxing the generosity of any Senator.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. ALLISON in the chair).

The Secretary will read the resolution submitted by the Sena-

tor from Kentucky.

The resolution was read and unanimously agreed to, as fol-

Resolved, That the thanks of the Senate are hereby tendered to Hon. CHARLES W. FAIRBANKS, Vice-President of the United States and President of the Senate, for the dignified, impartial, and courteous manner in which he has presided over its deliberations during the present session.

FINAL ADJOURNMENT.

The Vice-President having resumed the chair, and the hour of 12 o'clock meridian having arrived,

The VICE-PRESIDENT said:

SENATORS: The Chair is sensible of the fact that the resolution you have adopted is a courtesy to the Chair, sanctioned by immemorial usage rather than to the occupant of that exalted station.

If the Chair has been able to convince the Senate of his impartiality in the discharge of the duties which devolve upon him, and which are often delicate and difficult, he is gratified in full measure. He fully appreciates that whatever success may have attended him in the performance of his duties during the session which is now closing has been largely due to the patience and generous cooperation of the members of the Senate, all of which he gratefully acknowledges.

The Chair desires to place upon the permanent records of the Senate his testimony in behalf of those Senators whose service in the Senate is about to terminate for their unwavering devotion to the public interest while here, and to wish them many years of further usefulness to their countrymen.

The Chair wishes for those who remain in the Senate and now enter upon their vacation after their arduous public duty health and a safe return. They have well earned the approving judgment of their large constituencies by their intelligent

and complete consecration to the public service.

The Senate of the United States has well vindicated its claim to the popular approval by its wise, careful, and patriotic consideration of many questions of large concern to the Government and the people. Each passing session justifies the wisdom of the fathers, who established the Senate as one of the essential safeguards to American institutions and one of the assurances of the political welfare of the people.

Permit the Chair to thank you, Senators, each and all, for

your uniform kindness and to bid you godspeed.

The hour of 12 o'clock, which by law terminates the second session of the Fifty-ninth Congress, having arrived, the Chair declares the Senate adjourned without day. [Applause on the floor and in the galleries.]

NOMINATIONS.

Executive nominations received by the Senate March 2, 1907.

POSTMASTERS.

HAWAII.

George F. Renton to be postmaster at Ewa, in the county of Oahu and Territory of Hawaii. Office became Presidential Jan-

MARYLAND.

Mary J. Perkins to be postmaster at Hancock, in the county of Washington and State of Maryland, in place of Mary J. Perkins. Incumbent's commission expired January 22, 1907.

Mary M. Carey to be postmaster at Lexington, in the county of Richland and State of Ohio. Office became Presidential January 1, 1907.

PENNSYLVANIA.

Thomas E. Pyatt to be postmaster at Youngwood, in the county of Westmoreland and State of Pennsylvania. Office became Presidential January 1, 1907.

WEST VIRGINIA.

James B. Campbell to be postmaster at New Cumberland, in the county of Hancock and State of West Virginia, in place of James B. Campbell. Incumbent's commission expired February 26, 1907.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

Monday, March 4, 1907.

[Continuation of legislative day of Saturday, March 2, 1907.] The recess having expired, the House was called to order by

the Speaker at 9.30 a. m.

PEONAGE IN FLORIDA.

Mr. JENKINS. Mr. Speaker, I ask that the Committee on the Judiciary be discharged from the further consideration of House resolution 886.

Mr. RANDELL of Texas. Mr. Speaker, a parliamentary in-diry. Is it not time to dispose of the appeal pending at the

time the recess was taken?

The SPEAKER. The Chair will suggest to the gentleman that on yesterday the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. Jenkins] was on the floor and was taken off his feet by a conference report. As the Chair recollects, there was an understanding that the gentleman should have the floor temporarily, and the gentleman from New York [Mr. Fitzgerald] should have fifteen minutes. The gentleman from New York concluded to print. The gentleman from Florida was also to have ten minutes. The Chair would be glad to see that understanding carried out; and the gentleman from Wisconsin desires to yield to the gentleman from Florida ten minutes. There is not yet a quorum. In these closing hours the Chair suggests that the gentleman temporarily wait. This matter may develop a quorum, and the gentleman will be recognized a little later, if that is agreeable.

Mr. RANDELL of Texas. Very well, sir. Mr. JENKINS. Mr. Speaker, I have asked that the Committee on the Judiciary be discharged from the further considera-tion of resolution 886, and I ask, Mr. Speaker, that a letter from the Attorney-General with reference to this resolution be

read in my time.

Mr. MANN. May we have the resolution reported?

The resolution was reported, as follows:

House resolution No. 886.

Resolved, That the Attorney-General of the United States be, and he is hereby, directed to furnish the House of Representatives, at the earliest practicable day, with the following information, namely:

First. How much money has been expended during the present Administration by the Department of Justice in and about the ferreting out and prosecution of alleged peonage cases in the State of Florida?

Second. What connection with the Department of Justice has one Mrs. Quackenboss, who has been prominently connected with the prosecution of these alleged peonage cases in the State of Florida; what official position under the Government does she hold, if any; what saleary does she receive, if any; what are her duties; and what instructions, if any, were given her by the Department of Justice?

Third. Why were special attorneys employed to aid in the prosecution of these alleged peonage cases in the State of Florida; why was it necessary to send an Assistant Attorney-General to the State of Florida to assist in the prosecution of these alleged peonage cases; are not the regularly appointed and duly qualified United States attorneys for the two judicial districts of Florida and their assistants amply able to care for the interests of the Government in that State?

Mr. PAYNE. Mr. Speaker, how does this matter come un?

Mr. Speaker, how does this matter come up? R. The gentleman from Wisconsin calls it up.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Wisconsin calls it up.
Mr. PAYNE. Is that a question of privilege?
The SPEAKER. It was called up yesterday. The Chair calls
the attention of the gentleman from New York, as he has called
the attention of the gentleman from Texas, to the fact that upon yesterday, as the Chair recollects, there was an understanding that the gentleman from Wisconsin would yield fifteen minutes to the gentleman from New York [Mr. FITZGERALD]. tleman from New York occupied a portion of his time, and stated to the Chair that he does not care to occupy further time. And also the understanding, stated in the House, as the Chair recollects, was that the gentleman from Florida [Mr. Clark] should have ten minutes; and the Chair is trying to carry out that understanding.

Mr. PAYNE. Of course if that understanding was had yesterday it was had when I was not present.

Mr. MANN. Unanimous consent was given.

Mr. PAYNE. That being the case, a point of order would not lie against it; otherwise it would.

The letter was read, as follows

Mr. PAYNE. That being the case, a point of order would not lie against it; otherwise it would.

The letter was read, as follows:

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE.

OFFICE OF THE ATTENNEY-GENERAL,

Washington, D. C., February 27, 1997.

My Dear Mr. Jenkins: Your letter of the 26th instant, inclosing a copy of the resolution submitted by Mr. Clark of Florida, in relation to the recent and pending prosecutions for peonage in that State, and the property of urnish you the following information as to the subject-matter:

It is subject-matter:

It is subject-matter:

It is the property of the proper

Hon. John J. Jenkins,
Chairman Committee on the Judiciary,
House of Representatives.

Mr. JENKINS. I yield ten minutes to the gentleman from Florida.

Mr. CLARK of Florida. Mr. Speaker, as I will only have ten minutes, I desire to ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the RECORD.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection? [After a pause.] The Chair hears none.

Mr. CLARK of Florida. Mr. Speaker, to deal with a "muck-raker" is always unpleasant. It is at no time agreeable to engage

in disputation with that product of our present-day civilization known as "yellow journalism," which for a few pennies and an opportunity to keep in the lime light does not hesitate to calumniate an entire community. It is disagreeable because of the fact that the creature in question is generally so lacking in character and so bereft of the essential attributes of real manhood that he will resort to any and every form of falsehood to bolster up his original libel. In submitting to this House and to the American people a few remarks that I shall make upon the sub-ject of "Peonage in Florida," as treated in a recent article in the Cosmopolitan Magazine for March, 1907, and partly copied in the New York Evening Journal of February 25, 1907, I know that I shall bring down on my humble self the relentless fury of the owner of those publications, who happens to be a Member of this body, but who, I do not believe, has occupied his seat for ten full days during the entire life of the Fifty-ninth Congress. But be that as it may, Mr. Speaker, I would be unworthy of the high and honorable position I hold if I should silently sit here and permit a Member of this body, either from his place on this floor or through the columns of his publications, to slander and malign my people. I represent in this great deliberative assembly a constituency which is just as honest, just as intelligent, just as patriotic, just as moral, just as law-abiding, just as liberty loving, and just as God-fearing as any people beneath the shining canopy of heaven. There is hardly a State in all the Union that has not furnished citizens for the great district which I have the honor to represent here; and if you desire to find the robust American citizen in his fullest development, just join me in a journey over the Second Congressional district of Florida. Injustice and wrong can not flourish among such a people; and while I feel that no defense against the attacks of this man through his paid hirelings is really necessary, yet I desire to call at-tention to some of the falsehoods contained in the article re-The article seems to have been written by one Richard Barry. I do not know this man Barry, and I must confess that I never heard of him before. This may be my misfortune; but, without having seen the man, I am constrained to say that he has either been easily duped by some designing person or else he is a right considerable liar himself.

I shall confine my remarks to my own district, only men-tioning the fact that the conviction of officers of the Jackson Lumber Company, of Pensacola, in the district of my colleague [Mr. LAMAR], was had in the court presided over by Charles Swayne, whose record as a judge is known of all men.

Here is what this man Barry says:

It was all proved incontestably in the courts that the men were enticed to their work by glowing and fraudulent representations; that once on the job they were held to it by threats; that when a few of spirit rebelled and tried to run away they were intimidated with firearms; that when some finally did get away they were tracked with hounds and brought back at the point of a pistol; that when the law intervened in the person of the local justice and tried to set them free they were shown to be in debt to their employers and the strenuous incident of their keep justified on the score of an ancient statute which gave a debtor's body to the creditor until the debt was satisfied.

There is no such statute in Florida as permits a creditor to hold the debtor's body until the debt is satisfied. This was a deliberate and unqualified falsehood on the part of the writer, and the fact that he did not take the time to examine the statute law of the State is evidence of the recklessness with which he libels a whole people.

Again I ask you to listen to the words of this adept in the art

A dozen tramp immigrants ran away from the O'Hara camp, at Buffalo Bluff, and startled the inhabitants of Palatka with their story of frightful wrongs.

The O'Hara camp he refers to is in my district, and the head of the firm operating it is Mr. F. J. O'Hara, a citizen of character and standing in the State of Florida. Mr. O'Hara and his business associates were indicted for peonage at Jacksonville by the grand jury of the United States court for the southern district of Florida. They were put upon trial last December, and after weeks spent in taking testimony the case was submitted to the jury and a verdict of "not guilty" promptly They were immediately put on trial in the same court on a second indictment, and after several more weeks spent in taking testimony the second jury promptly returned a verdict of "not guilty." This man Barry knew, or should have known, when he penned his infamous libel against our people, that O'Hara and his associates had been vindicated by two different juries in the Federal court at Jacksonville; yet in the face of this he holds up O'Hara and his associates to the whole world as demons in human form. We are blessed in the southern district of Florida with a pure and just judge.

I have known Judge James W. Locke for many years, and no man ever wore the ermine who was more honest, fair, and just

than he. We also have an able, fearless, honest district attorney, and with the machinery of the law in such hands the innocent will not suffer. I say the innocent will not suffer, Mr. Speaker, but when I say that I mean that these upright officials will not permit an innocent man to suffer by reason of an unjust conviction; but they will suffer, as O'Hara and his associates have suffered, in loss of time and money in making defense against these false and outrageous accusations, as well as suffering the humiliation of criminal prosecutions. Mr. O'Hara is not a wealthy man, and my information is that in protecting his honor and his liberty in the two cases upon which he has already been tried and acquitted he has had to expend about \$15,000 of his earnings. If his persecution is to be continued and he is to be tried on the remaining indictments which stand against him, the probability is that, while he will walk forth from the Federal court a free man, he will be bankrupted by these infamous proceedings. There is some strange but powerful influence behind these cases. What is it? the machinery of the law in this great Government of ours be used to hound down and bankrupt honorable, law-abiding citizens? Two different juries, composed of twenty-four good and lawful men, under the sanction of their solemn oaths as jurors, after listening to all the testimony, the argument of counsel, and the charge of the court, have declared to all the world that O'Hara and his associates were not guilty as charged; yet we are told it is the purpose of Assistant Attorney-General Russell and his assistant, one Mrs. Quackenboss, to again put these people on trial on other indictments making substantially the same charges as these of which they have been acquitted. Assistant Attorney-General and his female assistant certainly know that these people are not guilty as charged; they know that no honest jury will convict them; they know that it is their sworn duty to protect the innocent; they know that the prosecution of the citizen should not be allowed to sink into a persecution; they ought to know that when a prosecution for alleged crime is persisted in when its only effect is to bankrupt innocent people the Government is dishonored and the pure stream of justice polluted. How many times can a citizen be put in jeopardy upon the same charge? I have always been taught that only once could a citizen, under our benign form of Government, be put in jeopardy on a particular charge; yet we find these extremely zealous officials—one of them a female lawyer from New York and, I understand, from the east side—forcing defendants to trial on indictment after indictment, charging practically the same offense and using substantially the same set of witnesses to establish identically the same set of facts.

I suppose, Mr. Speaker, that this female lawyer from the east side, who seems to be the controlling force in these prosecutions, gets around this question of former jeopardy upon her theory that our people are a barbarous tribe to whom the ordinary principles of law do not apply. She has come from the slums of the east side of New York to prosecute correct ideas into the heads of our benighted people. But who is behind her? Why should the Department of Justice at Washington seemingly ignore our very capable district attorney and turn over to this woman the entire machinery of the law in the southern judicial district of Florida? The honorable Attorney-General of the United States, in his lengthy letter just read to the House by The honorable Attorney-General of the the Clerk, does not tell us, and he evades the question of the cost to the Government of these prosecutions for peonage which I sought in my resolution. He also seeks to convey the impression that this Mrs. Quackenboss is a very philanthropic person, and that she is so moved by pity for persons held in slavery in Florida that she is devoting her time and means as a gratuity for their liberation. But the honorable Attorney-General will not say what salary she is receiving, although he does admit, in the face of his statement as to her great work of charity, that she does get a salary from the Government. How much does she get? The Attorney-General says:

The understanding of the Department being that her compensation amounts only to what she is obliged to pay a competent person for taking her place in the office she has established as above described, her own services being rendered gratuitously.

Now, Mr. Speaker, it seems to me it would have been an easy thing for the Attorney-General to have told us how much money he was allowing this woman lawyer. Why evade it? Nobody who ever saw this female lawyer believes for one moment that she is working for nothing. No one believes she is working for actual expenses only. Why keep the amount she receives from the Government a secret? Is anyone else paying for her services? Is she employed and paid by some one other than the Government? If so, who is it? overnment? If so, who is it? And again I ask, Mr. Speaker, why are our people being so re-

lentlessly pursued in the courts on these unfounded charges?

What is the purpose? Is some one using the courts and the press for the purpose of keeping immigrants from the State of We have within the State of Florida millions of acres Florida? of rich unoccupied lands that can be had by actual bona fide settlers at an extremely low figure per acre. The honest, indus-trious farmer within a very few years can reach a state of inde-pendence by tilling a few acres of our fertile lands; we need the honest, industrious immigrant to help us develop our wonderful State, and our people are now taking steps to acquaint the world with the splendid possibilities of Florida. Can it be that these prosecutions and these libelous stories have a common origin, and that the object is to impress the prospective citizen with the idea that life, liberty, and property are not safe in Florida? Why, Mr. Speaker, there is no State in all this glorious Union that has within her borders a more law-abiding and justice-loving citizenship than you will find beneath the clear blue skies of Florida.

Churches of every denomination stand in every portion of our fair State, their tall spires pointing toward heaven in silent protest against the charge that we are a lawless people; on every hand can be seen a building erected with money appropri-ated from the taxes cheerfully paid by our people and dedicated to the education of our youth in order to fit them for the duties of citizenship in a Christian State. That in spite of all the precautions taken there are occasional violations of the law no man will deny, but that our people wink at these violations and encourage a system of slavery is as base a calumny as ever was charged against any people, and I hurl it back in the teeth of our detractors as a willful, deliberate, and unvarnished lie.

Mr. Speaker, in the Cosmopolitan article a picture of the gov ernor of Florida is presented, and underneath the picture this "muckraker" states that our governor "is willing but powerless to put an end to peonage in his State." I wired the govless to put an end to peonage in his State." I wired the governor to know if this man had correctly stated his position, and I am in receipt of the governor's answer, in which he flatly denies the assertion of this man, and, on the contrary, declares that the

State of Florida is not only willing, but amply able, to enforce all her laws. This man has not only slandered our people, but has deliberately misrepresented the honored chief executive of our State.

Again, Mr. Speaker, this perverter of truth and wholesale "penny-a-line" slanderer places in his libelous communication "penny-a-line" slanderer places in his libelous communication a picture of Mr. H. M. Flagler, a citizen of Florida and who is president of the Florida East Coast Railway Company, and underneath that picture says:

Henry M. Flagler, of the Standard Oil clique, whose Florida East Coast Railway is largely responsible for slavery conditions in Florida.

Mr. Flagler has builded about 600 miles of railway within the State of Florida, and this system was built through a veritable wilderness; he has builded a system of hotels along his line of road which has made the east coast of Florida the Riviera of America. On the pay roll of his company in the State of Florida to-day are from eight to ten thousand employees, and it is notorious in that State that no man was ever more kind to or considerate of those in his employ than is this man Henry M. Flagler. His enterprises in Florida have been the direct cause of providing happy and contented homes for full 50,000 people, and yet this miserable libel peddler charges him with establishing a system of slavery in the State of Florida.

I thank God, Mr. Speaker, that He gave me the courage in this day of indiscriminate and riotous assault on wealth to deal justly with the rich man. This rich man is now, at the very moment when "yellow journalism" is painting him as a monster, at an expenditure of millions of dollars, engaged in the work of linking the city of Key West to the mainland of Florida with bars of steel, and it will not be many months before under the magic touch of his enterprise the snort of the iron horse

will be heard in the Island City of the Gulf. Well, Mr. Speaker, I have already taken up too much time with this creature Barry and the miserable publications which act as sewers to carry away the "muck rakings" of such as he. I will content myself with attaching to my remarks clippings

from certain newspapers in Florida, which show how our people regard the dirty attacks of this irresponsible scribbler. The Live Oak Democrat, under date of February 28, 1907, speaks as follows on this subject:

The Cosmopolitan Magazine is likely to get into legal trouble because of the malicious and lying article it published in its late issue, reflecting on several prominent Marion County citizens and the county officials. Mr. H. L. Anderson has been retained by some of the citizens whose names were used in the article.—Ocala Star.

That is the way to get at it. Mr. Hearst is used to them, but, nevertheless, the more he has of them the less he likes damage suits. And his hired liar, Barry, who wrote the slanderous article, should be given the hot end of a prosecution for criminal libel. We may set our newspaper columns aftre with indignation down here*in Florida, but, except to relieve our Teclings, it doesn't amount to much. Somebody should go

into court and prove the Cosmopolitan Magazine a deliberate and mali-cious slanderer of Florida and her people, and its tool, Barry, a sneak-ing, two-faced liar, who purposely sought to create a false and injurious impression in the article he wrote. Barry said things about some of those Ocala people which certainly call for something more than hot air by way of reply.

The Florida Times-Union, under date of February 26, 1907, savs:

The Washington Post "does not believe the American people will stand for the settlement" the President has made with Japan. Why not? It is "standing for" other "settlements" made by the President which touch them much nearer—the settlement with the life insurance associations by which a political party holds the money of policyholders; the settlement with Morton for rebates, while others are being prosecuted for "crimes" to which he pleaded guilty; the settlement with "agents," by which business men in Florida are tried for peonage without reasonable evidence, and find themselves ruined in pocket and business when declared innocent. Why not stand for subservience to a foreign power when we stand for these?

The St. Augustine Evening Record, under date of February 28, 1907, thus gives expression to its views of this foul libel:

PROCURING TESTIMONY.

1907, thus gives expression to its views of this foul libel:

PROCURING TESTIMONY.

When the Government sought to fasten the crime of peonage upon certain of our fellow-citizens its witnesses were kept in jail, being paid \$1 per day as compensation for the confinement. In some instances it is possible that not even confinement was considered sufficiently safe, for some witnesses were sent out of the State and returned when needed at Government expense. Why? If reply be made that the prosecution feared the defense would tamper with them, how unstable or corrupt must be such characters and how little reliance should be placed on their testimony? In these cases the liberty and property of citizens who had lived reputable lives among us for years depended upon the evidence of men the Government was afraid to leave at liberty, however closely watched. They must be jailed. They were not unwilling witnesses, who might put themselves beyond the jurisdiction of the court. The complaints were ostensibly made by them and their interests were supposed to depend upon the verdict to be given. Why could not they be trusted? In every case they were grossly ignorant. In the cases first brought some of them supposed they were working for the East Coast Railway, when they had been sentenced in court and were working out penalties on the chain gang, though they testified they were chained and guarded by their employer's agents. A majority of the witnesses in the Jacksonville peonage cases gave their testimony through an interpreter, being unable to make themselves intelligible in English. What conception did these have of the meaning of their actions or the effects on others of the testimony they gave? One of them swower he had been whipped till has back was scored but recently, and that he had called in a physician to treat his wounds. The physician said he found no wounds and treated the patient for an internal trouble. He was stripped before the jury, and no scars found.

How far is this "care of witnesses" to go? Mr. Jerome "g

From the Jacksonville Metropolis is clipped the following:

GREAT INJURY TO FLORIDA IS DONE BY IRRESPONSIBLE SCRIBBLER—INEXCUSABLE FALSEHOODS—RICHARD BARRY IN COSMOPOLITAN MAGAZINE
LIBELS THIS STATE—THE TAMPA EVENING NEWS IN A FORCEFUL WAY
SHOWS UP SOME OF THE LIES THROWN INTO THIS PUBLICATION BY
THIS IMAGINATIVE WRITER.

Replying to an unwarranted attack on Florida by an irresponsible
scribbler, the Tampa News says:

"His name is Richard Barry. His medium is the Cosmopolitan
Magazine. Mr. Barry is a very fanciful gentleman, and he has the art
of turning out 'yellow copy' down to a nicety.

"Mr. Barry has been in Florida—'investigating.' He says he has,
and the magazine which stands sponsor for his statements corroborates
the claim. It is well that the announcement is made, for from a perusal of his articles one at all conversant with Florida would be led to
believe that they could have been written just as truthfully in Kamschatka or Iloilo.

"POSITIVELY FALSE.

" POSITIVELY FALSE.

"Mr. Barry and the Cosmopolitan are engaged in the task of 'showing up slavery.' The 'showing up' is done with all the facilities that a manufactory of lurid sensationalism can afford. In his article in the March Cosmopolitan some striking pictures are used. There is a full page startler representing a convict prostrate, evidently from the exhaustion of a long chase, with bloodhounds about to tear his throat. Mr. Barry's article, as overdrawn, as extravagant, as monstrously untrue as it is, doesn't record the incident which the picture is supposed to represent. Why should he overlook such a horrible incident if he knew of it? Would it not have gone far toward clinching the case he is striving to establish? Evidently he didn't possess the facts; but that did not interfere with the publication of the picture, which, filling a vacant page with a shameful libel on Florida, was doubtless made to order by a 'yellow' artist to heighten the effect of a 'yellow' fabrication.

cation.
"Mr. Barry's absolute knowledge of Florida, gained from 'close, personal observation,' is fittingly made manifest in his statement that Orange County is the 'center of the orange growing industry of the

State.' Are all Mr. Barry's declarations based on similar familiarity with this State? " SURPRISING AUDACITY.

"Surprising audacity."

"The audacity of some of Mr. Barry's statements is surprising even to those acquainted with the methods of his stamp of journalists. He gravely assures the readers of the Cosmopolitan that in Marion County negroes who have been arrested for various offenses and bound over for trial are turned over to turpentine operators, who work them in their camps pending their 'day in court.' It only needs a little thought to demonstrate that such a thing would be impossible in this or any other State. No man can be subjected to penal servitude before he is convicted of crime. Should such a thing be attempted the hue and cry that would be raised could not be suppressed by any amount of influence. No such thing happened in Marion County nor in any other "Not content with the libels which Mr. Barry sends forth into the world under his own name, the editor of the Cosmopolitan prefaces the article with a number of comments, in bold-faced type, in which 'slavery' and 'serfdom' are freely used as descriptive of labor conditions in Florida. All these comments, so destructive to the good name of the State, are based on a few harum-scarum stories told by irresponsible and indolent adventurers and accepted as true by overzealous officials of the Government. As in the two O'Hara trials recently conducted in Jacksonville, these stories fall to the ground when subjected to the test of the courts. But their proven unreliability is immaterial to the Cosmopolitan and its lik. In the hope of creating a sentiment, however falsely developed, these 'muck rakers' are content to impeach the character of a whole State to embellish their fabrications with lurid pictures, to send forth their conscienceless libels upon their mission of misrepresentation and detraction.

"The people of Florida should have some redress against such gratuitous slanders. There should be some effective means of checking such torrents of abuse."

I call attention to another editorial utterance of the Live Oak

I call attention to another editorial utterance of the Live Oak Democrat in its issue of February 25, 1907:

Every bum, dead beat, rogue, and swindler in Florida now feels that the Cosmopolitan Magazine is his very own, the true organ of his sentiments and defender of his methods, since it published the defamatory yarn of one Richard Barry about peonage in Florida. The vagabond negroes and degraded whites in this State who obtain money and goods and grub under false pretenses and then "jump" their contracts and laugh in the faces of the "suckers" who trusted them feel that in Barry they have a friend indeed, and in the Cosmopolitan a noble advocate to tell the world of their cruel wrongs and manufacture the public sentiment to justify and protect them in keeping up their rascally practices. The new view of the peonage laws is a direct encouragement to a large class of human vermin with no more moral sense than professional pickpockets, and they make no concealment of their insolent exultation over this unexpected and powerful ally to shield them while they prey upon honest men and avoid all penalty for their knaveries.

Col. Walter P. Corbett, a very prominent and influential citizen of Jacksonville, Fla., recently delivered an address in that city to what is known as the "Florida State Board of Trade," which represents the business life of the State of Florida, and among other things he said:

among other things he said:

THE PEONAGE CASES.

And just here I take the liberty of drawing your attention to a matter I consider of vital importance in its bearing upon this question, and directly concerning our citizenship. We have had enough of that class of labor that has been, I may say, "emigrated" at us from New York.

A reputable, honorable, God-fearing citizen of Florida has just gone through the ordeal of trial in the United States court, at a cost estimated by himself at more than \$12,000, on this question of peonage. And in the face of the fact that the Government had sent here special officers to gather the facts and to prosecute this man; in face of the fact that, at the advice of its learned counsel, the Government tried this man first on what he believed to be its strongest and its best case; in face of the fact that twelve good men and true, the peers and equals of this defendant and citizens of Florida and the United States, acquitted him of this charge; in face of all this the United States, through its biased representatives, actuated by some cause that is as yet unknown to us, placed him again on trial, heaped upon him an additional expense, confronted him with the same increase that is as yet unknown to us, placed him with the same facts, imprisoned twelve citizens of this State in a jury box for twenty-one days, demanding that they pass upon the same facts as had a former jury. And-these twelve men, like that first twelve, declared this defendant not guilty! [Sustained applause.]

No man can say this is something which does not concern him. No one of us can say. "This is that man's own business, it is nothing to me." It is something to each and every one of you, whether you employ many laborers or one, whether you employ a cook, a coachman, or a gardener. If this practice is to continue, if this principle is to prevail, no man's liberty is safe.

In the Savannah, Ga., News of February 24, 1907, appeared a

In the Savannah, Ga., News of February 24, 1907, appeared a communication over the signature of Mr. J. H. Benjamin, of Ocala, Fla., and which is as follows:

"FOUNDATION OF FACT WITH SUPERSTRUCTURE OF FICTION"—A FLORID-IAN'S REPLY TO THE COSMOPOLITAN'S "SLAVERY IN THE SOUTH,"

OCALA, FLA., February 23, 1907.

OCALA, FLA., February 23, 1907.

Considerable comment has been caused here by the March issue of the Cosmopolitan Magazine, which contains an article by Richard Barry entitled "Slavery in the South to-day." It relates to the convict lease system in the South in general and in Florida in particular, and has special interest to Ocala, because this town is at present the headquarters of the State convict system, and many of the parties referred to live here.

From an acquaintance with the people and affairs referred to, I would say that the article has a foundation of fact and a superstructure of fiction. There are certain matters, either grave errors or deliberate untruths, that are obvious to all. For instance, the statement: "This might be all right if it were a business proposition. Instead it is politics, which means graft. Last year the 1,200 convicts were leased to C. H. Barnes & Co., of Jacksonville. Barnes was the only bidder."

WERE HALF A DOZEN BIDDERS.

WERE HALF A DOZEN BIDDERS.

It is a matter of public record that there were at least half a dozen bidders, some of them among the most prominent men in Florida. One of the firms that bid was the Florida Naval Stores and Commission Company, which held the lease the four years previous and built the Marion Farms Hospital near Ocala. There were several other bidders, and the lease finally went to C. H. Barnes & Co. because their bid was undoubtedly the best. The Morning News files could give enough evidence of that fact to satisfy any reasonable person.

S. A. Rawis & Co., of Ocala, subleased the convicts from C. H. Barnes & Co. By their lease they had to take every convict, man or woman, old or young, sick or well, able-bodied or not, at a high price—how much I have not the figures for, but more than \$207.70 per annum. This company does not sublease any but able-bodied convicts. All that are too old or too feeble to do good work are kept on the company's farms near Ocala to do work that is within their ability.

WORK IN THE OPEN FIELDS.

WORK IN THE OPEN FIELDS.

WORK IN THE OPEN FIELDS.

These convicts are in the open fields all day long, where they can be seen by hundreds of people, and no word has ever been heard of inhuman treatment to them. All sick convicts are cared for in the hospital, and the hospital can be seen any day by any respectable person that chooses to visit it. To my personal knowledge scores of intelligent men have visited it and came away speaking highly of the good care and the good food given to the inmates.

Further on Mr. Barry says: "The State inspects its camps; the counties do not have even that formality." Mr. Barry might have learned by asking any ordinary well-informed citizen that it is the duty of the State inspector of convicts to inspect camps of county convicts as well as those of the State, and a little more research would have convinced him that such inspection is often made. When a man is so careless of his facts, is it not reasonable to doubt some of his conclusions?

THE ALLEGED FALSE ARRESTS.

THE ALLEGED FALSE ARRESTS.

Another statement that seems absolutely foolish is that it is the practice of certain officers to arrest able-bodied men and take them before justices of the peace, by whom they are held for the criminal or circuit court; that some turpentine operator will then come forward, go security for the accused, in consideration of which the negroes work in the turpentine camps without pay until court meets, from six months to a year. Those turpentine negroes know their rights as well as any people on earth. When a man accused is out on bond, he is as free as any man, and no man can make him work against his will.

PICTURES THAT MISREPRESENT.

The article is embellished with a number of pictures, one of which is a group of convict guards. The evident intention of the artist was to hold them up as horrible examples. The fact is that they are all young white men, country boys of a type seen all over America, and it would not occur to anyone that they were anything but decent looking had the picture appeared in an article of different purpose. One of the young men is well known to me, and I can certify that he is as kind-hearted a boy as ever lived.

Another shows Deputies Hutson and Bishop and Policeman Dean, all of Ocala. Hutson is the man referred to as bringing in negroes at \$5 a head. Will Hutson has been deputy here a number of years. He has certainly landed a number of evildoers, some of them desperate criminals, behind the bars. Contrary to Mr. Barry's assertion, he does not show any evidence of "expensive tastes" or undue prosperity.

ONE OF THE GUARDS.

ONE OF THE GUARDS.

Homer Dean is a big, good-natured Cracker boy, who has been night policeman of Ocala for a year or two. He is a very vigilant officer, has arrested many desperate characters, and isn't tender with anyone who resists him, but would protect a woman or child or fight for a friend as quickly as any member of the "finest."

The people who read the Cosmopolitan would look at these pictures differently if they all knew that they were obtained under false pretenses; that the man who took them obtained them by posing as the friend of the men whom he intended to pillory in print.

There may be some truth in the article. I only call attention to these matters I know of from personal observation or the word of those who I believe do know.

J. H. BENJAMIN.

I now desire to call attention to an editorial from the pen of Mr. Claude L'Engle, editor of the Florida Sun, who had a personal interview with this man Barry, and which demonstrates beyond question that Barry knowingly and deliberately lied on the people of Florida :

> [The Sun, Saturday, February 23, 1907.] THE MILD-EYED LIAR BOILS HIS POT.

THE MILD-EYED LIAR BOILS HIS POT.

Well, the "thriller" has appeared.
That mild-eyed young man named Richard Barry, who had the boastful vocabulary of a campaigner and the manner and appearance of a schoolboy, who came to Tallahassee last summer and announced that he had been commissioned by McClure's to write a story about white slavery in the South, has succeeded in getting a publisher to print his stuff.

Like all reachers after the sensational, Mr. Barry has the color of truth with which to save his narrative from rejection as a lie out of whole cloth.

He has some pictures of bona fide citizens of Florida which lend some air of probability to his story. In the middle of the story, which appears in the March Cosmopolitan, is a picture that would do credit to the illustrations that I imagine adorn the pages of that monumental slander on the South, which I have never read, Uncle Tom's Cabin. A white man, mounted on horseback, with a rifle in his hands, and ahead of him a pack of hounds running down two negroes, is shown in this picture.

Now that Mr. Barry has had his say, I think that I am released from any restraint due to professional courtesy, and will let a little more light into the inspiration that sent him to Florida.

Barry confessed while in my office, where he came after paying his visit to the governor, that when Mr. McClure gave him this assignment that he did not doubt there were abuses practiced by employers on their employees in Florida, as well as in other States, but why should he go to Florida for a story of white slavery, when he could write a story about 5,000 white slaves without going outside of New York City?

He said Mr. McClure told him:

"Oh, well, that's very true; you might write a story of white slavery in New York, but it is the picturesque details and the heart interest surrounding the slavery in the South that have the makings of a good magazine article."

Mr. Barry told his story to the governor, but he did not tell it as he wrote it for the Cosmopolitan Magazine. Mr. Barry came to get a story, and he was going to get it.

The whole thing the story to the man who sent him, so McClure turned it down, doubless because he did not think the story worth the space and was not so anxious to publish a "thriller" as to allow it to induce him to do an injustice to a State.

Mr. Barry, therefore, had to go to another market to sell his wares. He found it in one of the places where William Randonfull Harast and the story are stention have been manified, and with studied design have been made to produce an effect on the minds of northern readers totally different from the one that a calm, straight statement of conditions would make.

The whole thing is reflect on the minds of northern readers totally different from the one that a calm, straight statement of conditions would make.

The consultation of the places of the produce an effect on the minds of northern readers totally different from the one that a calm, straight statement of conditions would make.

The consultation of the people who will read this story without an opportunity of knowing that it is a purposely overdrawn picture.

Sprinkled here and there through the story are lies which stand of knowing that it is a purposely overdrawn picture.

Sprinkled here and there through the story are lies which stand and the produce an engage of the convicts are a source of income. This would be all right if it were a business proposition. Instead it is politics, which means graft. Last year the 1,200 convicts were leased to C. H. Barnes & Co., of Jacksonville. Barnes & be only bidder. He had no company to the passions of the polyment of the convict system. Instead to spiller. He had no company to the

If the man Barry who penned this infamous libel, or his "muckraking" boss who published it, had any visible property that could be reached to satisfy judgments, civil suits would undoubtedly be brought. If either of them will come into the jurisdiction of Florida, they will undoubtedly be given an opportunity to establish the truth of the charges they have made. But they have not the courage to face in the courts the people whom they have maligned and libeled. They will hide in a bomb proof, as is the custom of their kind.

Mr. JENKINS. I move that the resolution lie on the table.

The motion was agreed to.

CORRECTION OF ENROLLED BILL

Mr. HEPBURN. Mr. Speaker, I present the following resolution, and ask for its present consideration.

The Clerk read as follows:

Resolved, That in enrolling the bill S. 5133, "to promote the safety of employees and travelers upon railroads by limiting the hours of service of employees thereon," the enrolling clerk be directed to correct the engrossed amendment of the House to the Senate bill by striking out all after the word "Provided," in section 2 of said amendment, and

out all after the word "Provided, in section 2 or such references inserting:

"Provided, That no operator, train dispatcher, or other employee who by the use of the telegraph or telephone dispatches reports, transmits, receives, or delivers orders pertaining to or affecting train movements shall be required or permitted to be or remain on duty for a longer period than nine hours in any twenty-four-hour period in all towers, offices, places, and stations continuously operated night and day, nor for a longer period than thirteen hours in all towers, offices, places, and

stations operated only during the daytime, except in case of emergency, when the employees named in this proviso may be permitted to be and remain on duty for four additional hours in a twenty-four-hour period on not exceeding three days in any week."

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?
Mr. BARTLETT. If the gentleman will yield a moment—I do not want to object. That is the exact language of the instructions that were voted in the House directing the conferees to have this language put in the bill. It is not only the exact language, but the physical paper which was voted on by the

The SPEAKER. The Chair hears no objection.
Mr. HEPBURN. In a word of explanation I will say that in the hurry of last night the report of the committee as it was presented reads:

In line 15, page 2, strike out all after the word "Provided" to and including the word "daytime," in line 24, and insert the following.

"The following" is that matter.

But instead of saying "strike out all after the word 'Provided' to and including the word 'daytime,' in line 24," we should have said all of the paragraph following the word "provise." Now, the matter that we did strike out is this:

Except in case of emergency, when the employees named in this proviso may be permitted to be and remain on duty for four additional hours in the twenty-four-hour period of not exceeding three consecutive days in any week.

You will observe that in this order of the House that language is used, with the exception that the word "consecutive" does not appear in the order. As it now stands, we would have a repetition of that language, varying only by the word "consecutive" appearing in one part and being omitted in the other. It would not change the general effectiveness of the law, but it would be an ugly repetition in the statute. I ought to say, in justice to my associates, that it is my blunder and not theirs.

The question being taken, the concurrent resolution was

agreed to.

W. D. CLAY AND OTHERS.

The SPEAKER laid before the House the bill (H. R. 22182) to authorize W. D. Clay and others to select lands in lieu of lands purchased by the father of said parties from the United States Government and lost by said heirs, with a Senate amendment thereto.

Mr. BURNETT. I move to concur in the Senate amendment.

The motion was agreed to.

MEDICINE AND SURGERY IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

Mr. GREENE. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table the bill (S. 8614) to amend the act entitled "An act to regulate the practice of medicine and surgery in the District of Columbia," approved June 3, 1896.

The bill was read, as follows:

Be it enacted, etc., That section 6 of chapter 313 of the Twenty-ninth Statutes at Large, approved June 3, 1896, be amended so as to read as

Statutes at Large, approved June 3, 1896, be amended so as to read as follows:

"Sec. 6. That each member of said boards of medical examiners of the District of Columbia shall, before entering upon the discharge of his duties, take an oath to administer, fairly and impartially, the provisions of this act. Each board shall elect from its own members a president and a secretary. Each board shall hold a meeting for examination in the city of Washington on the second Tuesday in January, April, July, and October of each year, and continuing so long as may be necessary to examine all applicants, and other meetings shall be held at such times as the board of medical supervisors shall direct. Each of said boards shall examine, at the meeting immediately following the receipt of the proper certificates from the board of medical supervisors, all applicants for licenses to practice medicine and surgery in the District of Columbia so certified.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker-

Mr. MANN. Reserving the right to object—Mr. GREENE. I will explain the situation.

Mr. GREENE. I will explain the situation.

Mr. WILLIAMS. What committee does this bill come from?

Mr. GREENE. It would ordinarily go to the Committee on
the District of Columbia, but this bill has just passed the
Senate, and I will explain the reasons for it.

Mr. MANN. Let me ask the gentleman a question. The District of Columbia has had a day in the House. Now, what in-

jury will there be if this is postponed until it can receive consideration by that committee?

Mr. GREENE. I will explain it if the gentleman will give me

Mr. MANN. Certainly.
Mr. GREENE. The present law provides that the board of examiners shall hold meetings for these examinations in the city of Washington, beginning on the second Thursday in January. This simply substitutes the word "Tuesday' for "Thursday," and it is for the accommodation of a number of Seventh Day Adventists in this community, who will not take the examination upon Saturday. The law provides for four continuous days' sitting of the examiners, and by changing the date to

Tuesday it simply accommodates these gentlemen who have conscientious scruples on the subject.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection? There was no objection.

The bill was ordered to a third reading; and was accordingly read the third time, and passed.

REAR-ADMIRAL M'CALLA.

Mr. COUSINS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from the Speaker's table Senate joint resolution No. 98, granting permission to Rear-Admiral B. H. McCalla to accept a medal from the King of Great Britain and the Order of the Red Eagle from the Emperor of Germany, which I send to the desk and ask to have read.

The Clerk read as follows:

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States in Congress assembled, That permission is hereby granted to Rear-Admiral B. H. McCalla, United States Navy, to accept the China war medal with Peking clasp, tendered to him by the King of Great Britain, and the Order of the Red Eagle with swords, tendered to him by the Emperor of Germany.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

Mr. MANN. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I will ask the gentleman to give us some statement of the matter.

Mr. COUSINS. Mr. Speaker, the officer in whose behalf this resolution was passed this morning by the Senate is now in such precarious health by reason of very great and effective service rendered in the salvation of the city of San Francisco, or what was left of it after the recent earthquake and fire, that his life despaired of. He is the man who led the marines of the United States a few years ago from the shore to Peking and rescued, if I may say that, the minister and his family, who at that time were and had been in very great danger for many weeks. He is now in serious condition. The late recognition that is proposed by this resolution is merely a recognition by two distinguished nations, two of the then allies—Great Britain and Germany. I should like to have that great and noble man's heart thrilled by this one recognition before he dies. You will all remember when I remind you of his utterance when the marines started for Peking. After the conference of the allies

We seem to have come to no conclusion in this conference, gentlemen, but my minister is in danger at Peking, and I am going there.

[Applause.]

Mr. FINLEY. Does not the gentleman think that if this officer of the United States Government on account of his deeds shall live in the hearts of the American people that that will be sufficient and the greatest recognition and reward that could possibly come to him? Mr. Speaker, I object.

Mr. COUSINS. Then, Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the joint resolution.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Iowa moves to suspend the rules and pass the joint resolution.

pend the rules and pass the resolution. Is a second demanded?

Mr. FINLEY. Mr. Speaker, I demand a second. Mr. COUSINS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that second may be considered as ordered.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?
There was no objection.
The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Iowa is entitled to twenty minutes and the gentleman from South Carolina is entitled to twenty minutes.

Mr. COUSINS. Mr. Speaker, I reserve my time for the

Mr. FINLEY. Mr. Speaker, all I have to say is this: That without an act of Congress decorations conferred by foreign governments can not be received by an American officer, and I am one of those who believe that the highest honor that can be paid an American citizen is that which is paid to him by his own country and by his own countrymen. I think the framers of the Constitution acted wisely in prohibiting distinctions of this kind. That is why I oppose this resolution. [Cries of "Vote!" "Vote!"]

The question was taken; and in the opinion of the Chair two-thirds having voted in favor thereof, the rules were suspended

and the joint resolution was passed.

Mr. ADAMSON. Mr. Speaker, I desire to place in the RECORD the following telegram:

ATHENS, GA., March 4, 1907.

Hon. W. C. Adamson,

Member of Congress, Washington, D. C.:

Please state for record that I left House Friday on account of serious illness of my daughter, and was paired with BOUTELL, of Illinois.

J. M. GRIGGS.

OUESTION OF PRIVILEGE.

Mr. RANDELL of Texas. Mr. Speaker-

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Texas [Mr. RANDELL] calls up his appeal from the decision of the Chair sustaining a

point of order, just before the recess was taken, a little after midnight.

Mr. DALZELL. I move to lay that appeal on the table.
Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, I suggest that the gentleman withhold his motion for about ten minutes.

Mr. DALZELL. For what purpose? Mr. WILLIAMS. So that the gentleman from Texas may ex-

plain the character of his resolution.

Mr. DALZELL. Does he want to withdraw his appeal?

Mr. RANDELL of Texas. Mr. Speaker, a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state it.
Mr. RANDELL of Texas. If this appeal was withdrawn, would it be in order to strike out the preamble, and thus remove the objection to the resolution?

The SPEAKER. No; that would not be in order. The Chair understands the gentleman from Texas only desires ten minutes.

Mr. DALZELL. I understand the gentleman wants ten minutes, and I ask unanimous consent that he may have that time

pending my motion to lay the appeal on the table.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

Mr. RANDELL of Texas. Mr. Speaker, as I understand, if the appeal is withdrawn, the point of order is withdrawn, and I may speak ten minutes on the resolution.

The SPEAKER. No; the Chair does not understand that the point of order is withdrawn.

Mr. MANN. The proposition is to allow the gentleman from

Texas ten minutes on any subject to which he desires to address himself.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Pennsylvania moves to lay the appeal on the table, but asks unanimous consent pending that motion that the gentleman from Texas have ten minutes on the resolution. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. RANDELL of Texas. Mr. Speaker, the object of this resolution was to protect the privileges of this House and the interests of the people of this country. It seems to me that when our forefathers formed this Government and in the Constitution made the legislative, executive, and judicial departments, it was an act of wisdom, and that each department should attend to the duties pertinent thereto and not infringe on the rights or duties of another department of the Government.

We understand from the public prints and from general knowledge that at present there are negotiations going on between the executive department of this Government and the Government of the German Empire relative to tariff duties and tariff regulations directly affecting the commerce and the revenues of this country. This resolution was addressed in good faith, and it seems that when it was referred to the Committee on Ways and Means the chairman of that committee addressed some sort of a communication to the Secretary of State and received the following reply:

DEPARTMENT OF STATE,
Washington, February 14, 1907.

My Dear Mr. Payne: I should hardly think it would be useful for
the House to pass resolution No. 829, calling for information regarding
negotiations with Germany.

No agreements have been made between this country and Germany
since the modus vivendi established last year and communicated to
Congress. As matters now stand the maximum tariff will take effect
as against imports from this country on the 30th of June next unless
something is done to prevent it, but it would not be compatible with
the public interests at present to make public any of the papers involved in this negotiation.

Very truly, yours,

Hon. S. E. Payne,

Chairman Committee on Ways and Means,

House of Representatives.

Here we have it admitted from the highest authority of this

Here we have it admitted from the highest authority of this Administration, the Secretary of State, that the situation is such that unless something is done Germany will impose upon the imports from this country the highest tariff rates. It in-terferes with all of our trade with Germany, and that trade, as far as our exports are concerned, affects the products of the farmer, the products of the agricultural classes, the prod-ucts of those that raise grain and live stock, and all those that produce foodstuffs. These people are the ones who get less from legislation in this country and suffer most from class legislation.

When their interests are affected we have this picture be-fore the American people: That the Congress of the United States, not the representatives of the people here in Congress assembled, but the President, the Secretary of State, the executive department itself, handles this question, and our tariff laws must be made, revised, corrected, changed in effect by regulation, by agreement, by stipulation outside of law and by the prostitution of the powers of the Executive; and

this, too, while Congress is in session and the representatives of the people sit here inactive, even ignorant of what is being done. The Administration says it is against public policy that this House should have the information as to what the status of negotiations are between the countries! I submit the proposition that the people, through their representatives in the Congress, should have full control and full power in reference to the raising of revenue in this country and of all things that affect the Treasury. [Applause on the Democratic

Where will this thing stop? It is evident—we know it is a matter of public information—that the object of the Republican party is to keep from touching the tariff question. You on that side of the House are afraid to take hold of the tariff question, and therefore you go to the executive department and have it to make regulations affecting the estimates as to the values of goods coming into this country, the estimates as to what shall be the appraised value of imports. Thus you regulate, simply by agreeing to certain new regulations, the rate of duty, a power that should be exercised by the Congress only, or by express law. What right has the executive department, directly or indirectly, to change the tariff?

Mr. Speaker, the country ought to know, and I believe the country does know, that this is simply an attempt on the part of the Republican Administration to avoid the tariff issue, to hold it down and not permit the representatives of the people in the Congress even to consider what action shall be taken in a matter of the greatest importance to our people. Especially is it important to the great agricultural classes in the South, in the West, in the great Mississippi Valley, affecting them to the amount of many millions of dollars annually in addition to the effect on the public Treasury, and yet the Republican machine must hold the reins so tightly that the question can not come up in this House.

Not only that, gentlemen, but you must not knowpublic policy for you to know—the situation of what is being done! Let the American people understand this. Let the people understand that no longer their representatives control the question of taxation, no longer is the matter of tariff legislation in the hands of Congress, but at the White House, in the State Department, in the Republican party machine. The trusts and the party leaders have the question in hand, and it is solely one

of party expediency and class interest.

Who is interested in preventing tariff legislation? Not the people interested in just laws, not the people who pay more for farm implements than foreigners, but class interests that now are robbing the people every year by reason of the tariff, which in many cases is absolutely exclusive and therefore brings in no revenue; but which puts up a tariff wall whereby the favored classes can exact such price from the people for their products

as they see proper, limited only by the tariff rate.

Mr. Speaker and gentlemen of this House, I submit to you, and I submit to the people of the country, that the record of this Congress, the record of the Republican Administration during this term of Congress, simply means this, that the tariff in this country shall remain as it is; that the people shall have no corrections from its evils; that you do not propose to correct the iniquities of the tariff until "after the next election;" that then it is to be done "by its friends;" and after the next elec-tion the same situation will be here; and then you will revise the tariff after the next succeeding election, and that revision

must be by its friends! [Applause on the Democratic side.]

Mr. Speaker, in order to avoid the creation of another precedent as to the point of order which was raised against my resolution, and having called the attention of the country to the methods of those preventing tariff reform, I withdraw my appeal from the Speaker's decision. [Applause.]

The SPEAKER. The gentleman withdraws his appeal.

Mr. DALZELL. Mr. Speaker, in view of the speech that has been made by the gentleman from Texas [Mr. Randell], I ask unanimous consent that the gentleman from Ohio [Mr. Grosvenor] may have five minutes.

Mr. CROSVENOR Mr. Speaker, I think under the circum.

Mr. GROSVENOR. Mr. Speaker, I think under the circumstances the matter better end where it is.

JOHN ALLEN.

The SPEAKER laid before the House the bill (H. R. 13122) to correct the military record of John Allen, with the following message from the President:

To the House of Representatives:

I refuse my signature to this bill, on the recommendation of the Secretary of War, for the reasons set forth in the following report of The Military Secretary:

"The official records show that John Allen, private, Company I, Thirty-second Infantry United States Volunteers, was tried and convicted by a general court-martial of the crime of murdering a native Filipino; that he was sentenced to be dishonorably discharged from the service

of the United States and to be confined at hard labor for the period of twenty years; that he was dishonorably discharged in accordance with the terms of the sentence; and that upon a review of his case by the War Department it was found that the sentence in this case was void, because, under the requirement that a court-martial sentence in a case of this kind shall not be less than the punishment provided for a like offense by the civil laws in force where the offense was committed, the man should have been sentenced to imprisonment for life, that being the punishment provided for a like offense in the Philippine Islands, where Allen's crime was committed. Allen was accordingly set at liberty after a relatively short term of confinement.

"There seems to be no good reason on which to exercise elemency in

Allen was accordingly set at liberty after a relatively short term of confinement.

"There seems to be no good reason on which to exercise clemency in the case of this man, who was only relieved from a twenty years' sentence of confinement because that sentence ought to have imposed confinement for life."

It appears that this man, John Allen, was tried and convicted by a general court-martial of the crime of murdering a native Filipino; that he was sentenced to be dishonorably discharged from the service of the United States and to be confined at hard labor for the period of twenty years; that he was dishonorably discharged in accordance with the terms of the sentence, but that the sentence was held void because it was by law required that the court-martial sentence in a case of this kind should not be less than the punishment provided under the civil laws. Under the civil laws the punishment of Allen would have been a life sentence, and he was set free after a relatively short term of confinement simply because he ought to have been condemned to life imprisonment instead of to twenty years' imprisonment. The man is a criminal who should now be serving a life sentence for murder, and it would be a disgrace to the Army to give him an honorable discharge.

The White House, March 4, 1907.

THE WHITE HOUSE, March 4, 1907.

Mr. CAPRON. Mr. Speaker, I move that the message be referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

The motion was agreed to.

HON. FRANK C. WACHTER.

Mr. TOWNSEND. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent for the present consideration of the resolution which I send to the Clerk's desk.

The Clerk read as follows:

Resolved, That the House of Representatives hereby expresses its appreciation of the faithful and efficient manner in which Hon. Frank C. Wachter has performed the onerous duties of chairman of the Committee on Enrolled Bills.

Applause.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

The resolution was unanimously agreed to.

WITHDRAWAL OF PUBLIC LANDS.

Mr. MONDELL. Mr. Speaker, I call up a privileged resolu-tion, No. 835. It has been reported by the Committee on the Public Lands with an amendment, and I ask that it be read as amended.

The Clerk read as follows:

Resolved, That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, requested to inform the House under what authority of law the orders of July 26, October 10, 15, 27, and 31, and November 12, 1906, were made under which approximately 64,000,000 of acres of public land in the States of Utah, Colorado, Wyoming, Washington, Oregon, North Dakota, and Montana, and the Territory of New Mexico, and all the public lands of the Territory of Alaska were withdrawn from all forms of entry, filing, or selection under the land laws.

Mr. MONDELL. Mr. Speaker, since the orders referred to in this resolution were issued they have been very considerably modified, and I have been led to believe since the introduction of the resolution that the orders would be still further radically modified or entirely rescinded in the near future. Hence I have not heretofore asked for consideration of the resolution.

The Secretary of the Interior under whose authority these

orders were issued goes out of office to-day. It would therefore be impossible to secure a response from him to the inquiry contained in the resolution. In view of that fact I shall do nothing more than call attention to the resolution at this time and with-

The people of the West are hopeful that a change in administration in the Interior Department will bring a reversal of the policy under which orders like those in question were issued.

I addressed the House on February 8, 1907, on the subject of these orders, and expressed the opinion that there was no au-thority of law for their issuance, and, further, that no condition existed which would warrant their issuance even were there authority for so doing. The effect of these orders was, in the first instance, to prevent and retard development of all kinds under any of the land laws. As the orders were modified, agricultural lands and agricultural entries were relieved from the suspension, but the orders still suspend the coal-land laws over practically all of the coal lands of the country. Surely there is no more authority for suspension of the coal-land laws by Executive or-der than there would be for suspension of all the land laws or of all or any of the laws of the land.

During the session of Congress which has just closed the Committee on Public Lands of the House of Representatives has had exhaustive hearings on the subject of the coal-land laws, and finally, after lengthy and thorough consideration, reported

a bill. Unfortunately, owing to the congestion of business in the closing hours of the session, it was not possible to secure consideration for this measure. While I do not fully approve all of its provisions, notably that in regard to leasing, it would improve present conditions. I am of the opinion, however, that the present coal-land laws are sufficient to encourage develop-ment of the coal industry on the public domain if they are

fairly and reasonably administered.

The coal-land law is a law of sale. It was never intended that the Executive Departments should pursue as criminals every man who purchased coal lands, but who did not proceed personally and individually to mine the coal on his land. Congress intended to give every American citizen the right to buy 160 acres of coal land, and after having bought it to use it as he saw fit. To open and develop coal mines in the West it is generally necessary to consolidate a number of entries in a single holding, but the practice of the Department for the last two or three years has been to hamper, harass, and pursue as criminals all those who have endeavored to consolidate coal entries with a view of developing a coal-mining industry.

The Department of the Government whose business encourage and assist in the development of the country through a reasonable and proper enforcement of the land laws has persistently pursued coal entrymen. The rulings of the Department have encouraged fraud, and in fact have made it difficult for entrymen to secure coal lands in accordance with law, and the special agents of the Department have made it their particular business to harass coal entrymen and to hamper and inter-

fere with development of the coal-mining industry.

It is to be hoped that with the incoming of a new administration of the Department we shall see an end of prejudice, muckraking, and hysteria in the administration of the public-land laws, and we shall have substituted therefor a sane and sensi-ble policy under which the laws will be enforced without malice or sensationalism, and with a view of assisting rather than retarding the development of the West.

I ask unanimous consent that I may have five Mr. LACEY. minutes on the resolution which has been withdrawn, in order to explain the situation which the gentleman calls to the atten-

tion of the House.

The SPEAKER. The time is very short. Would not one minute do, with leave to print?

One minute will do.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman asks unanimous consent to address the House for one minute. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. LACEY. Mr. Speaker, I want to say, in justice to the Interior Department, in relation to the withdrawals, they were made immediately following the disclosures drawn out by the Interstate Commerce Commission when examining the coal-land situation in Utah. Subsequently, however, it appears that the frauds in Utah, while they were made for the purpose of obtaining coal lands, were not committed, or very few of them were committed, under the coal-land laws. They were violations of other laws and evasions of the coal-land law. The Department of the Interior felt justified in taking extreme measures in view of the disclosures that were made in that hearing. That hearing has been made public and is in posseshearing. That her sion of the House.

AFFAIRS IN PORTO RICO.

Mr. LARRINAGA. Mr. Speaker, almost every Member of this House knows fully well, when the Commissioner of Porto Rico arises here, what he is going to say and what his contention is. Within the short time at my disposal and at this late hour I can not for a moment undertake the task of presenting the arguments to prove that my country has a right to self-government. I will therefore merely improve these few moments allotted to me to say once more that the contention of the people of Porto Rico, for whom I am allowed to speak, not by law, but by a mere act of courtesy of this House in amending Rule XXXIV, that the people whom I represent here in such a peculiar way contend that they have a full right to be represented here in this House, as it was represented thirty-five years in the Spanish Congress. We had there sixteen fullfledged representatives who had to look after the laws regarding Porto Rico. They did pass the most important law that that congress ever passed or will ever pass in centuries to come. It was the Porto Rican delegation, with their votes, that made the law that set 50,000 slaves free in the community. [Ap-The last provision of that act was that it was to be communicated and made effective by cable on the next day, and these 50,000 slaves arose freemen, thanking God that the Porto Rican freemen knew how to make use of the right given to them by Spain, and which is as yet denied them by this great Congress of the greatest nation in the world. [Applause.]

The actual conditions in Porto Rico may be briefly outlined in a few words.

After eight years' struggling under the most unfavorable conditions the island is at least recovering from economical industrial depression and fairly entering into rather prosperous times. A year after the American occupation, in 1889, the island was swept over by one of the most disastrous hurricanes ever known in its history, which completely ruined the production of coffee, its main staple. The next year the organic act of April 12, 1900, which was given by Congress to the island as the basis of a civil government, carried with it the application of the Dingley tariff to all merchandise coming from foreign countries trading with the island, and as a consequence the canceling of all commercial treaties that Spain had with other nations then trading with the island and buying our coffee, paying for it a very high price, as its superior quality was highly appreciated in those markets. This not only closed their markets to the small reserve of coffee left by the cyclone, but also killed the credit of the planters, who could not get a single dollar at any banking or financial institution to rebuild their plantations destroyed by the hurricane. The people of the island, through their house of delegates, decided to come to the rescue and help the planters, providing them with the means to replace their plantations, rebuild their houses, stores, and machinery, etc., but they were forbidden to do so by the executive council, or upper house of the legislature, created by the organic act.

It was proposed by the lower house, or house of delegates, which is elected by the people, to make a loan of \$5,000,000-to lend money, through the existing banks, to the planters who could offer solid collaterals, the loan to be raised with the sole and exclusive credit of the island, which has always enjoyed unlimited credit. This executive council, formed of eleven members appointed by the President, the majority of whom were Americans from the different States of the Union, sternly opposed such measure, under the futile pretext that the Porto Rican planters would use the money to pay their creditors, who, being mostly foreigners, would retire from the country with the cash, leaving the planters with their lands on their hands and powerless to work or improve their plantations. All arguments based on the past history of Porto Rico, which showed that the island had always recovered in one or two years from such calamities, were of no avail, the executive council refusing always to concur. The example furnished by the past economical conditions of Porto Rico, which was always a money-lending country, having in several instances lent money to the Crown treasury, to Santo Domingo, and even to Cuba, latter country is at present owing two and one-fourth millions of dollars to the treasury of the island, was entirely disregarded by the executive council. The fact that Porto Rico had abol-ished her slavery and, right after such crisis in the labor problems, had in a few years paid \$14,000,000 of capital and interests to the slave owners was also ignored.

The effect of not helping the farmers was that most of the small coffee farms have changed hands, the majority of them having gone into the hands of the wealthy merchants and the banking institutions, who, having foreclosed their mortgages, are now in possession of immense tracts of coffee lands, which in some cases they can not cultivate themselves in their entirety, while many other of these little properties have been during past years sold to meet the pressing needs of the families of the poor farmers or sold at auction to pay taxes and, therefore, have been acquired by the rich people. Thus one of the most beautiful features of the social and economical conditions of the island has almost disappeared, and the way is paved for a coffee trust to step in and monopolize the coffee in the island as soon as conditions are favorable.

When the rivers and harbors bill was being discussed in the House a few days ago I had the opportunity to explain to the House why the battle ship Louisiana, that took the President to Panama and Porto Rico, could not enter the port of San Juan. The executive council of Porto Rico had suppressed seven years ago the board of harbor works, that for some time past had been successfully in operation and had already removed the worst shoals in the harbor and had also deepened the most dangerous part of the channel. And for that reason the commerce of San Juan, which is increasing every day, has at the present time neither harbor of sufficient anchorage capacity nor a safe port of refuge to offer to the American Navy in case of necessity.

I will not mention here, Mr. Speaker, all the calamities,

misery, and destitution that were the consequences of those conditions of agriculture in such a thickly populated country like Porto Rico. The country laborers and their families had to migrate for the first time in the history of the island to the

Hawaiian Islands, Mexico, and Peru. Men, women, and children starved in their little homes in the mountains or, exhausted by the exertion, dropped on their way to the cities on the coast where they went to beg for a piece of bread. These are undeniable facts, and I challenge contradiction to any one of them; while, on the other hand, it is also a fact that can be proved by official documents in the archives of the Department of State, that while those facts were taking place in Porto Rico the official reports from the island proclaimed the prosperity and happiness of the country. Nor was this work of the executive council of destroying the markets of the country the only calamity then befalling the island. Section 11 of said act provided the exchange of all coins in circulation in the island for coins of the United States. Thus more than 6,000,-000 silver coins were reduced to less than 4,000,000 silver coins and silver certificates, when by simply recoining our silver pesos, which were of a superior fineness to the American dollars, the exchange of money could have been done without the loss of a single dollar to the country. Instead of this, our circulating medium was reduced to about \$2,000,000 only, as we had to leave part of our silver in the United States to pay for the deficiency of our crops that had been destroyed by the cyclone.

This enormous contraction in our circulating medium is known in financial circles in the island as the "second cyclone." Nothing has been done by Congress to help the country. The effects of such conditions, as can well be imagined, was so disastrous that it has taken seven years of hard tolling to rebuild in some way the economical conditions of the island. While coffee has been unable to recover, sugar and tobacco, helped by free trade with the United States, have largely developed, some capital from the United States, as well as from Europe, having been invested in the island, and although all the profits accruing from such industries go out of the island to the large money combines abroad, yet some benefits have been derived from it, as work has been furnished to the working classes in the island, and therefore life in general is to-day more tolerable to the native toiler. From a financial point of view, judging from the bulk of imports and exports of the island, Porto Rico is doing more business to-day than it ever did before; but a single glance at the figures that make up those totals will soon show that the great exports of the island are being swelled up by the sugar turned out by a few large factories in the island. In the educational part of the administration of the country some good work has been going on from the beginning, as the country, in spite of all, has been furnishing the necessary funds to build up a good system of education. The number of schools has been largely increased, although in the interior districts of the island poverty has, up to the present, hindered the assistance of the children to the schools in numbers sufficient to justify the large expenditure in teachers and schoolhouses,

In the matter of improvements the country has also furnished sufficient funds to keep up the building and maintenance of its good system of roads; but while on the material side of public life the country has worked and done everything that was in its power to do, yet it has always been laboring from the start under the great drawback of a general discontent arising from the unsatisfactory political conditions under which it was placed by the organic act. The government of the island, as before said, has been conducted entirely by the absolute and tyrannical rule of an executive council, or upper house, formed of eleven members appointed by the President, the majority of which are, at the same time, the heads of the different departments. They make the laws, vote our taxes, collect our money, and expend it without any satisfaction being given to the people of the island. Each head of a department may act in the most independent and arbitrary way, without any regard whatsoever to the will of the people. In some instances it seems as if some of them make it a point to show the people of Porto Rico that they do not count for anything in the management of the affairs of It seems to have been a rule that the will of the people should be ignored, and as the members of the council do not in the least depend on the popular vote for their offices, they will probably continue to do as they please so long as they have the approval of the Executive.

Now, Mr. Speaker, I wish it to be distinctly understood that my criticisms of the executive council do not in any manner refer to the personnel forming the present executive council of Porto Rico.

When I speak of the executive council of Porto Rico, I refer to that feature of our organic act which forms our upper house of eleven members, six of whom are at the same time the heads of the departments. It is the system of which I complain.

The present executive council is formed of as good men as you could find for those places. I believe that even those in

that body who may commit or may have committed errors mean or meant well, although about some of them I think that even within the rights given to them by the law they might be guided by a better regard for the will of the people than they seem inclined to have.

If it were in my hands to have the President remove them all to-day, I would not do it, as they are, as I have said, as good men as the President would probably find in the country. And as they have already had time to learn something of the country, the new ones would probably not do as well. And in this connection I will mention the fact that, as they are appointed for four years, there should have been no more than twelve different men to fill those offices, for none have died or had to leave on account of health (that I know of), and, instead of twelve, twenty-one different men have passed through those offices. In some of the departments as many as five have filled the same office, so that, not taking into account the time that those officers are substituted by their assistants, which occurs at least once in every year when they come to this country for their vacations, every member in that department has held the office an

average term of sixteen months.

And when you consider, Mr. Speaker, that these gentlemen are sent there to make laws for a country they do not know, for a people whose laws, customs, and language they do not know, and this for an average period of seventeen months, you may imagine, Mr. Speaker, the probabilities they have of doing well, even if they were selected from the highest intellects in the country. And thus it happens that a gentleman landing at 8 o'clock in the evening at San Juan, at 9 o'clock next day has taken the oath of office and is seated in his chair making laws, perhaps deciding with his vote very important laws, when he has not practically seen the face of a single Porto Rican.

Now, Mr. Speaker, I wish here to state once more that I have no objection to any of the members of the executive councii to-day as far as their personalities are concerned. They are a body of intelligent men, perfect gentlemen. That although I think that some of them are sometimes wrong in their policies toward the people of Porto Rico, even within the narrow limits of our organic act, yet I believe that they are honest.

So, Mr. Speaker, it may be said that we have to-day about as good a government as it could be expected under our political status, but, as I have often said, that status is entirely repugnant to the people of Porto Rico, and I wish here to solemnly protest before Congress and the American people of that feature of our organic act which makes the upper house appointed by the President and not elected by the people.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE.

A message from the Senate, by Mr. Parkinson, its reading clerk, announced that the Senate had passed without amendment bill and joint resolution of the following titles:

H. R. 8727. An act for the relief of James W. Kenney and the Union Brewing Company; and
H. J. Res. 211. Joint resolution authorizing the transfer of

the files, books, and pamphlets of the Industrial Commission.

The message also announced that the Senate had passed the following resolution:

Resolved. That the House of Representatives be requested to return to the Senate a bill (S. 171) for the relief of the Omaha National Bank, and that the same be referred to the Court of Claims in pursuance of the provisions of an act entitled "An act to provide for the bringing of suits against the Government of the United States," approved March 3, 1887, and generally known as the Tucker Act, and said court shall proceed with the same in accordance with the provisions of said act and report to the Senate in accordance therewith.

ENROLLED BILLS SIGNED.

Mr. WACHTER, from the Committee on Enrolled Bills, reported that they had examined and found truly enrolled bills

of the following titles; when the Speaker signed the same:
H. R. 22182. An act to authorize W. D. Clay and others to
select lands in lieu of lands purchased by the father of said parties from the United States Government and lost by said heirs;
H. R. 8727. An act for the relief of James W. Kenney and the

Union Brewing Company;

H. J. Res. 211. Joint resolution authorizing the transfer of the files, books, and pamphlets of the Industrial Commission;

H. R. 25745. An act making appropriations for sundry civil expenses of the Government for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1908, and for other purposes;

H. R. 17415. An act to authorize the assignees of coal-land locations to make entry under the coal-land laws applicable to Alaska

H. R. 25883. An act to reinstate Leonard Martin Cox in the Corps of Civil Engineers of the Navy; and H. R. 13304. An act to provide a suitable memorial to the

memory of Christopher Columbus,

The SPEAKER announced his signature to enrolled bills of the following titles

S. 1032. An act to aid in the erection of a statue of Commodore John D. Sloat, United States Navy, at Monterey, Cal.; S. 8614. An act to amend an act entitled "An act to regulate

the practice of medicine and surgery in the District of Columapproved June 3, 1896;

S. R. 29. Joint resolution authorizing the selection of a site and the erection of a pedestal for the Stephenson Grand Army memorial in Washington, D. C.;

S. 6447. An act to authorize the appointment of Acting Asst. Surg. George R. Plummer, United States Navy, as an assistant surgeon in the United States Navy;

S. 8012. An act to erect a monument on the Tippecanoe battle ground, in Tippecanoe County, Ind.;

S. 8568. An act granting an increase of pension to Rosanna A. May:

S. 8585. An act for the relief of Charles W. Spalding; S. 8230. An act for the relief of Harold D. Childs;

S. 8292. An act providing for the completion by the Secretary of War of a monument to the memory of the American soldiers who fell in the battle of New Orleans at Chalmette, La., and making the necessary appropriation therefor;

S. R. 98. Joint resolution granting permission to Rear-Admiral B. H. McCalla to accept a medal from the King of Great Britain and the Order of the Red Eagle from the Emperor of Germany; and

S. 5133. An act to promote the safety of employees and travelers upon railroads by limiting the hours of service of employees thereon.

SENATE BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS REFERRED.

Under clause 2, Rule XXIV, Senate bills and resolutions of the following titles were taken from the Speaker's table and referred to their appropriate committees, as indicated below:

Resolved, That the Secretary be directed to return to the House of Representatives, in compliance with its request, the bill (H. R. 1050) for the relief of Edwin S. Hall—

to the Committee on Claims.

Resolved, That the House of Representatives be requested to return to the Senate a bill (S. 171) for the relief of the Omaha National Bank, and that the same be referred to the Court of Claims in pursuance of the provisions of an act entitled "An act to provide for the bringing of suits against the Government of the United States," approved March 3, 1887, and generally known as the "Tucker Act," and said court shall proceed with the same in accordance with the provisions of said act and report to the Senate in accordance therewith—

to the Committee on Claims.

S. 5684. An act for the relief of the Compañia de los Fer-

rocarriles de Puerto Rico—to the Committee on War Claims, S. 8420. An act for the relief of the Mille Lac band of Chippewa Indians in the State of Minnesota, and for other purposes to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

S. 6190. An act authorizing the Omaha tribe of Indians to submit claims to the Court of Claims—to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

S. 7929. An act to provide a temporary home for ex-Union soldiers and sailors in the District of Columbia—to the Committee on Military Affairs

S. 8542. An act to authorize W. D. Clay and others to select lands in lieu of lands purchased by the father of said parties from the United States Government and lost by said heirs-to the Committee on Private Land Claims.

S. 569. An act granting a pension to Edith A. Hawley-to the Committee on Pensions.

S. R. 95. Joint resolution relating to proceedings to set aside deeds alleged to have been made by Mexican Kickapoos-to the Committee on Indian Affairs.

S. 7845. An act granting a pension to Lola B. Hendershott and Louise Hendershott-to the Committee on Pensions.

S. 6175. An act granting an increase of pension to Neoline H. Ash—to the Committee on Pensions.

S. 1796. An act granting an increase of pension to Edith Burt Trout—to the Committee on Pensions.

ENROLLED BILLS PRESENTED TO THE PRESIDENT FOR HIS APPROVAL.

Mr. WACHTER, from the Committee on Enrolled Bills, re-ported that this day they had presented to the President of the United States for his approval the following bills:

H. R. 25851. An act making appropriations to supply deficiencies in the appropriations for the fiscal year ending June

30, 1907, and for prior years, and for other purposes; H. R. 25812. An act to authorize the Secretary of War to loan and deliver certain brass fieldpieces to the Valley Forge Park Commission of the State of Pennsylvania;

H. R. 24640. An act making appropriations for the payment of this Hall forever. [Applause.]

invalid and other pensions of the United States for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1908, and for other purposes;

H. R. 25745. An act making appropriations for sundry civil expenses of the Government for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1908, and for other purposes;

H. R. 17415. An act to authorize the assignee of coal-land locations to make entry under the coal-land laws applicable to Alaska:

H. R. 13304. An act to provide a suitable memorial to the memory of Christopher Columbus; H. R. 25883. An act to reinstate Leonard Martin Cox in the

Corps of Civil Engineers of the Navy;

H. J. Res. 219. Joint resolution providing for an increase in the number of copies to be printed of the Annual Report of the Comptroller of the Currency;

H. J. Res. 229. Joint resolution to provide for the printing of 250,000 copies of the special report on the diseases of horses

H. J. Res. 255. Joint resolution providing for the printing of 5,000 copies of House Document No. 651, Fifty-ninth Congress, second session;

H. J. Res. 256. Joint resolution authorizing the Attorney-General to print 850 copies of the Session Laws

H. J. Res. 257. Joint resolution authorizing the Secretary of the Treasury to print 1,000 additional copies of the annual report of the Director of the Mint;

H. R. 25672. An act to amend an act entitled "An act to authorize the Ox Bow Power Company of South Dakota to construct a dam across the Missouri River;"

H. R. 8727. An act for the relief of James W. Kenney and the

Union Brewing Company;
H. R. 22182. An act to authorize W. D. Clay and others to select lands in lieu of lands purchased by the father of said parties from the United States Government and lost by said

heirs; and
H. J. Res. 211. Joint resolution authorizing the transfer of the files, books, and pamphlets of the Industrial Commission.

JOINT PRINTING COMMISSION.

The SPEAKER appointed as members of the Joint Printing Commission Mr. Charles B. Landis, Mr. Perkins, and Mr. GRIGGS.

On motion of Mr. PAYNE, the House took a recess for fifteen minutes.

GEN. CHARLES H. GROSVENOR.

During the recess Mr. Tawney took the chair and called Members to order in convention.

The CHAIRMAN. The House is in recess, but I am re quested to preserve order for a few moments. Just what the object of this convention is the Chair is not able to state, but he will recognize the gentleman from Washington [Mr. Cush-MAN].

Mr. CUSHMAN rose. [Applause.] Mr. CUSHMAN. Mr. Chairman, I rise to a question of personal privilege. Indeed, sir, one of the highest privileges it has ever been my lot to enjoy.

By the grace and the courtesy of all my friends and colleagues on both sides of this Chamber I am commissioned for a few brief moments to perform in their behalf a most pleasant

Sir, the clock is remorselessly ticking off the seconds, and but a few moments more and the great Fifty-ninth Congress will have passed into history.

And when the gavel falls some men who for years have been distinguished figures in this great House will walk forth from its walls into the sunlight of private life.

As between all the great men who are to-day retiring from this House it is neither necessary nor appropriate that I should attempt to draw any distinctions. I know of no man who has served in this House since I have been here whose career is not worthy of good words. I can look about me now and see dear and familiar faces that may not be seen in this Hall again. And among them all, thank God, I see the face of no enemy, but, on the contrary, I behold everywhere a vast legion of friends. [Applause.]

In the eight years that I have been a Member of this House the one thing that has been most deeply and profoundly impressed upon me is the splendid character of the matchless men whose accustomed habitat is this forum. [Applause.]

The friendships which we all make in this Chamber I regard

as the most valuable and charming acquisition incident to a Congressional career.

And, sir, these splendid memories will probably constitute the only substantial and indestructible asset that most of us will carry back to the walks of private life when we go forth from

I trust, sir, that I am not unfair to any other man in this vast body when I say that General Grosvenor of Ohio [prolonged applause], whose term is about to expire, is one of the most distinguished men that has sat in this House in many years.

Of him and to him I am for a moment commissioned by his

colleagues to speak.

He has served in this House for twenty years-almost continuously. He entered this body as a Member in 1885, more than twenty years ago. He is to-day one of the few but familiar figures in this body whose presence seems to link us with the mighty past.

In this House he has served with McKinley and Dingley and Reed, with Carlisle and Mills and Wilson and Springer, and a host of others who moved among the giants of those days.

Of the mighty contests that have taken place on this floor in

the past twenty years he can truthfully say:
All of which I saw, and a part of which I was.

He has been a Member of this House under six different Speakers—two of them Democrats and four of them Repub-

He has served in this House during part of seven successive Presidential terms and under five different Presidents. am violating no state secrets when I say that on this floor in recent years he has been the authorized spokesman for two great Presidents of the United States. [Applause.]

What a splendid record!

And now, at more than three score years and ten, we behold him with his mental powers matchless and unimpaired.

We, his Republican supporters on this side of the Chamber, know that he remembers everything that ever happened.

[Laughter and applause.]

But, sir, his political opponents in this body pay to his marvelous memory even a higher tribute. Champ Clark and John Sharp Williams have frequently asserted that in great political emergencies of his party on this floor he has been able to remember things that never happened at all. [Great laughter.] Sir, what a splendid thing it is to see preserved in one to

such a great age a memory perfectly poised, entirely sound, and as accurate as the exigencies of the occasions will permit.

[Renewed laughter.]

It was determined by the General's friends on this floor, on both sides of the Chamber, that his departure from this body

under these circumstances ought not to be entirely unheralded.

By common consent it was agreed that some token, some testimonial, from all his friends on this floor ought to go with him into private life, to be a perpetual reminder to him of the years of his life spent here and the legion of friends he made [Applause.]

After it had been determined to procure some gift for him it was suggested that I should act in this matter as a sort of trustee for his friends and become the temporary repository

of a fund created for this purpose.

And this experience, I can testify, was both a novel and re-freshing one to me, because it is the first time within my recol-lection when my colleagues on this floor seemed determined to force money on me. [Laughter.]

[Turning toward Congressman Grosvenor:] General Gros-VENOR, the gift which your colleagues selected for you, and which they take pleasure in presenting to you to-day, now

stands in yonder lobby.

It is a large mahogany chest filled with a splendid set of solid silver tableware, numbering more than 300 pieces; the pattern is an Old English design, but of American manufacture. [Applause.] Each piece in this set is engraved with an Old English "G," being the initial letter of your historic family. [Applause.]

Upon a silver plate upon the lid of this chest you will find

engraved these words:

Presented to
HON. CHARLES H. GROSVENOR,
of Ohio,
by his friends and colleagues of
The National House of Representatives
of the Fifty-ninth Congress,
on March 4th, 1907,
as a token of their personal friendship and
esteem upon his retiring from Congress after
twenty years of conspicuous public service
for his State and Nation.

[Applause.]

And now, with but little of formality, but much of feeling, I deliver to you this gift from your colleagues.

This gift is not insignificant in financial worth, but it is chiefly to be treasured by reason of its associations and the

I am justified in saying that the men who are bestowing this gift upon you, sir, have also had in mind your dear wife—the sharer of your joys and sorrows. It is the wish of all, sir, that you two may be spared for many years to come and that the use and possession of these articles may bring to you both many happy and historic memories. [Loud applause.]

Neither time nor propriety suggest that I should here attempt to name individually the men who are bestowing this gift upon you. It would virtually be a roll call of this House, and one of the few roll calls where everybody voted "aye." [Applause.]

But I may be pardoned for saying in passing that among those who by means of this beautiful gift wish to furnish to the General a lasting testimonial of their personal regard and esteem I might mention Speaker Joseph G. Cannon and John SHARP WILLIAMS, SEENO E. PAYNE AND DAVID A. DE ARMOND, JOHN DALZELL AND CHAMP CLARK, JAMES A. TAWNEY AND OSCAR W. Underwood, and all the rest of the membership of this great body, equally honorable and almost as distinguished. [Applause.]

It is pleasant to know-ah, yes; it is more than pleasant-it is an inspiration to realize that after all these years of strenuous struggles and bitter contests that one of the great leaders of one side of this House can march forth to private life bearing with him not only the respect but the affection of both sides of

this the greatest legislative body on the planet. [Applause.]
In that sense, Mr. Chairman, this occasion is more than the mere presentation of a gift to a friend.

The nature of this ceremony makes it a tribute to the genius of our institutions and a testimonial to the high character of all the men who are gathered underneath this sunlit roof as the legislative agents of the leading civilized nation of the world. [Applause,]

In times of stress and mighty conflict in this Chamber General Grosvenor has always been in the forefront of the Repub-

lican column-on the firing line, so to speak-

And his old white head shone afar Like the crested plume of the brave Navarre.

And the Protestant chivalry of France on the embattled plains of Ivry never pressed closer upon the snow-white crest of their best-beloved king than the Republican hosts of this House have followed the lead of that old white head. [Loud applause. 1

General Grosvenor retires from the service of his country poor-poor in finance, I mean. And what a tribute that is to his In the sweep of these mighty years in which he has been a central figure and leading factor mighty fortunes have been amassed by lesser men; but he has given his mental powers and his physical strength to his country.

Poor indeed in finance, but immeasurably rich in a wealth of

nobler things-a wealth that rust can never reach and time will never tarnish. [Prolonged applause on the floor of the

House and in the galleries.]

Mr. CLARK of Missouri. Mr. Chairman, next to the consoling consciousness of serving one's country well, the most valuable portion of a Congressional life is the forming of pleasant personal associations. It is said that an open confession is good for the soul. Before I came hither I had certain favorites in Congress, and there were certain men here whom I had picked out to dislike. I confess that I entered Congress with a deep-seated prejudice against General Grosvenor, of Ohio. He was eternally skinning Democrats, and I am not enamored of that sort of a man generally. [Laughter.] But watching him and listening to him, I first came to admire his ability, a while I came to feel for him a deep personal, filial affection.
[Applause.] The House of Representatives is the most splendid arena for mental pugilism in the world. Its membership, for the purposes of speechmaking, may be roughly divided into three classes—men who can make good set speeches only, men who can take care of themselves in running-fire debate only, and the third class, the few men who can make good set speeches and also take care of themselves in the running-fire debates. The third class come to be the top-notchers in this House, and General Grosvenor undoubtedly belongs to that class. plause. 1

I suppose, one way and another, I have had as many debates with him as any living man—some of them here, a great many of them not here. Maj. Joe Bagstock described himself as sir—devilish sly; tough, sir—devilish tough." I transfer that description to General Grosvenor as a debater. [Laughter and applause.] It fits him like a glove. If he has ever refused to meet any comers, or all comers, I have failed to observe it, and

only once did I ever see him strike below the belt. Mr. OLMSTED. When did he hit you?

generous impulse that gave it birth.

Mr. CLARK of Missouri. He did not hit me then. Mr. Chairwhile it is not distinctly "nominated in the bond," I think man, twenty years is a long time for a man to serve in this

House. It is really the best part of his life, because it is the prime of his life.

Sometimes when people get mad at the House and have nothing to say specifically bad they lump us all off as a lot of ig-noramuses. That is not true at all. The men who rise to high positions here would have achieved eminent success in almost any department of human endeavor. There is another thing about speech making here. The two words in the English lan-guage that are used most loosely and most abused are the words "oratory" and "eloquence." Every man who can stand up and speak in public is dubbed an orator. Oratory is one of the rarest qualities known among men. A debater is essentially different from an orator. A man may be both. He is lucky if he is either. General Grosvenor is not an orator. while I have heard him rise temporarily to what might be called eloquence, but as a steady all-around debater I give it as my opinion that he has not had a superior in this body since I have been here. [Applause.] There are strong debaters on this side of the House, but I am not talking about them now. There are other men on the other side of the Chamber who hit as hard sometimes as General Grosvenor does, but to scare them up out of the brush, to meet us Democrats in debate on everything the Republicans ever did or are doing or have any idea of doing, he tops them all. [Applause.]

It is strange and intensely interesting to watch the ebb and flow of individual popularity in this body. I believe it is true that eight years ago the present Speaker of this House could not have been elected Speaker to save his soul. He had thumped and skinned and pummeled too many men. He had killed too many bills, both for Democrats and Republicans, while chairman of the great Committee on Appropriations; and yet the time has come when he is an exceedingly popular man on both sides of this Chamber. [Applause.] He is our "Uncle Joe," closing his second term in the second greatest office in our governmental system, and destined for a third term. When I came here the nearly universal sentiment among Democrats on the floor was one of dislike for General Grosvenor, and yet I have seen him grow into an extraordinary measure of popularity. He has inflicted wounds on many of us, and we have paid him back in kind; but these wounds do not fester. They are reminders of battles royal hot at the time, but pleasant in the retrospect. out. Whether he will return, I do not know; but if the district is to send a Republican here any more I bope he will come back. [Applause.] My friend "the Abraham Lincoln of the Pacific coast" [Mr. Cushman] [applause] has referred to the fact that though General Grosvenor has passed the Psalmist's allotment of three-score years and ten, he is still strong and danger-ous in a fight, and I join in the sentiment—and so do all of us over here who have tussled with him-that his strength has not abated by reason of his years. He goes forth 73 years of age. I hope he and his estimable wife will live many, many happy years yet, until-

Old age serene and bright, And lovely as a Lapland night, Shall lead them to the grave.

[Loud applause.] Mr. WILLIAMS rose amid great applause.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Chairman, it is said that an Irishman once carried to a chicken fight a drake upon his arm and insisted upon entering him in the lists, and to the objection that it was not a chicken the Irishman replied: "I don't know anything about thot, but if you will let him into the fight he will bate all of thim, and all the divilment in the world can not thrip him." If I were to characterize the continuous thrip him." If I were to characterize the gentleman from Ohio as a participant in what we call in a Pickwickian sense the "deliberations" of this body [laughter], if I were to characterize his performances upon this floor, I would say he was like the Irishman's drake. [Laughter.] For a long time we have the Irishman's drake. [Laughter.] For a long time we have tried to "thrip" him and find "it takes all the divilment in the world" to do it. One strength of the gentleman upon his feet is that when he makes an argument upon the assumption of the existence of a fact and is convinced during the course of the argument that the fact does not exist, he can argue on just as [Applause and laughter.]

Mr. Chairman, it is needless for me to say that in a certain sense this side of the Chamber rejoices in celebrating the retirement from public life of the gentleman from Ohio [laughter], though a great regret that we have in connection with it in some of our moods is that in private life he will have more time to "figure" than he has had in public life. [Laughter.] mathematics of the gentleman from Okio are very much like the Scriptural definition of man, "fearfully and wonderfully made," and yet such has been the uniform good luck of the Re-publican party and the uniform bad luck of the Democratic party that sometimes his figures have actually approximated correct results. [Laughter.]

Mr. Chairman, men are carpenters, blacksmiths, lawyers, dentists, politicians, Presbyterians, Methodists, Democrats, publicans, but under all of the outside and secondary things that a man is is the man himself—the human animal.

I have been here a long time, and when I came there were two or three bêtes noires, to my imagination, on that side of the Chamber. One of them was the gentleman from Ohio. I have learned to know this: That he knows how to give and he knows how to take. I like that in a man. He has stood aggressively, constantly, valiantly, and sometimes bitterly, sometimes with vitriol on his tongue, in behalf of his party organization and his ideas of administration and government. But, that all left out of consideration, when you come down to the man under it all he has been kind-hearted, accommodating, and always anxious to do what he could to make the pathway of any man here on either side smooth for him. [Applause.] In all the little matters of local legislation pertaining to one's district he has perhaps extended more aid from that side of the Chamber to men on this side of the Chamber than anybody else. [Applause.]
Of course I do not like his politics, and of course I have

had much to say about his politics in the past, and he and I have had our bouts, but with all that there has never been a time when I could not go to him and ask as a personal favor that some liberty be extended to some Member upon this side, and that he use his influence on that side to have it extended,

that he has not responded. [Applause.] We can well, simply as men, regardless of political opinions, regardless of our past turmoils, join heartily and sincerely in presenting to him this testimonial of his colleagues of the feelings which they entertain for him. [Prolonged applause.

The CHAIRMAN. The gentleman from Iowa [Mr. LACEY]

recognized for a few minutes. [Applause.]
Mr. LACEY. Mr. Chairman, I know that it will be some satisfaction to my colleagues in this House to know that when General Grosvenor goes into the cold outside world he will have somebody along with him to see that he is properly taken care of. [Laughter.]

A few years ago, when the McKinley statistics were coming in, our friend got the sobriquet of "Old Figgers." I went home on a visit about that time and one of my friends came to me and said: "I want you to carry my regards to that Ohio man, Mr. Gross-venor." I said to him: "That is not his correct name; the letter 's' in his name is silent." Said he: "I did not suppose that anything about him was ever silent." [Laughter.]

In the Fifty-first Congress I became associated with our friend, and whenever anything was needed out in the district in which I have been struggling for so many years, whenever we were in a close place, we always called upon General Grosvenor, and, unlike my friend the leader on the Democratic side, if there is anything I do like about General Grosvenor more than anything else, it is his politics; and he never has disguised them. And that is one of the things that makes the Members on the other side of the Hall regard him so kindly, because he is a foeman worthy of any man's steel.

In bidding him good-by in this Congress, after so many years service, I know I speak for those that are going out as well as those that remain that he and his good wife will carry to Athens not only a new post-office building, but the good wishes of every man that has ever been associated with him in this

body. [Loud applause.]
Mr. GROSVENOR. Mr. Cushman, and you, Mr. Clark, Mr. WILLIAMS, and Mr. LACEY, and you, my colleagues of the House of Representatives, I imagine that by the time I close these few remarks there will be a strong suspicion in the minds of the listeners unacquainted with me that the good words that have been spoken of me as a speaker will be cast aside and classed as doubtful of accuracy. But before I proceed I wish to thank the distinguished and eloquent gentleman from Washington [Mr. Cushman] for the words he has used and the appreciation he has manifested. I never felt more embarrassed than I do at this moment and I never felt less equipped for an occasion of the kind than I do at this time. But to say that I am not interested and that I am not deeply grateful and very proud of the occasion and the surroundings would be to manifest a lack of the ordinary sensibilities of mankind; and yet to attempt to respond in fitting and adequate words under the circumstances is beyond my capacity.

The gentleman from Washington [Mr. Cushman], who spoke so interestingly of the years of my service and the men with whom I have served, brought before me a brilliant panorama of the twenty years of my service in the House of Representatives. I came to the House of Representatives with more or less of that feeling that grows up in the country by reason of political contention and political asperity. At the end of twenty years I feel very free to say that there is more of good fellowship, more of good, honest Americanism, more of the real principles of philanthropy and generosity embodied in the membership of the House of Representatives than in any other equal number

of men in the world. [Applause.]
The gentleman from Mississippi [Mr. WILLIAMS] said that I had been not only willing to give, but willing to take. I think that is one of the cardinal principles involved in a true system of debate in the House of Representatives. The man who assails the minority, or the other side of the House, as the case may be, and can not take that which follows ought not to make the assault; and sometimes I have felt as I emerged from the contest that I really wished that I had been more considerate

and less hasty in making the assault. [Laughter.]

Coming rapidly to the end of the very few remarks that I must make, for I am reminded of the lapse of time and the importance of some things ahead of us, I have never received a blow from the weapons of the enemy on this floor that I did not appreciate as due under all the circumstances. [Laughter.] Ordinary, commonplace debate has no great merit in the House of Representatives, and the Democrat who can not say something severe about the Republican side of this House occasionally does not measure up to the standard he is required to measure up to . [Laughter and applause.] And the Republican that does not answer back, or even make the first aggression against the Democratic party, falls short of his duty to his country. [Renewed laughter and applause.] If I had time, I would run over rapidly and briefly the great men with whom I have served in Congress, and under whose training, as it were, I have lived and developed whatever has come to my credit.

I have served, as it is said, under five Speakers of the House, and I want to say this as I go out. I have served under two Democrats and three Republican Speakers. I have no recollection that on any occasion any one of those distinguished men ever did anything unfair in any ruling, in deciding any question, or in any other way fell below the standard of a judicial officer of a legislative body. [Applause.] I served first under Mr. Carlisle, able, eloquent, great lawyer, profound statesman; but no fairer man ever sat in the chair of the Speaker of the House of Representatives. Absolute justice was the watchword of his administration. Then came Crisp, genial, gentle, lovable, kind, vigorous, and strong, especially honest, gentle, lovable, kind, vigorous, and strong, especially honest, equally upright. And then Tom Reed, who has made a mark upon the legislative history of the country that will never be obliterated. Then David B. Henderson, lovable, eloquent, genial, fair, just; and then the Speaker of the House to-day, with more knowledge of the legislation of the country, more knowledge of its wants, its growth, its development, than any other living man of the American people. [Loud applause.] Fair, just, prompt, energetic, one of the very best men in social life that I know; and it would be strange if a body of men presided over by these five great men should fall short of measuring up to the duty that they owe the American people. Have they measured up? I unhesitatingly say yes. I could recapitulate the great men who have appeared upon this floor; but no better class of men from the standpoint of true Americanism was ever here than are here to-day. Theretrue Americanism was ever here than are here to-day. Therefore, the pride which I feel at the kind good-by I am receiving is enhanced by the fact that I know it comes from men who are just and honest in their expressions of opinion, and so I thank you one and all,

The gentleman from Mississippi, the leader of the minority, The gentleman from Mississippi, the leader of the minority, has spoken with candor and justice, I hope. I want to say of him that I never asked him for an accommodation that did not interfere with the programme that he had marked out to pursue and that he had assumed that he did not always yield; and so I can say of the gentleman from Missouri [Mr. Clark]. Each in his individual way and position has worthily stood by the interests of his party, but each has been at all times a gentleman and a fair-minded American citizen.

I came here with a better opinion of the distinguished gentleman from Missouri [Mr. Clark] than he seems to have formed in advance of the gentleman from Ohio. [Laughter.] I have had an opportunity to study him with a great deal of interest on a great many occasions, and I want to return to him my statement that I have never known a man in public life, much less a Democrat in public life, for whom I had higher regard than I have for the gentleman from Missouri. [Applause.] I have long hoped that I might live to see him a Republican. [Laughter.] I have given him every opportunity to abandon the bad politics of his party, but I announce now to those whom I am leaving behind me that unless your efforts

can be more efficacious than mine have been you had better not exert them in that direction. He is a hopeless case. And I want to say, repeating here what I said the other day in a general way to the Democrats on that side of the House, my friend from Missouri is having a hard time. I sympathize very warmly with them. He is a Democrat. He was born a Democrat, he was educated a Democrat, and he is a Democrat now, and the struggle of his life is to remain a Democrat and be a

member of the Democratic party. [Laughter.]

Now, what can I say further? I shall go out of this House with the fondest recollections of all of you. I want to say what may seem a little strange perhaps, in view of the knowledge of some of us of what has been going on, that there is not a man in this House, there is not a Member of this House nor an officer nor an employee for whom I do not feel the strongest kind of affection. There is not anywhere in my heart one spot of bitterness toward a single Member of the House of Representatives. [Applause.]

The time is up, Mr. Chairman. I invoke for all of you, I hope for you all the best things that Providence can give to

mortal man. [Applause.]

The recess having expired, the House resumed its session.

HENRY P. M'LEAN.

By unanimous consent, at the request of Mr. Brooks of Colorado, leave was granted to withdraw from the files of the House, without leaving copies, the papers in the case of the bill (H. R. 400) for the relief of Henry P. McLean, no adverse report having been made thereon.

PROCEEDINGS DURING THE RECESS.

Mr. KEIFER. Mr. Speaker, I move that the remarks made on the proceedings had in relation to General Grosvenor during the recess just closed be printed in the Record.

Is there objection to the request of the The SPEAKER.

gentleman from Ohio? There was no objection.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE.

A message from the Senate, by Mr. Parkinson, its reading clerk, announced that the Senate had passed without amendment the following resolutions:

ment the following resolutions:

Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That in enrolling the bill (S. 5133) to promote the safety of employees and travelers upon raliroads by limiting the hours of service of employees thereon, the enrolling clerk be directed to correct the engrossed amendment of the House to the Senate bill by striking out all after the word "Provided," in section 2 of said amendment, and inserting "That no operator, train dispatcher, or other employee who by the use of the telegraph or telephone dispatches, reports, transmits, receives, or delivers orders pertaining to or affecting train movements shall be required or permitted to be or remain on duty for a longer period than nine hours in any twenty-four-hour period in all towers, offices, places, and stations continuously operated night and day, nor for a longer period than thirteen hours in all towers, offices, places, and stations operated only during the daytime, except in case of emergency, when the employees named in this proviso may be permitted to be and remain on duty for four additional hours, in a twenty-four-hour period on not exceeding three days in any week."

That a committee of two Senators be appointed by the President to join a similar committee appointed by the House of Representatives to wait upon the President of the United States and inform him that the two Houses, having completed the business of the present session, are ready to adjourn unless the President has some other communication to make to them.

to make to them.

And that the Vice-President had appointed Mr. Hale and Mr. Pettus members of the committee on the part of the Senate.

COMMITTEE TO WAIT UPON THE PRESIDENT.

Mr. PAYNE. Mr. Speaker, I submit the following resolution, The Clerk read as follows:

Resolved, That a committee of three Members be appointed by the Speaker to join a similar committee appointed by the Senate to wait upon the President of the United States and inform him that the two Houses have completed the business of the present session and are ready to adjourn unless the President has some other communication to make to them.

The resolution was agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. Clark of Missouri) appointed as the committee under the resolution just adopted Mr. PAYNE, Mr. DALZELL, and Mr. DE ARMOND.

THANKS TO THE SPEAKER.

Mr. CLARK of Missouri took the chair as Speaker pro tem-

Mr. WILLIAMS. Mr. Speaker, another Congress is about to pass into history. It has been an important and in some senses an epoch-making Congress. It has been presided over by one who has presided over a previous Congress of the United

States. It has been a Congress characterized to a large extent by communications from the other end of the Avenue. Mr. Speaker, I read this morning in the Post a paragraph saying that "a number of Senators and Congressmen are going to leave town this week, feeling that they have wasted a great deal of good advice" on the other end of Pennsylvania avenue. There is a compensation for it all. A good deal of good advice has been wasted by the other end of the Avenue on Congress. [Laughter.] But if I had to express a deeper regret it would be for the fact that so much really good advice from the minority side has been wasted upon the majority side of this [Laughter.]

Mr. Speaker, there is no place in the world, as far as I know, where a man finds his true level, either rises to it or sinks to it, as quickly and as inevitably as in the House of Representatives. The House puts up with no shams. It wants the real thing. A genuine man may be wrong, but he always has the respect and the confidence of his fellow-men whether he is wrong or whether he is right. Nothing more genuine was ever built by the Almighty than the Speaker of the House of Representatives. He is nearly always wrong [laughter], but there is no sham about him, there is no pretense, there is no hypocrisy. He is just simply plain Joe Cannon, characteristically American, characteristically western, and characteristically southern,

for some of his old blood came from there. [Applause.]
Mr. Speaker, he is an integer, one thing; therefore he has integrity, intellectual and moral; he is an integer and not duplex, not double dealing. His integrity is virile, his common sense is virile, his good humor is constant. If it were left to me and we had to have a Republican Speaker of the House, I should vote unhesitatingly for "Uncle Joe." [Applause.] I should do it on the ground that he is not any worse than the balance of you and very much more open about it. [Laughter and applause.] I have just resisted a great temptation. A friend of mine came to me and asked me to word the usual resolution in an unusual way. He told me to thank the Speaker for "the active, energetic, and gesticulatory" manner in which he had presided over the sessions of the House, and you may imagine, those of you who know my temperament, the effort it took to resist that temptation; but instead of that I offer the following resolution:

Resolved, That the thanks of the Fifty-ninth Congress are due to the Hon. JOSEPH G. CANNON, its honored and distinguished Speaker, for the intelligent, constant, and impartial manner in which he has presided over its important deliberations.

[Applause.]

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on agreeing to the resolution.

The question was taken; and the resolution was unanimously agreed to.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair appoints the gentleman from Mississippi, Mr. Williams; the gentleman from Pennsylvania, Mr. Dalzell, and the gentleman from Minnesota, Mr. TAWNEY, to wait upon the Speaker and inform him of the action of the House and bring him in. [Laughter.]

The committee subsequently appeared accompanied by the Speaker, who resumed the chair.

Mr. WILLIAMS. Gentlemen of the Fifty-ninth Congress, in obedience to your instructions, we have "brought him in." [Laughter.]

The SPEAKER. Word has been received from the Senate that what is known as the "sixteen-hour bill" has not been enrolled, and the Chair would suggest that the House take recess for fifteen minutes. Without objection, the House will stand in recess for fifteen minutes.

There was no objection.

AFTER THE RECESS.

The recess having expired, the Speaker resumed the chair. REPORT OF COMMITTEE TO WAIT ON THE PRESIDENT.

The committee appointed to wait upon the President, Mr. PAYNE, Mr. DALZELL, and Mr. DE ARMOND, appeared at the bar of the House

Mr. PAYNE. Mr. Speaker, the committee appointed by the Speaker to join a like committee on the part of the Senate to wait upon the President of the United States and inform him that the Houses have completed their business and are ready to adjourn, and to ask him if he had any further communication to make, report that they have performed the duty and that the President commends Congress for the good legislation which it has accomplished during the session, and said that he had

no further communications to make. [Applause.]
The SPEAKER. Without objection, the House will stand

in recess until 3 minutes of 12 o'clock. The Chair hears no objection.

The recess having expired, the House was called to order by the Speaker

The SPEAKER. I thank you, gentlemen, for your kindness in the deeds and the words of this day; but even more cordially do I thank you for your unwearying and constant friendship during every one of the laborious and eventful days of the Fiftyninth Congress. Some Congresses have done their work under the stress of national trial and even of national disaster; it has been our good fortune to do our work in a period of prosperity so abounding as to surpass all the imaginings of the past. The statesmen of the days of stress will always have first place in the affections of the people, and rightly so; but the men who with loyalty and devotion strive to keep a mighty nation in the pathway of prudence and common sense while prosperity abounds on every corner have troubles not always understood or sympathized with by the people, but which you well know of. In the two years now closed—years eventful in the precedents set in these legislative halls and, we hope and believe, eventful for the good that may come to the people therefrom-your fidelity to your own duty and your intelligent appreciation of my duty, even when it has crossed some of your cherished purposes, have been my constant encouragement and support. bidding you farewell I wish to express to you my appreciation of this, and to wish you in the future all success and all usefulness in your chosen walks of life.

And now, in pursuance of the requirements of the Constitution, I declare the House of Representatives of the Fifty-ninth Congress adjourned without day.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS.

Under clause 2 of Rule XIII, bills of the following titles were severally reported from committees, delivered to the Clerk, and referred to the several Calendars therein named, as follows:

Mr. McCLEARY of Minnesota, from the Committee on the Library, to which was referred the bill of the House (H. R. 20337) for the erection of a monument to the memory of John Ericsson, reported the same without amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 8172); which said bill and report were referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. BURTON of Ohio, from a special committee, submitted a report on the delay in the completion of the lock at Plaquemine, La. (No. 8171); which said report was referred to the House Calendar.

PUBLIC BILLS, RESOLUTIONS, AND MEMORIALS INTRODUCED.

Under clause 3 of Rule XXII, bill and memorials of the fol-

lowing titles were introduced and severally referred as follows: By Mr. BURLESON: A bill (H. R. 25892) pensioning the surviving officers and enlisted men of the Texas volunteers employed in the defense of the frontier of that State against Mexican marauders and Indian depredations from 1855 to 1860, inclusive, and for other purposes -to the Committee on Pen-

By Mr. MARSHALL: Memorial of the legislature of North Dakota, asking Congress for a constitutional amendment to prohibit polygamy and secure uniform divorce laws—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. CHAPMAN: Memorial of the legislature of Illinois, for the relief of Maj. Joseph W. Wham, United States Armyto the Committee on Military Affairs.

PRIVATE BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS INTRODUCED.

Under clause 1 of Rule XXII, private bills of the following

titles were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. BENNET of New York: A bill (H. R. 25893) granting an increase of pension to Robert Brown—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. BRUNDIDGE: A bill (H. R. 25894) granting a pension to Calvin B. Chamness-to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. HUFF: A bill (H. R. 25895) granting an increase of pension to John V. S. Minerd—to the Committee on Invalid

Also, a bill (H. R. 25896) granting an increase of pension to Samuel Burkhart—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions, Also, a bill (H. R. 25897) granting an increase of pension to

Henry M. Neighly-to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

PETITIONS, ETC.

Under clause 1 of Rule XXII, the following petitions and papers were laid on the Clerk's desk and referred as follows:

By Mr. BENNET of New York: Paper to accompany bill for relief of Robert Brown—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. BURTON of Ohio: Petition of Forest City Legion, No. 800, against the bill to codify the laws relating to second-class mail matter—to the Committee on the Post-Office and Post-

By Mr. GRAHAM: Petition of Allegheny Court, No. 2, Tribe of Ben Hur, against bill H. R. 608—to the Committee on the Post-Office and Post-Roads.

By Mr. HUFF: Papers to accompany bills for relief of Samuel Burkhart, Henry M. Neighly, and John V. S. Minerd—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. KNAPP: Petition of Legion No. 1233, against the bill to codify the statutes relating to the classification of second-class matter—to the Committee on the Post-Office and Post-Roads.

XLI--293

By Mr. LITTAUER: Petition of Waterford Legion, No. 880, against the passage of the bill to amend and codify the laws relating to second-class matter-to the Committee on the Post-Office and Post-Roads.

By Mr. SCHNEEBELI: Petition of the National Convention for the Extension of the Foreign Commerce of the United States, for a dual tariff—to the Committee on Ways and Means. By Mr. SHERMAN: Petition of Legion No. 715, against the bill to codify and amend the statutes relating to the classifica-

tion of second-class mail matter-to the Committee on the Post-Office and Post-Roads.

By Mr. SPARKMAN: Petition of the Florida State Board of Trade, for resolutions by Congress for an investigation of the manner in which peonage prosecutions are now being conducted in the South, the amount of funds being expended in this way, etc.—to the Committee on Labor.

By Mr. WOOD: Petition of Trenton Division, No. 85, Order

of Railway Telegraphers; H. G. Coates, N. T. Bryson, and T. J. Callahan, for bill limiting hours of telegraph operators—to the Committee on Labor.

